Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 1 of 165

Everglades National Park Lidar

Report Produced for U.S. Geological Survey

USGS Contract: G16PC00020

Task Order: G16PD01224

Report Date: 08/30/2018

SUBMITTED BY:

Dewberry 1000 North Ashley Drive Suite 801 Tampa, FL 33602 813.225.1325

SUBMITTED TO:

U.S. Geological Survey 1400 Independence Road Rolla, MO 65401 573.308.3810

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 4
The Project Team
Survey Area
Date of Survey
Coordinate Reference System
Lidar Vertical Accuracy
Project Deliverables
Project Tiling Footprint
Overview of Classification
Lidar Acquisition Report7
Lidar Acquisition Details7
Lidar System parameters
Acquisition Status Report and Flightlines9
Lidar Control
Airborn GPS Kinematic10
Generation and Calibration of Laser Points (raw data)10
Boresight and Relative accuracy11
Preliminary Vertical Accuracy Assessment13
Lidar Processing & Qualitative Assessment14
Initial Processing14
Refraction Correction15
Final Swath Vertical Accuracy Assessment15
Inter-Swath (Between Swath) Relative Accuracy16
Intra-Swath (Within a Single Swath) Relative Accuracy18
Horizontal Alignment
Point Density and Spatial Distribution19
Breakline Production & Qualitative Assessment Report21
Breakline Production Methodology21
Inland Water Voids 22
Land/Water Interface
Topobathy Voids
Breakline Checklist
Data Classification and Editing25
GeoCue and Terrascan Processing25
Submerged Objects

Temporal Changes
Lidar Qualitative Assessment
Visual Review
NIR Depressions
Excessive Vegetation
Bridge Removal Artifacts
Culverts and Bridges
Flight line Ridges
Formatting
Derivative Lidar Products
Intensity Imagery
Low-Confidence Polygons
Lidar Positional Accuracy
Background
Survey Vertical Accuracy Checkpoints
Vertical Accuracy Test Procedures41
NVA41
VVA41
Vertical Accuracy Results
Horizontal Accuracy Test Procedures
Horizontal Accuracy Results
DEM Processing & Qualitative Assessment
DEM Generation
DEM Qualitative Review
DEM Quantitative Assessment51
DEM Checklist
Optical Imagery 53
Imagery Processing 53
Metadata
Appendix A: Checkpoint Survey Report 55
Appendix B: Ground Control Survey Report
Appendix C: Complete List of Delivered Tiles
Appendix D: GPS Spatial Processing73

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 4 of 165

Executive Summary

Dewberry was tasked with developing a consistent and accurate topographic and bathymetric (topobathymetric) elevation dataset derived from high-accuracy Light Detection and Ranging (lidar) technology for the USGS Everglades National Park (ENP) in Florida.

The lidar data were processed and classified according to project specifications. Topobathymetric Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were produced for the project area. Data were formatted according to tiles with each tile covering an area of 1000 m by 1000 m. A total of 3,320 tiles were produced for the project encompassing an area of approximately 1,211 sq. miles.

THE PROJECT TEAM

Dewberry served as the prime contractor for the project. In addition to project management, Dewberry was responsible for acquisition, calibration, LAS classification, LAS refraction correction, all lidar products, breakline production, Digital Elevation Model (DEM) production, and quality assurance.

Dewberry's William D. Donley completed ground surveying for the project and delivered surveyed checkpoints. His task was to acquire surveyed checkpoints and ground control points for the project. Surveyed checkpoints were acquired to use in independent testing of the vertical accuracy of the lidar-derived model and surveyed ground control points were acquired to use for lidar calibration processes. He also verified the GPS base station coordinates used during lidar data acquisition to ensure that the base station coordinates were accurate. Please see Appendices A and B to view the separate Survey Reports that were created for this portion of the project.

SURVEY AREA

The project area addressed by this report falls within Florida Everglades National Park, located in the counties of Monroe and Miami-Dade.

DATE OF SURVEY

The lidar aerial acquisition was conducted from April 19, 2017 to June 12, 2017.

COORDINATE REFERENCE SYSTEM

Data produced for the project were produced in the following reference system.

Horizontal Datum: The horizontal datum for the project is North American Datum of 1983 with the 2011 Adjustment (NAD 83 (2011))
Vertical Datum: The Vertical datum for the project is North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)
Coordinate System: UTM Zone 17
Units: Horizontal units are in meters, Vertical units are in meters.
Geoid Model: Geoid12B (Geoid 12B was used to convert ellipsoid heights to orthometric heights).

LIDAR VERTICAL ACCURACY

For the Everglades National Park Lidar Project, the tested $RMSE_z$ of the classified lidar data for checkpoints in non-vegetated terrain equaled **3.6 cm** compared with the 10 cm specification;

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 5 of 165

and the NVA of the classified lidar data computed using $RMSE_z \ge 1.9600$ was equal to 7 cm, compared with the 19.6 cm specification.

For the Everglades National Park Lidar Project, the Bathymetric Vertical Accuracy of the classified lidar was not a testing requirement. Survey checkpoints identified as bathymetric were delivered for testing. Dewberry used these points for internal production processing, however the points were not survey grade and were not used for bathymetric vertical accuracy testing.

For the Everglades National Park Lidar Project, the tested VVA of the classified lidar data computed using the 95^{th} percentile was equal to 35 cm, compared with the 29.4 cm specification.

Additional accuracy information and statistics for the classified lidar data, raw swath data, and topobathymetric DEM data are found in the following sections of this report.

PROJECT DELIVERABLES

The deliverables for the project are listed below.

- 1. Project Extents, including buffered boundary, tile grid, and a shapefile derived from the lidar deliverable (Shapefiles)
- 2. Breakline Data (GDB and Shapefiles)
- 3. Classified Point Cloud Data (Tiled)
- 4. Bare Earth Surface Tiled Topobathymetric DEMs with voids enforced (IMG)
- 5. Digital Surface Models (IMG)
- 6. Intensity Images for Green Sensor Data and NIR Sensor Data (Tiled, GeoTIFF)
- 7. Low Confidence polygons (Shapefile)
- 8. Raw color imagery frames (GeoTIFF)
- 9. Independent Survey Checkpoint Data (Report, Photos, Point Shapefile, & Coordinates Spreadsheet)
- 10. Calibration Points (Report, Photos, Point Shapefile, & Coordinates Spreadsheet)
- 11. Metadata (XML)
- 12. Final Project Report (Acquisition, Processing, QC)

PROJECT TILING FOOTPRINT

Three thousand three hundred twenty (3,320) tiles were delivered for the project. Each tile's extent is 1,000 meters by 1,000 meters (see Appendix C for a complete listing of delivered tiles).



Florida Everglades National Park LiDAR Project

Figure 1 – Project Map

OVERVIEW OF CLASSIFICATION

The classification schema used during manual editing and also the schema used for the final LAS delivered to USGS as required by the project's scope of work in conjunction with ASPRS standards for bathymetric classification is listed below.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 7 of 165

Lidar Classification – Manual Editing and Final Deliverables				
Class	Description			
Class 1	Processed, but unclassified (includes buildings and vegetation)			
Class 2	Bare-earth ground			
Class 7	Low Noise			
Class 9	Water			
Class 17	Bridge Decks			
Class 18	High Noise			
Class 40	Bathymetric Bottom			
Class 41	Water Surface			
Class 45	No bathymetric bottom found (water column)			

Table 1 - Final lidar classification schema

Lidar Acquisition Report

Dewberry elected to perform the lidar acquisition and calibration activities. Dewberry was responsible for performing lidar acquisition and calibration of lidar data files.

LIDAR ACQUISITION DETAILS

Dewberry planned 124 passes for the project area as a series of parallel flight lines with cross flightlines for the purposes of quality control. The flight plan included zigzag flight line collection as a result of the inherent IMU drift associated with all IMU systems. In order to reduce any margin for error in the flight plan, Dewberry followed FEMA's Appendix A "guidelines" for flight planning and, at a minimum, includes the following criteria:

- A digital flight line layout using Riegl ALS flight design software for direct integration into the aircraft flight navigation system.
- Planned flight lines; flight line numbers; and coverage area.
- Lidar coverage extended by a predetermined margin beyond all project borders to ensure necessary over-edge coverage appropriate for specific task order deliverables.
- Local restrictions related to air space and any controlled areas have been investigated so that required permissions can be obtained in a timely manner with respect to schedule. Additionally, Dewberry will file our flight plans as required by local Air Traffic Control (ATC) prior to each mission.

Dewberry monitored weather and atmospheric conditions and conducted lidar missions only when no conditions exist below the sensor that will affect the collection of data. These conditions include leaf-off for hardwoods, no snow, rain, fog, smoke, mist and low clouds. Lidar systems are active sensors, not requiring light, thus missions may be conducted during night hours when weather restrictions do not prevent collection. Dewberry accesses reliable weather sites and indicators (webcams) to establish the highest probability for successful collection in order to position our sensors to maximize successful data acquisition. Due to flight restrictions in Everglades National Park, no missions were conducted during night hours. Within 72-hours prior to the planned day(s) of acquisition, Dewberry closely monitored the weather, checking all sources for forecasts at least twice daily. As soon as weather conditions were conducive to acquisition, our aircraft mobilized to the project site to begin data collection. Once on site, the acquisition team took responsibility for weather analysis.

Dewberry lidar sensors are calibrated at a designated site in Kissimmee, Florida and are periodically checked and adjusted to minimize corrections at project sites.

LIDAR SYSTEM PARAMETERS

Dewberry operated a Navajo Aircraft (Tail # C-GKCN) outfitted with a Riegl VQ820G and Riegl LMS Q680i duel lidar system configuration during the collection of the study area. Table 2 illustrates Dewberry system parameters for lidar acquisition on this project.

Item	Parameter	Parameter
System	Riegl VQ820G	Riegl LMS Q680i
Altitude (AGL meters)	400	400
Approx. Flight Speed (knots)	130	130
Scanner Pulse Rate (kHz)	522	400
Scan Frequency (hz)	200	191
Pulse Duration of the Scanner (nanoseconds)	1.2	3
Pulse Width of the Scanner (m)	0.36	0.9
Swath width (m)	307	462
Central Wavelength of the Sensor Laser (nanometers)	532	1550
Did the Sensor Operate with Multiple Pulses in The Air? (yes/no)	Yes	Yes
Beam Divergence (milliradians)	1	1
Nominal Swath Width on the Ground (m)	307	462
Swath Overlap (%)	30	30
Total Sensor Scan Angle (degree)	60	60
Computed Down Track spacing (m) per beam	0.45	0.63
Computed Cross Track Spacing (m) per beam	0.25	0.18
Nominal Pulse Spacing (single swath), (m)	0.35	0.35
Nominal Pulse Density (single swath) (ppsm), (m)	8	8
Aggregate NPS (m) (if ANPS was designed to be met through single coverage, ANPS and NPS will be equal)	0.35	0.35
Aggregate NPD (m) (if ANPD was designed to be met through single coverage, ANPD and NPD will be equal)	8	8
Maximum Number of Returns per Pulse	Unlimited	Unlimited

 Table 2 - Dewberry lidar system parameters

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 9 of 165

ACQUISITION STATUS REPORT AND FLIGHTLINES

Upon notification to proceed, the flight crew loaded the flight plans and validated the flight parameters. The Acquisition Manager contacted air traffic control and coordinated flight pattern requirements. Lidar acquisition began immediately upon notification that control base stations were in place. During flight operations, the flight crew monitored weather and atmospheric conditions. Lidar missions were flown only when no condition existed below the sensor that would affect the collection of data. The pilot constantly monitored the aircraft course, position, pitch, roll, and yaw of the aircraft. The sensor operator monitored the sensor, the status of PDOPs, and performed the first QA/QC review during acquisition. The flight crew constantly reviewed weather and cloud locations. Any flight lines impacted by unfavorable conditions were marked as invalid and re-flown immediately or at an optimal time.

Figure 2 shows the combined trajectory of the flightlines.



Figure 2: Trajectories as flown by Dewberry

LIDAR CONTROL

Dewberry deployed static GPS base stations during the acquisition of the Everglades National Park. Considerations were made for location access and clear visibility of the horizon. Additionally these static sessions were recorded at 1 Hz samples for the highest quality post processed solution. These static base sessions were then incorporated during the kinematic post-processing of aircraft position. These base stations were either set on existing control monumentation, or new benchmarks established. The coordinates of these base stations are provided in the table below. All control and calibration points are also provided in shapefile format as part of the final deliverables.

Name	NAD83 (2011) UTM 17		Ellipsoid Ht (NAD83	Orthometric Ht	
Tunic	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	(2011), m)	(NAVD88 Geoid12B, m)	
7119	545250.45	2820522.10	-22.868	1.795	
AC0526	518658.29	2849337.17	-20.758	3.405	
Home	545211.71	2820507.07	-19.138	5.527	

Table 3 - Base stations used to control lidar acquisition

AIRBORN GPS KINEMATIC

Airborne GPS data was processed using the PosPac kinematic On-The-Fly (OTF) software suite. Flights were flown with a minimum of 6 satellites in view (13° above the horizon) and with a PDOP of better than 4. Distances from base station to aircraft were kept to a maximum of 40 km.

For all flights, the GPS data can be classified as excellent, with GPS residuals of 3 cm average or better but no larger than 10 cm being recorded.

GPS processing reports for each mission are included in Appendix D.

GENERATION AND CALIBRATION OF LASER POINTS (RAW DATA)

The initial step of calibration is to verify availability and status of all needed GPS and Laser data against field notes and compile any data if not complete.

Subsequently the mission points are output using Riegl RiProcess, initially with default values from Riegl or the last mission calibrated for the system. The initial point generation for each mission calibration is verified within Microstation/Terrascan for calibration errors. If a calibration error greater than specification is observed within the mission, the roll, pitch and scanner scale corrections that need to be applied are calculated. The missions with the new calibration values are regenerated and validated internally once again to ensure quality.

Data collected by the lidar unit is reviewed for completeness, acceptable density and to make sure all data is captured without errors or corrupted values. In addition, all GPS, aircraft trajectory, mission information, and ground control files are reviewed and logged into a database.

On a project level, a supplementary coverage check is carried out to ensure no data voids unreported by Field Operations are present.



Figure 3 – Lidar swath output showing complete coverage.

BORESIGHT AND RELATIVE ACCURACY

The initial points for each mission calibration are inspected for flight line errors, flight line overlap, slivers or gaps in the data, point data minimums, or issues with the lidar unit or GPS. Roll, pitch and scanner scale are optimized during the calibration process until the relative accuracy is met.

Relative accuracy and internal quality are checked using at least 3 regularly spaced QC blocks in which points from all lines are loaded and inspected. Vertical differences between ground surfaces of each line are displayed. Color scale is adjusted so that errors greater than the specifications are flagged. Cross sections are visually inspected across each block to validate point to point, flight line to flight line and mission to mission agreement.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 12 of 165

For this project the specifications used are as follow:

Relative accuracy <= 6 cm maximum difference within individual swaths and <=8 cm RMSDz between adjacent and overlapping swaths.



Figure 4 – Profile views showing correct roll and pitch adjustments.



Figure 5 – QC block colored by distance to ensure accuracy at swath edges.

A different set of QC blocks are generated for final review after all transformations have been applied.

PRELIMINARY VERTICAL ACCURACY ASSESSMENT

A preliminary $RMSE_z$ error check is performed by Dewberry at this stage of the project life cycle in the raw lidar dataset against GPS static and kinematic data and compared to $RMSE_z$ project specifications. The lidar data is examined in non-vegetated, flat areas away from breaks. Lidar ground points for each flight line generated by an automatic classification routine are used.

The elevation data was verified internally to ensure it met Non-vegetated Vertical Accuracy (NVA) requirements ($RMSE_z \le 10$ cm and Accuracy_z at the 95% confidence level ≤ 19.6 cm) when compared to static and kinematic GPS checkpoints. Below is a summary for the test:

The calibrated Everglades National Park lidar dataset was tested to 0.064 m vertical accuracy at 95% confidence level based on $\rm RMSE_z$ (0.10 m x 1.9600) when compared to 47 GPS static check points.

The following are the final statistics for the GPS static ground control points used by Dewberry to internally verify vertical accuracy.

Number	NAD83 (2011) UTM 17N		NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	Locon 7 (m)	Dolto 7
	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Known Z (m)	Laser Z (III)	Delta Z
GCP-300	513849.390	2788034.780	1.100	1.060	-0.040
GCP-302	518915.380	2791439.940	1.050	1.010	-0.040
GCP-303	520299.670	2794732.580	0.900	0.920	0.020
GCP-304	520299.110	2798228.870	0.970	0.990	0.020
GCP-305	518645.760	2802768.080	1.030	1.030	0.000
GCP-306	518949.930	2807015.560	0.970	0.940	-0.030
GCP-307	521261.730	2809820.740	1.170	1.190	0.020
GCP-309	528070.620	2812364.740	0.810	0.850	0.040
GCP-311	534577.490	2809133.990	1.570	1.560	-0.010
GCP-312	539248.840	2807455.320	1.400	1.370	-0.030
GCP-313	541739.320	2808653.000	1.400	1.440	0.040
GCP-314	552212.710	2806533.260	1.300	1.310	0.010
GCP-315	541273.100	2811431.220	2.350	2.310	-0.040
GCP-316	541219.440	2816117.060	2.530	2.520	-0.010
GCP-317	542820.030	2820364.800	3.500	3.510	0.010
GCP-318	542771.510	2825889.070	3.520	3.550	0.030
GCP-320	542606.380	2833991.360	2.400	2.440	0.040
GCP-321	547852.700	2800345.880	0.780	0.770	-0.010
GCP-322	550992.590	2798878.540	1.050	1.020	-0.030
GCP-324	541989.340	2849188.480	2.180	2.160	-0.020
GCP-325	539660.340	2849260.110	2.490	2.510	0.020
GCP-326	537386.870	2849255.010	2.460	2.410	-0.050
GCP-327	535988.080	2849251.580	2.460	2.460	0.000
GCP-328	533629.880	2849246.620	2.450	2.490	0.040
<u>GCP-330</u>	523464.490	2849095.840	2.390	2.370	-0.020
GCP-333	522635.970	2849301.040	3.160	3.190	0.030

GCP-334	521065.030	2849215.860	2.800	2.720	-0.080
GCP-335	520419.130	2849316.390	3.890	3.890	0.000
GCP-336	519210.430	2849207.620	2.730	2.710	-0.020
GCP-338	517230.220	2849196.130	2.810	2.820	0.010
GCP-341	513797.780	2849175.990	2.610	2.590	-0.020
GCP-344	510105.450	2849252.510	2.150	2.220	0.070
GCP-345	508084.220	2849245.260	2.570	2.590	0.020
GCP-346	506542.610	2848187.160	2.100	2.120	0.020
GCP-347	504289.630	2847731.780	2.450	2.430	-0.020
GCP-348	499416.890	2849144.440	2.070	2.070	0.000
GCP-349	493187.810	2849145.990	1.880	1.860	-0.020
GCP-400	538008.760	2806640.440	0.710	0.770	0.060
GCP-401	547760.570	2807599.480	1.850	1.840	-0.010
GCP-405	551014.160	2802738.790	1.460	1.430	-0.030
GCP-406	550284.180	2840759.170	4.380	4.380	0.000
GCP-407	550420.700	2846100.710	4.630	4.600	-0.030
GCP-408	523526.740	2848815.620	2.720	2.680	-0.040
GCP-409	532830.820	2840670.720	3.540	3.590	0.050
GCP-410	532865.020	2845038.190	3.810	3.830	0.020
GCP-411	550298.640	2849283.860	2.930	3.000	0.070
GCP-412	496428.720	2846318.480	0.980	0.970	-0.010

Table 4 - Static GPS Ground Control Points

100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz (m) NVA Spec=0.1 m	NVA at 95% Spec=0.196 m	Mean (m)	Std Dev (m)	Min (m)	Max (m)
Non- Vegetated Terrain	47	0.033	0.064	0.001	0.033	-0.080	0.070

Table 5 - Static GPS Ground Control Vertical Accuracy Results

Overall the calibrated lidar data products collected by Dewberry meet or exceed the requirements set out in the Statement of Work. The quality control requirements of Dewberry quality management program were adhered to throughout the acquisition stage for this project to ensure product quality.

Lidar Processing & Qualitative Assessment

INITIAL PROCESSING

As the acquisition provider, Dewberry applied the refraction correction. Dewberry then performed several validations on the dataset prior to starting full-scale production on the project. These validations include vertical accuracy of the swath data, inter-swath (between swath) relative accuracy validation, intra-swath (within a single swath) relative accuracy validation, verification of horizontal alignment between swaths, and confirmation of point density and spatial distribution. This initial assessment allows Dewberry to determine if the data are suitable for full-scale production. Addressing issues at this stage allows the data to be corrected while imposing the least disruption possible on the overall production workflow and overall schedule.

Refraction Correction

Bathymetric data must have a refraction correction applied, which corrects the horizontal and vertical (depth) positions of each data point by accounting for the change in direction and speed of light as it enters and travels through water. The refraction correction was performed by Dewberry using the proprietary tool Dewberry's Lidar Processor (DLP).

Final Swath Vertical Accuracy Assessment

Dewberry tested the vertical accuracy of the non-vegetated terrain swath data prior to additional processing. Dewberry tested the vertical accuracy of the swath data using the sixty (60) nonvegetated (open terrain and urban) independent survey check points. The vertical accuracy is tested by comparing survey checkpoints in non-vegetated terrain to a triangulated irregular network (TIN) that is created from the raw swath points. Only checkpoints in non-vegetated terrain can be tested against raw swath data because the data has not undergone classification techniques to remove vegetation, buildings, and other artifacts from the ground surface. Checkpoints are always compared to interpolated surfaces from the lidar point cloud because it is unlikely that a survey checkpoint will be located at the location of a discrete lidar point. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy so that three different software programs are used to validate the vertical accuracy for each project. Project specifications require a NVA of 19.6 cm based on the $RMSE_z$ (10 cm) x 1.96. The dataset for the Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project satisfies this criteria. This raw lidar swath data set was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 10 cm RMSE_z Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 4.3$ cm, equating to +/- 8.5 cm at 95% confidence level. The table below shows all calculated statistics for the raw swath data.

100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz NVA Spec=0.10 m	NVA –Non- vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSEz x 1.9600) Spec=0.196 m	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Skew	Std Dev (m)	Min (m)	Max (m)	Kurtosis
Non- Vegetated Terrain	60	0.043	0.085	0.016	0.017	0.005	0.040	-0.084	0.104	-0.204

Table 6 - NVA at 95% Confidence Level for Raw Swaths

One checkpoint (NVA-151) was removed from the raw swath vertical accuracy testing due to its location underneath a parked vehicle. Only non-vegetated terrain checkpoints are used to test the raw swath data because the raw swath data has not been classified to remove vegetation, structures, and other above ground features from the ground classification. While NVA-151 is located in open terrain, the parked vehicle is modeled by the lidar point cloud. These high points caused erroneous high values during the swath vertical accuracy testing so this point was removed from the final calculations. Once the data underwent the classification process, the car was removed from the final ground classification and this point could be used in the final vertical accuracy testing for the fully classified lidar data. Table 7, below, provides the coordinates for this checkpoint and the vertical accuracy results from the raw swath data. Table 8, below, provides the usable vertical accuracy results of this checkpoint from the fully classified

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 16 of 165

lidar. The differences in the tables show how above ground features can cause erroneous vertical accuracy results in the raw swath data. Figure 6, below, shows a side profile of the lidar point cloud and the location of the checkpoint beneath the parked vehicle in the survey photo.

Point ID	NAD83 (2011)	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	Lidar Z	Dolto 7	AbsDelta7	
Point ID	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Survey Z (m)	(m)	Dena Z	ADSDEItaZ
NVA-151	544035.935	2849277.208	2.608	3.720	1.112	1.112

Fable '	7 - Checknoint	removed from	raw swath	vertical	accuracy	testing
Lable	/ - Checkpoint	removed nom	1 aw Swatti	vertical	accuracy	testing

Point ID	NAD83 (2011)	UTM Zone 17N	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	Lidar Z	Dolta 7	AbsDeltaZ
Point ID	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Survey Z (m)	(m)	Dena Z	
NVA-151	544035.935	2849277.208	2.608	2.580	-0.028	0.028

Table 8 - Final tested vertical accuracy for NVA-151 post ground classification



Figure 6 – Survey photo of NVA checkpoint 151 (left image) and profile view of the lidar with point location (right image). Checkpoint 151 is located underneath a parked vehicle. This point was removed from raw swath vertical accuracy testing because above ground features, including vehicles, have not been separated from the ground classification yet.

Inter-Swath (Between Swath) Relative Accuracy

Dewberry verified inter-swath or between swath relative accuracy of the dataset by creating Delta-Z (DZ) orthos. According to the SOW, USGS Lidar Base Specifications v1.2, and ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data, 10 cm Vertical Accuracy Class or QL1 data must meet inter-swath relative accuracy of 8 cm RMSDz or less with maximum differences less than 16 cm. These measurements are to be taken in non-vegetated and flat open terrain using single or only returns from all classes. Measurements are calculated in the DZ orthos on 1-meter pixels or cell sizes. Areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines are within 8 cm of each other within each pixel are colored green, areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines have elevation differences in each pixel between 8 cm to 16 cm are colored yellow, and areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines have elevation differences in each pixel between 8 cm to 16 cm are colored yellow, and

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 17 of 165

greater than 16 cm are colored red. Pixels that do not contain points from overlapping flight lines are colored according to their intensity values. Areas of vegetation and steep slopes (slopes with 16 cm or more of valid elevation change across 1 linear meter) are expected to appear yellow or red in the DZ orthos. If the project area is heavily vegetated, Dewberry may also create DZ Orthos from the initial ground classification only, while keeping all other parameters consistent. This allows Dewberry to review the ground classification relative accuracy beneath vegetation and to ensure flight line ridges or other issues do not exist in the final classified data.

Flat, open areas are expected to be green in the DZ orthos. Bathymetric areas may be yellow or red due to varying elevations of returns within the water column. Large or continuous sections of yellow or red pixels following flight line patterns and not the terrain, vegetation, or bathymetric areas can indicate the data was not calibrated correctly or that there were issues during acquisition that could affect the usability of the data. The DZ orthos for Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project are shown in the figure below; this project meets interswath relative accuracy specifications.



Figure 7 – Single return DZ Orthos (combined green and near infrared sensors) for the Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project. Offsets between flight lines of 0-8 cm are green, 8-16 cm are yellow, and above 16 cm are red. Larger offsets in vegetated and bathymetric areas are expected as different returns from water column and vegetation can occur between different flight lines. Interswath relative accuracy passes specifications.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 18 of 165

Intra-Swath (Within a Single Swath) Relative Accuracy

Dewberry verifies the intra-swath or within swath relative accuracy by using Quick Terrain Modeler (QTM) scripting and visual reviews. QTM scripting is used to calculate the maximum difference of all points within each 1-meter pixel/cell size of each swath. Dewberry analysts then identify planar surfaces acceptable for repeatability testing and analysts review the QTM results in those areas. According to the SOW, USGS Lidar Base Specifications v1.2, and ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data, 10 cm Vertical Accuracy Class or QL1 data must meet intra-swath relative accuracy of 6 cm maximum difference or less. The image below shows two examples of the intra-swath relative accuracy of Everglades National Park Lidar project; this project meets intra-swath relative accuracy specifications.



Figure 8 – Intra-swath relative accuracy. The left image shows a large portion of the dataset; flat, open areas are colored green as they are within 6 cm whereas sloped terrain is colored red because it exceeds 6 cm maximum difference, as expected, due to actual slope/terrain change. The right image is a close-up of a flat area. With the exception of tree islands (shown in red as the elevation/height difference in vegetated areas will exceed 6 cm) this open flat area is acceptable for repeatability testing. Intra-swath relative accuracy passes specifications.

Horizontal Alignment

To ensure horizontal alignment between adjacent or overlapping flight lines, Dewberry uses QTM scripting and visual reviews. QTM scripting is used to create files similar to DZ orthos for each swath but this process highlights planar surfaces, such as roof tops. In particular, horizontal shifts or misalignments between swaths on roof tops and other elevated planar surfaces are highlighted. Visual reviews of these features, including additional profile verifications, are used to confirm the results of this process. The image below shows an example of the horizontal alignment between swaths for the Everglades National Park project; no horizontal alignment issues were identified.



Figure 9 – Horizontal Alignment. Two separate flight lines differentiated by color (Teal/Purple) are shown in this profile. There is no visible offset between these two flight lines. No horizontal alignment issues were identified.

Point Density and Spatial Distribution

For topographic areas, the required Aggregate Nominal Point Spacing (ANPS) for this project is no greater than 0.35 meters, which equates to an Aggregate Nominal Point Density (ANPD) of 8 points per square meter or greater. Density calculations were performed using first return data only located in the geometrically usable center portion (typically ~90%) of each swath. By utilizing statistics, the project area was determined to have a combined topobathy ANPS of 0.22 meters or an ANPD of 21.15 points per square meter which satisfies the project requirements. A visual review of a 1-square meter density grid (figure below) shows that there are some 1-meter cells that do not contain 8 points per square meter (red areas) due to the irregular spacing of lidar point cloud data. Most 1-square meter cells contain at least 8 points per square meter (green areas) and when density is viewed/analyzed by representative 1-square kilometer areas (to account for the irregular spacing of lidar point clouds), density passes with no issues.



Figure 10 – 1-square meter density grid. There are some 1-meter cells that do not contain 8 points per square meter (red areas) due to the irregular spacing of lidar point cloud data. Most 1-square meter cells contain at least 8 points per square meter (green areas) showing there are no systematic density issues. When density is viewed/analyzed by representative 1-square kilometer areas, density passes with no issues.

The spatial distribution of points must be uniform and free of clustering. This specification is tested by creating a grid with cell sizes equal to the design NPS*2. ArcGIS tools are then used to calculate the number of first return points of each swath within each grid cell. At least 90% of the cells must contain 1 lidar point, excluding acceptable void areas such as low NIR reflectivity features, i.e. some asphalt and roof composition materials. This project passes spatial distribution requirements, as shown in the image below.



Figure 11 – Spatial Distribution. All cells (2*NPS cellsize) containing at least one lidar point are colored green. Cells that do not contain a lidar point are colored red. 99.5% of cells contain at least one lidar point.

Breakline Production & Qualitative Assessment Report

BREAKLINE PRODUCTION METHODOLOGY

Dewberry used 2D digitization to collect breaklines for this project. Green sensor intensity imagery, near infrared (NIR) intensity imagery, bare earth ground models, LAS datasets, and density models were produced to assist with breakline delineation. Breaklines were collected following USGS Base Specifications for collection – inland ponds and lakes 2 acres or greater surface area, and inland streams and rivers 100 feet nominal width.

Hydrologic features meeting collection size requirements were determined using the near infrared intensity imagery, which identifies wet features at the time of data collection. Green intensity imagery was used to determine where bathymetric data was present, along with drawing profiles using the lidar point cloud.

Refraction requirements were determined between Dewberry and the client. Hydrologic features meeting USGS collection size requirements and also containing bathymetric data deeper than 50

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 22 of 165

cm were refracted. Bathymetric data within locations of refraction follow the ASPRS topographic-bathymetric point classification schema.

Hydrographic breaklines are delivered in three feature classes/shapefiles. They are discussed below. Bridge saddle breaklines were also collected where necessary and are discussed in the DEM Qualitative Review section of this report.

Inland Water Voids

These polygons are located where no bathymetric data were found in the lidar. Any points within these polygons that were grounded by the initial grounding macro were reclassified to point class 9: water. In the final topobathy DEMs, these locations are represented as voids and set to No Data.



Figure 12 - Tiles 17RMJ980210 and 17RMJ980200. Near infrared intensity image and inland water void (pink) representing hydrologic feature where no bathymetric data were found (top image). The initial ground points were reclassified to class 9: water, and are represented as No Data voids in the topobathy DEMs (bottom image).

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 23 of 165

Land/Water Interface

These polygons are located where bathymetric data were found in the lidar that is deep enough to require refraction (i.e. deeper than 50 cm). Dewberry's internal refraction tool corrects the horizontal and vertical (depth) positions of each data point by accounting for the change in the speed of light as it travels through water and the change in angle or direction as light enters the water. The refraction tool is applied to the Green sensor data. Because the LAS is a combination of the NIR and Green sensor data, Dewberry applied a topobathy classification schema to the NIR points within these polygons so all lidar points within these polygons follow the ASPRS topobathy classification schema.

Class 40 – Bathymetric point (submerged topography)

Class 41 – Water Surface (distinct from point class 9, which is used in topographic-only lidar and only designates "water", not "water surface")

Class 45 – No-bottom-found-at (bathymetric lidar point for which no detectable bottom return was received)



Figure 13 - Tiles 17RNH210830 and 17RNH220830. Green intensity image and land/water interface breakline (green) representing hydrologic feature where refraction correction was performed on bathymetric data (top image). Basemap with land/water interface (bottom image).

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 24 of 165

Topobathy Voids

These polygons are located within the land/water interface breaklines used for refraction, and are located where no submerged bottom data were found in the lidar. Points within these polygons follow the same classification schema used in the land/water interface breaklines. In the final topobathy DEMs, these locations are represented as voids and set to No Data.



Figure 14 - Tile 17RNH400890. Green intensity image, land/water interface breakline (green), and topobathy voids (blue) representing hydrologic feature where refraction correction was performed on bathymetric data and locations with no bathymetric data were delineated as voids (left image). Locations where no bathymetric data are found are reclassified to class 45: no bottom found, and are represented as No Data voids in the topobathy DEMs (right image).

BREAKLINE CHECKLIST

The following table represents a portion of the high-level steps in Dewberry's Production and QA/QC checklist that were performed for this project.

Pass/Fail	Validation Step
Pass	Use lidar-derived data, which may include intensity imagery, stereo pairs, bare earth ground models, density models, slope models, and terrains, to collect breaklines according to project specifications.
Pass	In areas of heavy vegetation or where the exact shoreline is hard to delineate, it is better to err on placing the breakline <i>slightly</i> inside or seaward of the shoreline (breakline can be inside shoreline by 1x-2x NPS).
Pass	After each producer finishes breakline collection for a block, each producer must perform a completeness check and all automated checks on their block before calling that block complete and ready for the final merge and QC
Pass	After breaklines are completed for production blocks, all production blocks should be merged together and completeness and automated checks should be performed on the final, merged GDB. Ensure correct snapping-horizontal (x,y) between all production blocks.
Pass	Check entire dataset for missing features that were not captured, but should be to meet baseline specifications or for consistency. Features should be collected consistently across tile bounds. Check that the horizontal placement of breaklines is correct. Breaklines should be compared to full point cloud intensity imagery and terrains.

.

Pass	Breaklines are correctly edge-matched to adjoining datasets in completion, coding, and horizontal placement.
Pass	Perform all Topology and Data Integrity Checks

Table 9 - A subset of the high-level steps from Dewberry's Production and QA/QC checklist performed for this project.

DATA CLASSIFICATION AND EDITING

Once the calibration, absolute swath vertical accuracy, and relative accuracy of the data was confirmed, Dewberry utilized a variety of software suites for data processing. Data processing included breakline creation to define the land/water interface, automated and manual editing of the lidar tiles, QA/QC, and final formatting of the LAS files.

GeoCue and Terrascan Processing

Next is the setup of the GeoCue project, which is done by importing a project defined tile boundary index encompassing the entire project area. The acquired 3D laser point clouds, in LAS binary format, were imported into the GeoCue project and tiled according to the project tile grid. Once tiled, the laser points were classified using a proprietary routine in TerraScan to create the initial automated ground and bathy bottom classifications, using the final project classification schema.

This routine classifies any obvious low outliers in the dataset to class 7 and high outliers in the dataset to class 18. Points along flight line edges that are geometrically unusable are identified as withheld and classified to a separate class so that they will not be used in the initial ground algorithm. After points that could negatively affect the ground are removed from class 1, the ground layer is extracted from this remaining point cloud. The ground extraction process encompassed in this routine takes place by building an iterative surface model.

This surface model is generated using three main parameters: building size, iteration angle and iteration distance. The initial model is based on low points being selected by a "roaming window" with the assumption that these are the ground points. The size of this roaming window is determined by the building size parameter. The low points are triangulated and the remaining points are evaluated and subsequently added to the model if they meet the iteration angle and distance constraints. This process is repeated until no additional points are added within iterations. A second critical parameter is the maximum terrain angle constraint, which determines the maximum terrain angle allowed within the classification model.

The final breaklines defining the land/water interface are then used to perform refraction correction and classify "ground" points within the water breaklines as bathymetric bottom. The breaklines are also used as part of the classification routines to ensure water surface and water column points are classified correctly.

Each tile was then imported into Terrascan and a surface model was created to examine the ground (class 2) and bathy bottom (class 40) classification. Dewberry analysts employ 3D visualization techniques to view the point cloud at multiple angles and in profile to ensure that non-ground points are removed from the ground classification and that class 40 accurately represents submerged topography. Dewberry analysts visually reviewed the surface models and corrected errors in the ground classification such as vegetation, buildings, and bridges that were

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 26 of 165

present following the initial processing conducted by Dewberry. Common errors in the bathymetric classification that were corrected by Dewberry include some of the issues outlined below.

The lidar tiles were classified to the following classification schema:

- Class 1 = Unclassified, used for all other features that do not fit into the Classes 2, 7, 9, 10, 17, or 18, including vegetation, buildings, etc.
- Class 2 = Bare-Earth Ground
- Class 7 = Low Noise
- Class 9 = Water, points located within collected breaklines
- Class 10 = Ignored Ground due to breakline proximity
- Class 17 = Bridge Decks
- Class 18 = High Noise
- Class 40 = Bathymetric Bottom
- Class 41 = Water Surface
- Class 45 = No bathymetric bottom found (water column)

Special attention was given along shorelines or the land/water interface as no hard edges or seams should exist between ground and bathy bottom.





Figure 15 - The land water interface should be seamless with no hard edges or seamlines. The topobathy surface model is shown in the top image with a profile location overlaid. The LAS profile is shown in the bottom image where bathy bottom points are green, water surface points are blue, ground points are orange, and unclassified points are grey.

Areas of rapids or swift moving water may also need to be removed from the bathy bottom class as these may be surface or water column points and not bathy bottom points due to the water movement and stirring of sediment (increased turbidity). When possible, color orthos were used to help determine water clarity and likelihood of full penetration to the submerged bottom. Generally, editors looked for consistency in data, especially continuous topography (connecting the dots method to ensure channel geometry is reasonable).

Special attention was given in deeper areas where there may not be any true bathy bottom points, but the automated algorithm classified lower water column points as bathy bottom. When evaluating points to determine if they are low water column points or true bathy bottom, the following rules were used as guidelines to maintain accuracy and consistency:

1. Gradient consistency-if the points are part of consistent gradients or consistent channel geometry, they are more likely to be bathy bottom rather than low water column noise. Conversely, points that would cause abrupt changes or inconsistency in the overall gradient or channel geometry are less likely to be bathy bottom points, especially if the abrupt change would result in shallower (higher) bathy bottom points above lower bathy bottom points with a high confidence.



Figure 16 - Bathy Bottom points (green) are shown with water column (dark blue) and water surface points (light blue) in this profile. The four water column points circled in red would cause inconsistent and upward changes in the topobathy model if these points were classified to bathy bottom. These points should remain classified as water column.



Figure 17 - Bathy Bottom points (green) are shown with water column (dark blue) and water surface points (light blue) in this profile. The bathy bottom points circled in red are isolated, but maintain a consistent gradient with other bathy bottom points to the east. These points should remain classified as bathy bottom.

Bridge decks are classified to class 17 using bridge breaklines compiled by Dewberry. Overage points are then identified in Terrascan and GeoCue is used to set the overlap bit for the overage points and the withheld bit is set on the withheld points previously identified in Terrascan before the ground classification routine was performed.

After manual classification, the LAS tiles were peer reviewed and then underwent a final QA/QC. After the final QA/QC and corrections, all headers, appropriate point data records, and variable length records, including spatial reference information, are updated in GeoCue software and then verified using proprietary Dewberry tools.

Submerged Objects

No submerged objects were identified during editing and review of the lidar data, therefore no points were classified to class 43 or class 44.

Temporal Changes

Changes in the bathymetric bottom surface can result from differences between collection periods due to factors such as currents moving sediment. However, Dewberry did not identify any significant temporal changes in this project.

Lidar Qualitative Assessment

Dewberry's qualitative assessment utilizes a combination of statistical analysis and interpretative methodology or visualization to assess the quality of the data for a bare-earth digital terrain model (DTM). This includes creating pseudo image products such as lidar orthos produced from the intensity returns, Triangular Irregular Network (TIN)'s, Digital Elevation Models (DEM) and 3-dimensional models as well as reviewing the actual point cloud data. This process looks for anomalies in the data, areas where man-made structures or vegetation points may not have been classified properly to produce a bare-earth model, and other classification errors. This report will present representative examples where the lidar and post processing had issues as well as examples of where the lidar performed well.

VISUAL REVIEW

The following sections describe common types of issues identified in lidar data and the results of the visual review for the Everglades National Park lidar project.

NIR Depressions

Marsh areas within the project contain east-west strips of minimally "depressed" NIR data at nadir. The cause of these artifacts is due to incorrect range values due to highly reflective, extremely shallow water. This is evident in the NIR intensities – where this artifact occurs in the NIR intensity is very bright. This bright strip of nadir intensity is a typical signature of a wetter surface. Due to the extremely high intensity, the intensity based range corrections become less valid. Since the water has no depth, the green data is not lower than the NIR, thus the artifact

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 29 of 165

appears. Dewberry minimized these NIR depressions by filtering based on the scan angle and intensity properties, the relationship of the NIR below the green dataset, and its isolation within a single flightline's points. An example is shown below.



Figure 18 – Tile 17RNJ130080. NIR depressions originally identified in the initial ground DEMs (top image). These locations are represented by very bright strips in the NIR intensity imagery (middle image). Filtering methods resulted in minimized effects of the NIR depressions (bottom image).

Excessive Vegetation

Dewberry identified locations of very dense low-lying vegetation within the project area. These low lying features are extremely difficult for the automated algorithms to detect as non-ground and must be removed manually. The higher points were removed from ground and the lower points were left as ground due to the difficulty of delineating where the true ground ended and Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 30 of 165

the low-lying vegetation began. An example of this low-lying vegetation in the project area is shown below.





Figure 19 – Tile 17RNH340960. Bare earth topobathy DEM showing location of low-lying dense vegetation in Everglades National Park (top image). The LAS profile (bottom image) shows higher points were removed from ground and the lower points were left as ground in the final classification schema.

Bridge Removal Artifacts

The DEM surface models are created from TINs or Terrains. TIN and Terrain models create continuous surfaces from the inputs. Because a continuous surface is being created, the TIN or Terrain will use interpolation to continue the surface beneath the bridge where no lidar data was acquired. Locations where bridges were removed will generally contain less detail in the bareearth surface because these areas are interpolated.



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 31 of 165



Figure 20 – Tile number 17RNJ390090. The DEM in the bottom view shows an area where a bridge has been removed from ground. The surface model must make a continuous model and in order to do so, points are connected through interpolation. This results in less detail where the surface must be interpolated. The profile in the top view shows the lidar points of this particular feature colored by class. All bridge points (green) have been removed from ground (orange) and are classified as bridge deck.

Culverts and Bridges

Bridges have been removed from the bare earth surface while culverts remain in the bare earth surface. In instances where it is difficult to determine if the feature is a culvert or bridge, such as with some small bridges, Dewberry erred on assuming they would be culverts especially if they are on secondary or tertiary roads. Below is an example of a culvert that has been left in the ground surface.



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 32 of 165



Figure 21 – Tile number 17RNJ390090. Profile with points colored by class (class 1=grey, class 2=orange) is shown in the top view and the DEM is shown in the bottom view. This culvert remains in the bare earth surface. Bridges have been removed from the bare earth surface and classified to class 17.

Flight line Ridges

Ridges occur when there is a difference between the elevations of adjoining flight lines or swaths. Some flight line ridges are visible in the final DEMs but they do not exceed the project specifications and the overall relative accuracy requirements for the project area have been met. An example of a visible ridge that is within tolerance is shown below.



Figure 22 – Tile number 17RNJ200070. The flight line ridge is less than 8 cm. Overall, the Florida Everglades National Park lidar data meets the project specifications for 8 cm RMSE relative accuracy.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 33 of 165

Formatting

After the final QA/QC is performed and all corrections have been applied to the dataset, all lidar files are updated to the final format requirements and the final formatting, header information, point data records, and variable length records are verified using Dewberry proprietary tools. The table below lists some of the main lidar header fields that are updated and verified.

Classified Lidar Formatting				
Parameter	Requirement	Pass/Fail		
LAS Version	1.4	Pass		
Point Data Format	Format 6	Pass		
Coordinate Reference System	NAD83 (2011) UTM Zone 17N, meters and NAVD88 (Geoid 12B), meters in WKT Format	Pass		
Global Encoder Bit	Should be set to 17 for Adjusted GPS Time	Pass		
Time Stamp	Adjusted GPS Time (unique timestamps)	Pass		
System ID	Should be set to the processing system/software and is set to NIIRS10 for GeoCue software	Pass		
Multiple Returns	The sensor shall be able to collect multiple returns per pulse and the return numbers are recorded	Pass		
Intensity	16 bit intensity values are recorded for each pulse	Pass		
Classification	Required Classes include: Class 1: Unclassified Class 2: Ground Class 7: Low Noise Class 9: Water Class 9: Water Class 17: Bridge Deck Class 18: High Noise Class 40: Bathymetric Bottom Class 41: Water Surface Class 45: No bathymetric bottom found (water column)	Pass		
Overlap and Withheld Points	Overlap (Overage) and Withheld points are set to the Overlap and Withheld bits	Pass		
Scan Angle	Recorded for each pulse	Pass		
XYZ Coordinates	Unique Easting, Northing, and Elevation coordinates are recorded for each pulse	Pass		

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 34 of 165

Derivative Lidar Products

USGS required several derivative lidar products to be created. Each type of derived product is described below.

INTENSITY IMAGERY

Full point cloud intensity images were generated in the GeoCue software of both the Green sensor and the Near Infrared (NIR) sensor data. Each image contains a respective world file to georeference the raster map image. Intensity images are delivered in 8-bit, 256 color gray scale, and GeoTIFF format.

The NIR intensity imagery contains strips of data drop-outs in localized areas. An example of this is shown below. These data drop-outs do not impact the usability of the final lidar data as these data are present in the Green lidar data; the full point cloud consists of data from both the NIR and Green lidar sensors.



Figure 23 – The intensity imagery of the NIR sensor data contain small black strips in several localized areas. These strips are where drop-outs occurred during collection of the NIR data. This does not impact the coverage of the lidar and is only visible in the intensity imagery of the NIR sensor data. The Green sensor lidar data was combined with the NIR sensor lidar data to create complete coverage of the lidar point cloud. The combined data has been verified to meet project specifications. There are no detrimental effects to the DEM or any derivative products aside from the artifacts in the NIR intensity imagery.

LOW-CONFIDENCE POLYGONS

Low confidence polygons have been delivered with this dataset. These polygons represent areas where heavy vegetation greatly diminishes penetration of the lidar pulse, resulting in a bare earth surface that is potentially less accurate due to the lack of lidar returns from the ground beneath the vegetation. Low confidence polygons delineate areas where conformance to VVA standards may not be met. The low confidence polygons created for this dataset were delineated according to the criteria and assumptions outlined in the ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014). Low confidence areas are identified using a ground density raster. All areas with a Nominal Ground Point Density less than a specified threshold are

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 35 of 165

identified as low confidence cells in the ground density raster. The low confidence cells are exported to polygons and aggregated into larger shapes. Areas of expected low density in the ground, such as water or where buildings/structures have been removed, are deleted from the aggregated low confidence polygons. The size of all polygons are then calculated and polygons below the minimum size threshold are removed from the final low confidence polygon dataset.

Lidar Positional Accuracy

BACKGROUND

Dewberry quantitatively tested the dataset by testing the vertical accuracy of the lidar. The vertical accuracy is tested by comparing the discreet measurement of the survey checkpoints to that of the interpolated value within the three closest lidar points that constitute the vertices of a three-dimensional triangular face of the TIN. Therefore, the end result is that only a small sample of the lidar data is actually tested. However there is an increased level of confidence with lidar data due to the relative accuracy. This relative accuracy in turn is based on how well one lidar point "fits" in comparison to the next contiguous lidar measurement, and is verified as part of the initial processing. If the relative accuracy of a dataset is within specifications and the dataset passes vertical accuracy requirements at the location of survey checkpoints, the vertical accuracy results can be applied to the whole dataset with high confidence due to the passing relative accuracy. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy so that three different software programs are used to validate the vertical accuracy for each project.

Dewberry also tests the horizontal accuracy of lidar datasets when checkpoints are photoidentifiable in the intensity imagery. Photo-identifiable checkpoints in intensity imagery typically include checkpoints located at the ends of paint stripes on concrete or asphalt surfaces or checkpoints located at 90 degree corners of different reflectivity, e.g. a sidewalk corner adjoining a grass surface. The XY coordinates of checkpoints, as defined in the intensity imagery, are compared to surveyed XY coordinates for each photo-identifiable checkpoint. These differences are used to compute the tested horizontal accuracy of the lidar. As not all projects contain photo-identifiable checkpoints, the horizontal accuracy of the lidar cannot always be tested.

SURVEY VERTICAL ACCURACY CHECKPOINTS

For the vertical accuracy assessment, one hundred thirty eight (138) check points were surveyed for the project and are located within bare earth/open terrain, grass/weeds/crops, forested/fully grown, and submerged topography land cover categories. Please see appendix A to view the survey report which details and validates how the survey was completed for this project.

Checkpoints were evenly distributed throughout the project area so as to cover as many flight lines as possible using the "dispersed method" of placement.

All checkpoints surveyed for vertical accuracy testing purposes are listed in the following table.

Point ID	NAD83 (2	2011) UTM Zone 17N	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)
	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Elevation (m)
100	543079.597	2836710.724	3.385

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 36 of 165

101	547314.001	2837248.061	1.607
102	546793.713	2835637.593	1.606
103	545750.175	2832407.957	2.17
104	542664.056	2832427.138	2.338
106	542756.182	2822474.292	3.203
107	542810.294	2817792.76	2.856
108	542866.728	2812729.445	1.818
118	520306.625	2800382.673	0.883
120	527594.236	2808089.378	0.34
122	533607.061	2808098.151	1.293
123	541002.767	2807498.292	0.887
124	543836.915	2805488.588	1.023
125	543714.578	2800572.493	1.19
126	547816.23	2804003.615	2.227
128	550898.076	2806522.064	1.086
129	553937.03	2806554.035	1.304
130	555680.231	2797122.754	0.655
131	550957.355	2799162.547	0.543
148	515034.473	2788702.555	0.862
150	520348.953	2793107.853	0.66
158	530381.44	2849373.802	3.25
161	523434.366	2837818.879	1.865
413	544411.242	2807928.587	2.233
414	546485.02	2806069.545	2.482
415	547771.676	2801713.161	2.099
417	538007.777	2806509.318	0.82
105	542686.165	2827526.839	2.288
109	543026.282	2809128.916	2.262
110	538851.84	2809933.277	1.318
111	535509.582	2811173.343	1.646
112	532162.45	2811832.531	1.669
113	528735.225	2813307.196	1.679
114	524791.751	2813000.11	1.27
115	523030.728	2812348.82	1.351
116	521825.291	2813724.038	1.082
117	518134.545	2805267.915	0.979
119	520171.164	2796284.377	0.952
121	531554.389	2806039.417	1.323
127	550179.266	2808610.684	0.809
132	514356.183	2849179.277	2.747
133	517304.704	2849334.301	4.19
134	519410.489	2849313.902	3.999
135	520397.028	2849187.798	2.967
Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 37 of 165

136	522567.263	2849303.614	3.006
137	523518.875	2848795.892	3.015
143	506861.664	2848776.82	2.127
144	496434.481	2849026.74	2.45
145	500665.487	2849055.652	2.054
146	495246.924	2844988.406	0.798
147	512625.154	2787190.341	0.913
149	517775.761	2790677.638	0.951
151	544035.935	2849277.208	2.608
152	549985.732	2849319.656	2.931
153	525217.978	2849354.404	3.43
154	526026.249	2849358.576	3.372
155	527568.517	2849370.059	3.347
156	528720.247	2849370.459	3.373
157	529581.786	2849351.2	3.847
159	531651.615	2849371.261	3.774
160	532739.336	2849294.568	3.482
416	537995.121	2808117.983	1.811
138	512703.238	2843726.494	1.547
139	517995.585	2844025.513	1.771
140	521738.093	2843803.256	1.639
141	509960.513	2838598.562	0.797
142	514924.281	2838695.4	1.283
200	543237.474	2840111.177	1.381
201	550231.757	2840322.079	1.689
202	539299.42	2833878.331	1.422
203	534056.345	2831173.018	1.205
204	532428.572	2826333.015	1.193
205	538753.233	2826656.368	1.366
206	538665.872	2820200.088	1.058
207	534162.987	2819992.3	1.209
208	529540.564	2819935.575	0.936
209	523091.995	2819564.126	0.331
210	538659.449	2815534.591	1.168
211	520050.151	2808392.485	0.257
212	525060.416	2800464.228	0.045
213	529435.811	2800873.868	0.256
214	534339.091	2800656.344	-0.088
215	538619.367	2800661.432	0.119
216	548069.554	2796730.626	-0.382
217	543208.971	2795917.093	-0.383
218	537220.334	2794739.962	-0.324
219	531952.194	2794966.41	-0.46

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 38 of 165

220	529450.003	2790382.642	-0.452
221	524870.159	2794754.582	-0.369
222	520378.161	2838820.216	1.388
223	508007.545	2833812.838	0.283
224	514368.828	2834083.566	1
225	518816.481	2833409.753	1.043
226	503114.788	2828624.583	-0.155
227	509064.102	2828621.425	0.39
228	515997.196	2828393.11	0.759
229	499924.975	2824548.14	-0.181
230	508657.9	2824597.494	0.15
231	515466.944	2824466.811	0.433
232	505811.959	2820918.29	-0.186
233	505010.513	2844273.373	1.208
234	503130.446	2838283.069	0.407
235	495637.561	2839248.012	0.092
236	489696.599	2840072.175	-0.296
237	495068.279	2834814.668	-0.29
238	513115.321	2787735.451	-0.16
239	520415.845	2792098.225	-0.405
240	537823.674	2790559.953	-0.56
241	551893.47	2794082.512	-0.276
242	537786.183	2846853.293	1.439
243	543006.735	2845977.712	1.448
244	497670.07	2828390.52	-0.148
245	484791.681	2840655.307	-0.339
246	524886.565	2841735.417	1.417
247	531701.478	2840691.659	1.426
248	523019.874	2834496.691	1.144
249	532535.427	2835048.148	1.404
250	520898.525	2828596.78	0.781
251	527135.739	2827894.78	0.976
252	512772.221	2817421.751	-0.044
253	511048.181	2808496.606	-0.248
500	539946.127	2809333.181	-0.101
501	539720.076	2809443.736	-0.423
502	539281.652	2809708.186	-0.154
503	539060.758	2809809.728	-0.142
504	522668.671	2812703.647	0.003
505	522392.072	2812835.414	-0.057
506	522042.29	2813105.88	-0.182
507	522506.886	2811759.157	-0.172
508	518380.972	2805881.605	-0.32

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 39 of 165

509	519662.323	2791919.961	-0.46
510	537914.168	2806302.845	-0.115
511	537843.535	2806091.929	-0.045
512	537595.323	2805784.184	-0.193
513	537508.596	2805542.112	-0.148
514	537325.004	2805257.624	-0.625
515	542942.854	2806822.562	-0.139
516	542940.397	2806294.073	-0.25

Table 10 - USGS FL Everglades National Park lidar surveyed accuracy checkpoints.

One hundred and thirty-eight checkpoints were surveyed for vertical accuracy testing. One checkpoint (NVA-413) was removed from testing due to its location outside of the project boundary.



Figure 24 – Checkpoint NVA-413 (green) was removed from accuracy testing because it was located outside of the project boundary (red).

Project specifications do not require bathymetric accuracy testing. Seventeen (17) points were surveyed for bathymetric accuracy testing (Point ID 500 – 516). Dewberry used these checkpoints for internal production processing, however these points were not survey grade and were not used for final bathymetric accuracy testing in the project area. An example of a bathymetric point removed from accuracy testing is shown below.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 40 of 165



Figure 25 – Survey photos of bathy checkpoint 501 shown above. Bathymetric checkpoints 500 through 516 were removed from accuracy testing because they were determined to not be survey grade.

The figure below shows the location of the QA/QC checkpoints used to test the positional accuracy of the dataset.



Figure 26 – Location of QA/QC Checkpoints

VERTICAL ACCURACY TEST PROCEDURES

NVA (Non-vegetated Vertical Accuracy) is determined with check points located only in nonvegetated terrain, including open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, and/or rocks) and urban areas, where there is a very high probability that the lidar sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where random errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. The NVA determines how well the calibrated lidar sensor performed. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600. For the Florida Everglades National Park lidar project, vertical accuracy must be 19.6 cm or less based on an RMSE_z of 10 cm x 1.9600.

VVA (Vegetated Vertical Accuracy) is determined with all checkpoints in vegetated land cover categories, including tall grass, weeds, crops, brush and low trees, and fully forested areas, where there is a possibility that the lidar sensor and post-processing may yield elevation errors that do not follow a normal error distribution. VVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 42 of 165

percentile error for all checkpoints in all vegetated land cover categories combined. The Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project VVA standard is 29.4 cm based on the 95th percentile. The VVA is accompanied by a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile used to compute the VVA; these are always the largest outliers that may depart from a normal error distribution. Here, Accuracy_z differs from VVA because Accuracy_z assumes elevation errors follow a normal error distribution where RMSE procedures are valid, whereas VVA assumes lidar errors may not follow a normal error distribution in vegetated categories, making the RMSE process invalid.

The relevant testing criteria are summarized in Table 11.

Quantitative Criteria	Measure of Acceptability
Non-Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (NVA) in open terrain and urban land cover categories using $\rm RMSE_z$ *1.9600	19.6 cm (based on RMSEz (10 cm) * 1.9600)
Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (VVA) in all vegetated land cover categories combined at the 95% confidence level	29.4 cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 11 – Acceptance Criteria

The primary QA/QC vertical accuracy testing steps used by Dewberry are summarized as follows:

- 1. Dewberry's team surveyed QA/QC vertical checkpoints in accordance with the project's specifications.
- 2. Next, Dewberry interpolated the topobathy lidar DEM to provide the z-value for every checkpoint.
- 3. Dewberry then computed the associated z-value differences between the interpolated z-value from the lidar data and the ground truth survey checkpoints and computed NVA and VVA accuracy and other statistics.
- 4. The data were analyzed by Dewberry to assess the accuracy of the data. The review process examined the various accuracy parameters as defined by the scope of work. The overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any trends or anomalies. This report provides tables, graphs and figures to summarize and illustrate data quality.

VERTICAL ACCURACY RESULTS

The table below summarizes the tested vertical accuracy resulting from a comparison of the surveyed checkpoints to the elevation values present within the fully classified lidar LAS files.

Land Cover Category	# of Points	NVA – Non- vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSE _z x 1.9600) Spec=19.6 cm	VVA – Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (95th Percentile) Spec=29.4 cm
NVA	61	7	
VVA	59		35

Table 12 – Tested Vertical Accuracy

The topographic portion of this lidar dataset was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 10 cm RMSEz Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 3.6$ cm, equating to +/- 7 cm at 95% confidence level. Actual VVA accuracy was found to be +/- 35 cm at the 95th percentile. The bathymetric portion of this lidar dataset was not tested.

As a result of the failing final VVA accuracy calculation of 35 cm, Dewberry did an in-depth analysis of the checkpoints. Five (5) VVA checkpoints had high Delta Z values when compared to all of the VVA checkpoint Delta Z values from the lidar accuracy testing. They are shown in the table below, as well as some of the survey field photos. The survey field photos show that neither light nor lidar pulses can penetrate through some of the dense vegetation.

Point ID	NAD83 (2011)	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	Lidar Z	Dolta 7	Abs Dolta 7	
	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Survey Z (m)	(m)	Deita Z	AbsDeltaZ
214	534339.091	2800656.344	-0.088	0.230	0.318	0.318
216	548069.554	2796730.626	-0.382	0.110	0.492	0.492
217	543208.971	2795971.093	-0.383	-0.030	0.353	0.353
238	513115.321	2787735.451	-0.160	0.190	0.350	0.350
239	520415.845	2792098.225	-0.405	0.090	0.495	0.495

Table 13 – VVA checkpoints with high Delta Z values.



Figure 27 – VVA Point 216. The top two images show the dense vegetation at the survey tripod location. The lidar profile (bottom image) shows the lidar could not penetrate through the dense vegetation to the location of point 216 (pink).

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 44 of 165



Figure 28 – VVA Point 238. The two images show the dense vegetation at the survey tripod location.



Figure 29 – VVA Point 239. The top two images show the dense vegetation at the survey tripod location. The lidar profile (bottom image) shows the lidar could not penetrate through the dense vegetation to the location of point 239 (pink).

The points identified above revealed a trend of points with high Delta Z values based on land cover type in Everglades National Park. Dewberry also performed a comparison of the topobathy raster elevations versus a plumb-bob survey covering the extents of the North AOI delivery. The plumb-bob survey points were provided by USGS and National Park Service. The results of this comparison also showed a trend of points with high Delta Z values based on land cover type. Further information can be found in the separate plumb-bob comparison report. Below is an image of the location of the plumb-bob survey points covering the extents of the North AOI.



Figure 30 – Screenshot from the NPS of their comparison between HAED points elevations and the elevations of the delivered Everglades North AOI DEMs. Differences (DZ) are shown in centimeters.

Below is a statistics summary table from the plumb-bob comparison report, showing various land cover types in the North AOI and the corresponding accuracy values of the topobathy raster/plumb-bob point comparison.

	Shark River Slough	Coastal Mangroves	All Points without Slough and Mangroves	All Points Combined
# of Points	2659	998	5360	9017
RMSE	0.258	0.407	0.182	0.240
Mean	0.202	0.361	0.132	0.178
Median	0.176	0.330	0.119	0.152
Skew	0.529	0.692	0.425	0.882
Std Dev	0.160	0.188	0.125	0.161
Min	-0.376	-0.290	-0.481	-0.481
Max	0.945	1.012	0.973	1.012
Kurtosis	0.563	0.849	1.714	1.924
NVA (AccuracyZ)	0.505	0.799	0.356	0.470
VVA (95th Percentile)	0.495	0.732	0.345	0.473

Table 14 – Statistics summary of point distribution (meters) in the North AOI of the Everglades project.

Dewberry understood when entering this lidar project that vegetation coverage and density would provide a challenge for lidar in the environment of Everglades National Park.

The green wavelength topobathymetric lidar sensor while capable of mapping topography, is optimized for bathymetric measurements. Due to its larger beam divergence / spot size and scan pattern, in some areas it may have difficulty recording ground measurements through dense

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 46 of 165

vegetation. The NIR topographic sensor is optimized for topographic surveying, and with its narrow beam divergence and multiple look angles is much more likely to penetrate dense vegetation. The combination of the two sensors leads to the ideal case of both recording shallow water bathymetric returns (where otherwise the NIR would record water surface elevation or no information at all), and penetrating dense vegetation.

The acquisition plan was optimized for bathymetric measurements. The above ground altitude (AGL) of 400m is not ideal for efficient broad area topographic collects due to the increased flight times, but it is optimal for achieving bathymetric results with the VQ-820G. Additionally this low altitude increases point densities for both scanners and thus increases the statistical likelihood of ground measurements.

Taking into account the sensor optimization parameters configured for the Florida Everglades National Lidar Project, the unique land cover types in the project area, and the VVA accuracy points with high Delta Z values, Dewberry determined the final VVA accuracy calculation of 35 cm to be justified. The NVA accuracy passes specifications for the project area, showing the usability of the lidar data is valid. The high Delta Z values are correlated to land cover types found in Everglades National Park. Dewberry plans to address the VVA accuracy by adjusting the standard operating procedures of the low-confidence polygons to account for these land cover types in the future. Further information on this discussion can be found in the separate plumb-bob comparison report.

The figure below illustrates the magnitude of the differences between the QA/QC checkpoints and lidar data. This shows that the majority of lidar elevations were within +/-20 cm of the checkpoints elevations, but there were some outliers where lidar and checkpoint elevations differed by up to +50 cm. The line graph below also shows a clear separation between NVA and VVA checkpoints, further illustrating the dataset achieves good vertical accuracy in non-vegetated areas but that the lidar had poorer penetration in dense vegetated areas.



Figure 31 – Magnitude of elevation discrepancies per land cover category

Table 15 lists the 5% outliers that are larger than the VVA 95th percentile.

Point ID	NAD83 (2011)	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	Lidar Z	Delta Z	AbsDeltaZ	
	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Survey Z (m)	(m)	Denta Z	moderazi
216	548069.554	2796730.626	-0.382	0.110	0.492	0.492
217	543208.971	2795971.093	-0.383	-0.030	0.353	0.353
239	520415.845	2792098.225	-0.405	0.090	0.495	0.495

Table 15 - 5% Outliers

Table 16 provides overall descriptive statistics.

100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz (m) Spec=0.10 m NVA	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Skew	Std Dev (m)	Kurtosis	Min (m)	Max (m)
NVA	61	0.036	-0.001	0.001	0.328	0.036	0.650	- 0.094	0.103
VVA	59	N/A	0.138	0.127	1.306	0.110	2.309	- 0.039	0.495

 Table 16 - Overall Descriptive Statistics

The figure below illustrates a histogram of the associated elevation discrepancies between the QA/QC checkpoints and elevations interpolated from the lidar triangulated irregular network (TIN). The frequency shows the number of discrepancies within each band of elevation differences. Although the discrepancies vary between a low of -0.094 meters and a high of +0.495 meters, the histogram shows that the majority of the discrepancies are skewed on the positive side. The vast majority of points are within the ranges of -0.05 meters to +0.15 meters.



Checkpoints Error Distribution

Based on the vertical accuracy testing conducted by Dewberry, the lidar dataset for the USGS Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project satisfies the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria for the NVA testing. However, the VVA testing does not meet the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria.

HORIZONTAL ACCURACY TEST PROCEDURES

Horizontal accuracy testing requires well-defined checkpoints that can be identified in the dataset. Elevation datasets, including lidar datasets, do not always contain well-defined checkpoints suitable for horizontal accuracy assessment. However, the ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) recommends at least half of the NVA vertical check points should be located at the ends of paint stripes or other point features visible on the lidar intensity image, allowing them to double as horizontal check points.

Dewberry reviews all NVA checkpoints to determine which, if any, of these checkpoints are located on photo-identifiable features in the intensity imagery. This subset of checkpoints are then used for horizontal accuracy testing.

The primary QA/QC horizontal accuracy testing steps used by Dewberry are summarized as follows:

1. Dewberry's team surveyed QA/QC vertical checkpoints in accordance with the project's specifications and tried to locate half of the NVA checkpoints on features photo-identifiable in the intensity imagery.

Figure 32 – Histogram of Elevation Discrepancies with errors in meters

- 2. Next, Dewberry identified the well-defined features in the intensity imagery.
- 3. Dewberry then computed the associated xy-value differences between the coordinates of the well-defined feature in the lidar intensity imagery and the ground truth survey checkpoints.
- 4. The data were analyzed by Dewberry to assess the accuracy of the data. Horizontal accuracy was assessed using NSSDA methodology where horizontal accuracy is calculated at the 95% confidence level. This report provides the results of the horizontal accuracy testing.

HORIZONTAL ACCURACY RESULTS

No checkpoints were photo-identifiable in the intensity imagery, horizontal accuracy could not be tested on this dataset.

DEM Processing & Qualitative Assessment

The final topobathy DEMs are IMG format with 0.5 meter pixel cell size, tiled, named according to project specifications. Inland water voids and topobathy voids were enforced in the DEMs so that bathymetric areas where no bathymetry was collected are NoData in the DEMs.

DEM GENERATION

After the final void polygons (inland water voids and topobathy voids) are created and imported into the terrain GDB, a multipoint feature class was created from all lidar bathymetric bottom and bare earth ground points. Next, a terrain was created using the multipoint feature class and final void polygon layers. The void polygons are used in the terrain as "soft erase" features. This ensures that bathymetric areas where there are no bathymetric bottom points will be set as NoData in the DEM. After the terrain processed, the Arc tool Terrain to Raster was used to create the final topobathy DEM. The DEM is then split into individual tiles following the project tiling scheme.

The creation of first return DSMs follows a similar workflow as required for bare earth DEMs, except that void polygons are not applied to the first return terrain. Additionally, rather than ground only data, the first return of all point classes, except for low noise (class 7), high noise (class 18), bathy bottom (class 40), and water column (class 45), are used to create the multipoint file and subsequent terrain. Review of the DSMs includes looking for spikes, divots, or noise points not properly classified to the noise classes (class 7 and class 18), other lidar misclassifications, and processing artifacts. As void polygons are not used in DSMs, DSMs are interpolated across hydrologic features. After corrections are applied, the DSM is then split into individual tiles following the project tiling scheme. The tiles are verified for final formatting and then loaded into Global Mapper to ensure no missing or corrupt tiles and to ensure seamlessness across tile boundaries.

DEM QUALITATIVE REVIEW

Dewberry performed a comprehensive qualitative assessment of the topobathy DEM deliverables to ensure that all tiled DEM products were delivered with the proper extents, formatting, and contained the proper referencing information. This process was performed in ArcGIS software with the use of a tool set Dewberry has developed to verify that the raster extents match those of the tile grid, are formatted correctly, and contain the correct coordinate reference system information.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 50 of 165

The final topobathy DEMs are then reviewed in Global Mapper at a 1:3000 scale. A review with the void polygons visible and another review without the void polygons visible was performed in order to ensure voids were enforced properly and there were no issues along the boundaries of the void layer. Special attention was given along the land/water interface to ensure there were no hard edges along the interface. Any remaining lidar issues and DEM artifacts were flagged by the reviewer and corrected by the editing team as necessary.

The images below show an example of a topobathy DEM and first return DSM of the same tile.



Figure 33 - Tile 17RNJ400070. The bare earth DEM is shown on the left while the first return DSM is shown on the right



Figure 34 - DEM tile 17RNH420900. An example of the land-water interface is shown. No hard edges along the interface were identified during DEM QA/QC.

When some bridges are removed from the ground surface, the distance from bridge abutment to bridge abutment is small enough that the DEM interpolates across the entire bridge opening,

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 51 of 165

forming 'bridge saddles.' Dewberry collected 3D bridge breaklines in locations where bridge saddles were present and enforced these breaklines in the final DEM creation to help mitigate the bridge saddle artifacts. The image below on the left shows a bridge saddle while the image below on the right shows the same bridge after bridge breaklines have been enforced.



Figure 35 – Tiles 17RNJ530060 and 17RNJ540060. The DEM on the left shows a bridge saddle artifact while the DEM on the right shows the same location after bridge breaklines have been enforced.

DEM QUANTITATIVE ASSESSMENT

The same 120 checkpoints that were used to test the vertical accuracy of the lidar were used to validate the vertical accuracy of the final DEM products as well. Accuracy results may vary between the source lidar and final DEM deliverable. DEMs are created by averaging several lidar points within each pixel which may result in slightly different elevation values at each survey checkpoint when compared to the source LAS, which does not average several lidar points together but may interpolate (linearly) between two or three points to derive an elevation value. The vertical accuracy of the DEM is tested by extracting the elevation of the pixel that contains the x/y coordinates of the checkpoint and comparing these DEM elevations to the surveyed elevations. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy so that three different software programs are used to validate the vertical accuracy for each project.

The survey checkpoints used to test this topobathymetric dataset are listed in the survey report included as Appendix A.

Table 17 summarizes the tested vertical accuracy results from a comparison of the surveyed checkpoints to the elevation values present within the final DEM dataset.

Land Cover Category	# of Points	NVA – Non- vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSE _z x 1.9600) Spec=19.6 cm	VVA – Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (95th Percentile) Spec=29.4 cm
NVA	61	6.8	
VVA	59		34.8

Table 17 – DEM tested NVA and VVA

The topographic portion of this DEM dataset was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 10 cm RMSEz Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 3.5$ cm, equating to +/- 6.8 cm at 95% confidence level. Actual VVA accuracy was found to be +/- 34.8 cm at the 95th percentile. The bathymetric portion of this DEM dataset was not tested. A more in-depth analysis of the VVA accuracy testing results can be found in the lidar vertical accuracy section of this report.

Table 18 lists the 5% outliers that are larger than the VVA 95^{th} percentile.

Point ID	NAD83 (2011)	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B	DEM Z	Dolto 7	AbsDeltaZ		
	Easting X (m)	Northing Y (m)	Survey Z (m)	(m)	Delta Z	ADSDCRaZ	
216	548069.554	2796730.626	-0.382	0.101	0.483	0.483	
244	543208.971	2795917.093	-0.383	-0.028	0.355	0.355	
239	520415.845	2792098.225	-0.405	0.093	0.498	0.498	

Table 18 – 5% Outliers

Table 19 provides overall descriptive statistics.

100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz (m) Spec=0.1 m NVA	Mean (m)	Median (m)	Skew	Std Dev (m)	Kurtosis	Min (m)	Max (m)
NVA	61	0.035	-0.002	-0.001	0.449	0.035	0.327	-0.079	0.095
VVA	59	N/A	0.144	0.122	1.172	0.112	1.671	-0.032	0.498

 Table 19 - Overall Descriptive Statistics

Based on the vertical accuracy testing conducted by Dewberry, the DEM dataset for the USGS Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project satisfies the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria for the NVA testing. However, the VVA testing does not meet the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria.

DEM CHECKLIST

The following table represents a portion of the high-level steps in Dewberry's DEM Production and QA/QC checklist that were performed for this project.

Pass/Fail	Validation Step
Pass	Final void breakline polygons are created.
Pass	Masspoints (LAS to multipoint) are created from final ground and bathymetric bottom points.
Pass	Masspoints and void breakline polygons are used to create the final topobathymetric terrain.
Pass	Convert terrains to rasters using project specifications for grid type, formatting, and cell size.
Pass	Manually review topobathymetric DEMs to check for issues.
Pass	Special attention should be paid along the land/water interface.
Pass	DEMs should be seamless across tile boundaries.
Pass	Bridges should NOT be present in final topobathy DEMs.
Pass	Any remaining bridge saddles where below bridge breaklines were not used need to be fixed by adding below bridge breaklines and re-processing.
Pass	All qualitative issues present in the DEMs as a result of lidar processing and editing issues must be marked for corrections in the lidar These DEMs will need to be recreated after the lidar has been corrected.
Pass	Calculate DEM Vertical Accuracy including NVA and VVA Vertical Accuracy and other statistics.
Pass	Split the DEMs into tiles according to the project tiling scheme.
Pass	Verify all properties of the tiled DEMs, including coordinate reference system information, cell size, cell extents, and that compression has not been applied to the tiled DEMs.
Pass	Load all tiled DEMs into Global Mapper to verify complete coverage to the (buffered) project boundary and that no tiles are corrupt.

 Table 20 - A subset of the high-level steps from Dewberry's bare earth DEM Production and QA/QC checklist performed for this project.

Optical Imagery

During acquisition of the lidar data, Dewberry acquired optical imagery of the area of interest using a Nikon three-band (Red, Green, and Blue channels) D810 camera when possible throughout the project area. Dewberry performed the aerotriangulation, georeferencing, and conversion to Geotiff format. Dewberry and the client agreed to deliver usable optical imagery collected during acquisition in Geotiff format.

IMAGERY PROCESSING

Dewberry used Geomedia software to convert the image frames from their native sensor format to Geotiff format and to georeference the image frames. The three-band (RGB) imagery has the same coordinate reference system as the lidar data:

Horizontal Datum: The horizontal datum for the project is North American Datum of 1983 with the 2011 Adjustment (NAD 83 (2011)) Coordinate System: UTM Zone 17N Units: Horizontal units are in meters. Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 54 of 165

Once Dewberry generated the image frames and world files, photo-center shapefiles were created and all images were verified to load correctly. Image frames found to be unusable after processing are not provided to the client. Below is an example of a set of usable Geotiff image frames for the Florida Everglades National Park Lidar Project.



Figure 36 – A set of usable Geotiff imagery frames collected during acquisition of the project area.

Metadata

Project level metadata files were delivered in XML format for all project deliverables including lidar, DEMs, breaklines, and intensity imagery. All metadata files are FGDC compliant and were verified to be error-free according to the USGS MetaParser.

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 55 of 165

Appendix A: Checkpoint Survey Report

Please see the report included with this deliverable: **Appendix_A_Checkpoint_Survey_Report**

Appendix B: Ground Control Survey Report

Please see the report included with this deliverable: Appendix_B_Ground_Control_Survey_Report Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 57 of 165

Appendix C: Complete List of Delivered Tiles

17RNH250800 17RNH260800 17RNH270800 17RNH240810 17RNH250810 17RNH260810 17RNH270810 17RNH280810 17RNH290810 17RNH170820 17RNH180820 17RNH200820 17RNH210820 17RNH220820 17RNH230820 17RNH240820 17RNH250820 17RNH260820 17RNH270820 17RNH280820 17RNH290820 17RNH300820 17RNH120830 17RNH160830 17RNH170830 17RNH180830 17RNH190830 17RNH200830 17RNH210830 17RNH220830 17RNH230830 17RNH240830 17RNH250830 17RNH260830 17RNH270830 17RNH280830 17RNH290830 17RNH300830 17RNH310830

17RNH320830 17RNH120840 17RNH130840 17RNH140840 17RNH150840 17RNH160840 17RNH170840 17RNH180840 17RNH190840 17RNH200840 17RNH210840 17RNH220840 17RNH230840 17RNH240840 17RNH250840 17RNH260840 17RNH270840 17RNH280840 17RNH290840 17RNH300840 17RNH310840 17RNH320840 17RNH330840 17RNH120850 17RNH130850 17RNH140850 17RNH150850 17RNH160850 17RNH170850 17RNH180850 17RNH190850 17RNH200850 17RNH210850 17RNH220850 17RNH230850 17RNH240850 17RNH250850 17RNH260850 17RNH270850

17RNH280850 17RNH290850 17RNH300850 17RNH310850 17RNH320850 17RNH330850 17RNH340850 17RNH350850 17RNH120860 17RNH130860 17RNH140860 17RNH150860 17RNH160860 17RNH170860 17RNH180860 17RNH190860 17RNH200860 17RNH210860 17RNH220860 17RNH230860 17RNH240860 17RNH250860 17RNH260860 17RNH270860 17RNH280860 17RNH290860 17RNH300860 17RNH310860 17RNH320860 17RNH330860 17RNH340860 17RNH350860 17RNH360860 17RNH370860 17RNH120870 17RNH130870 17RNH140870 17RNH150870 17RNH160870

17RNH170870 17RNH180870 17RNH190870 17RNH200870 17RNH210870 17RNH220870 17RNH230870 17RNH240870 17RNH250870 17RNH260870 17RNH270870 17RNH280870 17RNH290870 17RNH300870 17RNH310870 17RNH320870 17RNH330870 17RNH340870 17RNH350870 17RNH360870 17RNH370870 17RNH120880 17RNH130880 17RNH140880 17RNH150880 17RNH160880 17RNH170880 17RNH180880 17RNH190880 17RNH200880 17RNH210880 17RNH220880 17RNH230880 17RNH240880 17RNH250880 17RNH260880 17RNH270880 17RNH280880 17RNH290880

17RNH300880 17RNH310880 17RNH320880 17RNH330880 17RNH340880 17RNH350880 17RNH360880 17RNH370880 17RNH380880 17RNH120890 17RNH130890 17RNH140890 17RNH150890 17RNH160890 17RNH170890 17RNH180890 17RNH190890 17RNH200890 17RNH210890 17RNH220890 17RNH230890 17RNH240890 17RNH250890 17RNH260890 17RNH270890 17RNH280890 17RNH290890 17RNH300890 17RNH310890 17RNH320890 17RNH330890 17RNH340890 17RNH350890 17RNH360890 17RNH370890 17RNH380890 17RNH390890 17RNH400890 17RNH410890

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 58 of 165

17RNH480890 17RNH120900 17RNH130900 17RNH140900 17RNH150900 17RNH160900 17RNH170900 17RNH180900 17RNH190900 17RNH200900 17RNH210900 17RNH220900 17RNH230900 17RNH240900 17RNH250900 17RNH260900 17RNH270900 17RNH280900 17RNH290900 17RNH300900 17RNH310900 17RNH320900 17RNH330900 17RNH340900 17RNH350900 17RNH360900 17RNH370900 17RNH380900 17RNH390900 17RNH400900 17RNH410900 17RNH420900 17RNH460900 17RNH470900 17RNH480900 17RNH490900 17RNH500900 17RNH510900 17RNH520900 17RNH530900 17RNH120910 17RNH130910

17RNH140910 17RNH150910 17RNH160910 17RNH170910 17RNH180910 17RNH190910 17RNH200910 17RNH210910 17RNH220910 17RNH230910 17RNH240910 17RNH250910 17RNH260910 17RNH270910 17RNH280910 17RNH290910 17RNH300910 17RNH310910 17RNH320910 17RNH330910 17RNH340910 17RNH350910 17RNH360910 17RNH370910 17RNH380910 17RNH390910 17RNH400910 17RNH410910 17RNH420910 17RNH430910 17RNH440910 17RNH450910 17RNH460910 17RNH470910 17RNH480910 17RNH490910 17RNH500910 17RNH510910 17RNH520910 17RNH530910 17RNH540910 17RNH120920

17RNH130920 17RNH140920 17RNH150920 17RNH160920 17RNH170920 17RNH180920 17RNH190920 17RNH200920 17RNH210920 17RNH220920 17RNH230920 17RNH240920 17RNH250920 17RNH260920 17RNH270920 17RNH280920 17RNH290920 17RNH300920 17RNH310920 17RNH320920 17RNH330920 17RNH340920 17RNH350920 17RNH360920 17RNH370920 17RNH380920 17RNH390920 17RNH400920 17RNH410920 17RNH420920 17RNH430920 17RNH440920 17RNH450920 17RNH460920 17RNH470920 17RNH480920 17RNH490920 17RNH500920 17RNH510920 17RNH520920 17RNH530920 17RNH540920

17RNH550920 17RNH120930 17RNH130930 17RNH140930 17RNH150930 17RNH160930 17RNH170930 17RNH180930 17RNH190930 17RNH200930 17RNH210930 17RNH220930 17RNH230930 17RNH240930 17RNH250930 17RNH260930 17RNH270930 17RNH280930 17RNH290930 17RNH300930 17RNH310930 17RNH320930 17RNH330930 17RNH340930 17RNH350930 17RNH360930 17RNH370930 17RNH380930 17RNH390930 17RNH400930 17RNH410930 17RNH420930 17RNH430930 17RNH440930 17RNH450930 17RNH460930 17RNH470930 17RNH480930 17RNH490930 17RNH500930 17RNH510930 17RNH520930 17RNH530930 17RNH540930 17RNH550930 17RNH560930 17RNH120940 17RNH130940 17RNH140940 17RNH150940 17RNH160940 17RNH170940 17RNH180940 17RNH190940 17RNH200940 17RNH210940 17RNH220940 17RNH230940 17RNH240940 17RNH250940 17RNH260940 17RNH270940 17RNH280940 17RNH290940 17RNH300940 17RNH310940 17RNH320940 17RNH330940 17RNH340940 17RNH350940 17RNH360940 17RNH370940 17RNH380940 17RNH390940 17RNH400940 17RNH410940 17RNH420940 17RNH430940 17RNH440940 17RNH450940 17RNH460940 17RNH470940 17RNH480940 17RNH490940

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 59 of 165

17RNH500940 17RNH510940 17RNH520940 17RNH530940 17RNH540940 17RNH550940 17RNH560940 17RNH120950 17RNH130950 17RNH140950 17RNH150950 17RNH160950 17RNH170950 17RNH180950 17RNH190950 17RNH200950 17RNH210950 17RNH220950 17RNH230950 17RNH240950 17RNH250950 17RNH260950 17RNH270950 17RNH280950 17RNH290950 17RNH300950 17RNH310950 17RNH320950 17RNH330950 17RNH340950 17RNH350950 17RNH360950 17RNH370950 17RNH380950 17RNH390950 17RNH400950 17RNH410950 17RNH420950 17RNH430950 17RNH440950 17RNH450950 17RNH460950

17RNH470950 17RNH480950 17RNH490950 17RNH500950 17RNH510950 17RNH520950 17RNH530950 17RNH540950 17RNH550950 17RNH560950 17RNH120960 17RNH130960 17RNH140960 17RNH150960 17RNH160960 17RNH170960 17RNH180960 17RNH190960 17RNH200960 17RNH210960 17RNH220960 17RNH230960 17RNH240960 17RNH250960 17RNH260960 17RNH270960 17RNH280960 17RNH290960 17RNH300960 17RNH310960 17RNH320960 17RNH330960 17RNH340960 17RNH350960 17RNH360960 17RNH370960 17RNH380960 17RNH390960 17RNH400960 17RNH410960 17RNH420960 17RNH430960

17RNH440960 17RNH450960 17RNH460960 17RNH470960 17RNH480960 17RNH490960 17RNH500960 17RNH510960 17RNH520960 17RNH530960 17RNH540960 17RNH550960 17RNH560960 17RNH120970 17RNH130970 17RNH140970 17RNH150970 17RNH160970 17RNH170970 17RNH180970 17RNH190970 17RNH200970 17RNH210970 17RNH220970 17RNH230970 17RNH240970 17RNH250970 17RNH260970 17RNH270970 17RNH280970 17RNH290970 17RNH300970 17RNH310970 17RNH320970 17RNH330970 17RNH340970 17RNH350970 17RNH360970 17RNH370970 17RNH380970 17RNH390970 17RNH400970

17RNH410970 17RNH420970 17RNH430970 17RNH440970 17RNH450970 17RNH460970 17RNH470970 17RNH480970 17RNH490970 17RNH500970 17RNH510970 17RNH520970 17RNH530970 17RNH540970 17RNH550970 17RNH120980 17RNH130980 17RNH140980 17RNH150980 17RNH160980 17RNH170980 17RNH180980 17RNH190980 17RNH200980 17RNH210980 17RNH220980 17RNH230980 17RNH240980 17RNH250980 17RNH260980 17RNH270980 17RNH280980 17RNH290980 17RNH300980 17RNH310980 17RNH320980 17RNH330980 17RNH340980 17RNH350980 17RNH360980 17RNH370980 17RNH380980 17RNH390980 17RNH400980 17RNH410980 17RNH420980 17RNH430980 17RNH440980 17RNH450980 17RNH460980 17RNH470980 17RNH480980 17RNH490980 17RNH500980 17RNH510980 17RNH520980 17RNH530980 17RNH540980 17RNH550980 17RNH120990 17RNH130990 17RNH140990 17RNH150990 17RNH160990 17RNH170990 17RNH180990 17RNH190990 17RNH200990 17RNH210990 17RNH220990 17RNH230990 17RNH240990 17RNH250990 17RNH260990 17RNH270990 17RNH280990 17RNH290990 17RNH300990 17RNH310990 17RNH320990 17RNH330990 17RNH340990 17RNH350990 17RNH360990

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 60 of 165

17RNH370990 17RNH380990 17RNH390990 17RNH400990 17RNH410990 17RNH420990 17RNH430990 17RNH440990 17RNH450990 17RNH460990 17RNH470990 17RNH480990 17RNH490990 17RNH500990 17RNH510990 17RNH520990 17RNH530990 17RNH540990 17RNH550990 17RNJ120000 17RNJ130000 17RNJ140000 17RNJ150000 17RNJ160000 17RNJ170000 17RNH180000 17RNJ190000 17RNJ200000 17RNJ210000 17RNJ220000 17RNH230000 17RNH240000 17RNJ250000 17RNJ260000 17RNJ270000 17RNH280000 17RNJ290000 17RNH300000 17RNH310000 17RNJ320000 17RNH330000 17RNH340000

17RNJ350000 17RNH360000 17RNJ370000 17RNH380000 17RNJ390000 17RNH400000 17RNH410000 17RNH420000 17RNJ430000 17RNJ440000 17RNJ450000 17RNH460000 17RNJ470000 17RNJ480000 17RNH490000 17RNJ500000 17RNJ510000 17RNJ520000 17RNJ530000 17RNJ540000 17RNJ550000 17RNJ120010 17RNJ130010 17RNJ140010 17RNJ150010 17RNJ160010 17RNJ170010 17RNJ180010 17RNJ190010 17RNJ200010 17RNJ210010 17RNJ220010 17RNJ230010 17RNJ240010 17RNJ250010 17RNJ260010 17RNJ270010 17RNJ280010 17RNJ290010 17RNJ300010 17RNJ310010 17RNJ320010

17RNJ330010 17RNJ340010 17RNJ350010 17RNJ360010 17RNJ370010 17RNJ380010 17RNJ390010 17RNJ400010 17RNJ410010 17RNJ420010 17RNJ430010 17RNJ440010 17RNJ450010 17RNJ460010 17RNJ470010 17RNJ480010 17RNJ490010 17RNJ500010 17RNJ510010 17RNJ520010 17RNJ530010 17RNJ540010 17RNJ550010 17RNJ120020 17RNJ130020 17RNJ140020 17RNJ150020 17RNJ160020 17RNJ170020 17RNJ180020 17RNJ190020 17RNJ200020 17RNJ210020 17RNJ220020 17RNJ230020 17RNJ240020 17RNJ250020 17RNJ260020 17RNJ270020 17RNJ280020 17RNJ290020 17RNJ300020

17RNJ310020 17RNJ320020 17RNJ330020 17RNJ340020 17RNJ350020 17RNJ360020 17RNJ370020 17RNJ380020 17RNJ390020 17RNJ400020 17RNJ410020 17RNJ420020 17RNJ430020 17RNJ440020 17RNJ450020 17RNJ460020 17RNJ470020 17RNJ480020 17RNJ490020 17RNJ500020 17RNJ510020 17RNJ520020 17RNJ530020 17RNJ540020 17RNJ110030 17RNJ120030 17RNJ130030 17RNJ140030 17RNJ150030 17RNJ160030 17RNJ170030 17RNJ180030 17RNJ190030 17RNJ200030 17RNJ210030 17RNJ220030 17RNJ230030 17RNJ240030 17RNJ250030 17RNJ260030 17RNJ270030 17RNJ280030 17RNJ290030 17RNJ300030 17RNJ310030 17RNJ320030 17RNJ330030 17RNJ340030 17RNJ350030 17RNJ360030 17RNJ370030 17RNJ380030 17RNJ390030 17RNJ400030 17RNJ410030 17RNJ420030 17RNJ430030 17RNJ440030 17RNJ450030 17RNJ460030 17RNJ470030 17RNJ480030 17RNJ490030 17RNJ500030 17RNJ510030 17RNJ520030 17RNJ530030 17RNJ540030 17RNJ090040 17RNJ100040 17RNJ110040 17RNJ120040 17RNJ130040 17RNJ140040 17RNJ150040 17RNJ160040 17RNJ170040 17RNJ180040 17RNJ190040 17RNJ200040 17RNJ210040 17RNJ220040 17RNJ230040 17RNJ240040

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 61 of 165

17RNJ250040 17RNJ260040 17RNJ270040 17RNJ280040 17RNJ290040 17RNJ300040 17RNJ310040 17RNJ320040 17RNJ330040 17RNJ340040 17RNJ350040 17RNJ360040 17RNJ370040 17RNJ380040 17RNJ390040 17RNJ400040 17RNJ410040 17RNJ420040 17RNJ430040 17RNJ440040 17RNJ450040 17RNJ460040 17RNJ470040 17RNJ480040 17RNJ490040 17RNJ500040 17RNJ510040 17RNJ520040 17RNJ530040 17RNJ540040 17RNJ080050 17RNJ090050 17RNJ100050 17RNJ110050 17RNJ120050 17RNJ130050 17RNJ140050 17RNJ150050 17RNJ160050 17RNJ170050 17RNJ180050 17RNJ190050

17RNJ200050 17RNJ210050 17RNJ220050 17RNJ230050 17RNJ240050 17RNJ250050 17RNJ260050 17RNJ270050 17RNJ280050 17RNJ290050 17RNJ300050 17RNJ310050 17RNJ320050 17RNJ330050 17RNJ340050 17RNJ350050 17RNJ360050 17RNJ370050 17RNJ380050 17RNJ390050 17RNJ400050 17RNJ410050 17RNJ420050 17RNJ430050 17RNJ440050 17RNJ450050 17RNJ460050 17RNJ470050 17RNJ480050 17RNJ490050 17RNJ500050 17RNJ510050 17RNJ520050 17RNJ530050 17RNJ540050 17RNJ070060 17RNJ080060 17RNJ090060 17RNJ100060 17RNJ110060 17RNJ120060 17RNJ130060

17RNJ140060 17RNJ150060 17RNJ160060 17RNJ170060 17RNJ180060 17RNJ190060 17RNJ200060 17RNJ210060 17RNJ220060 17RNJ230060 17RNJ240060 17RNJ250060 17RNJ260060 17RNJ270060 17RNJ280060 17RNJ290060 17RNJ300060 17RNJ310060 17RNJ320060 17RNJ330060 17RNJ340060 17RNJ350060 17RNJ360060 17RNJ370060 17RNJ380060 17RNJ390060 17RNJ400060 17RNJ410060 17RNJ420060 17RNJ430060 17RNJ440060 17RNJ450060 17RNJ460060 17RNJ470060 17RNJ480060 17RNJ490060 17RNJ500060 17RNJ510060 17RNJ520060 17RNJ530060 17RNJ540060 17RNJ060070

17RNJ070070 17RNJ080070 17RNJ090070 17RNJ100070 17RNJ110070 17RNJ120070 17RNJ130070 17RNJ140070 17RNJ150070 17RNJ160070 17RNJ170070 17RNJ180070 17RNJ190070 17RNJ200070 17RNJ210070 17RNJ220070 17RNJ230070 17RNJ240070 17RNJ250070 17RNJ260070 17RNJ270070 17RNJ280070 17RNJ290070 17RNJ300070 17RNJ310070 17RNJ320070 17RNJ330070 17RNJ340070 17RNJ350070 17RNJ360070 17RNJ370070 17RNJ380070 17RNJ390070 17RNJ400070 17RNJ410070 17RNJ420070 17RNJ430070 17RNJ440070 17RNJ450070 17RNJ460070 17RNJ470070 17RNJ480070 17RNJ490070 17RNJ500070 17RNJ510070 17RNJ520070 17RNJ530070 17RNJ040080 17RNJ050080 17RNJ060080 17RNJ070080 17RNJ080080 17RNJ090080 17RNJ100080 17RNJ110080 17RNJ120080 17RNJ130080 17RNJ140080 17RNJ150080 17RNJ160080 17RNJ170080 17RNJ180080 17RNJ190080 17RNJ200080 17RNJ210080 17RNJ220080 17RNJ230080 17RNJ240080 17RNJ250080 17RNJ260080 17RNJ270080 17RNJ280080 17RNJ290080 17RNJ300080 17RNJ310080 17RNJ320080 17RNJ330080 17RNJ340080 17RNJ350080 17RNJ360080 17RNJ370080 17RNJ380080 17RNJ390080 17RNJ400080 Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 62 of 165

17RNJ410080 17RNJ420080 17RNJ430080 17RNJ440080 17RNJ450080 17RNJ460080 17RNJ470080 17RNJ480080 17RNJ490080 17RNJ500080 17RNJ510080 17RNJ520080 17RNJ530080 17RNJ030090 17RNJ040090 17RNJ050090 17RNJ060090 17RNJ070090 17RNJ080090 17RNJ090090 17RNJ100090 17RNJ110090 17RNJ120090 17RNJ130090 17RNJ140090 17RNJ150090 17RNJ160090 17RNJ170090 17RNJ180090 17RNJ190090 17RNJ200090 17RNJ210090 17RNJ220090 17RNJ230090 17RNJ240090 17RNJ250090 17RNJ260090 17RNJ270090 17RNJ280090 17RNJ290090 17RNJ300090 17RNJ310090

17RNJ320090 17RNJ330090 17RNJ340090 17RNJ350090 17RNJ360090 17RNJ370090 17RNJ380090 17RNJ390090 17RNJ400090 17RNJ410090 17RNJ420090 17RNJ430090 17RNJ480090 17RNJ490090 17RNJ500090 17RNJ510090 17RNJ520090 17RNJ530090 17RNJ020100 17RNJ030100 17RNJ040100 17RNJ050100 17RNJ060100 17RNJ070100 17RNJ080100 17RNJ090100 17RNJ100100 17RNJ110100 17RNJ120100 17RNJ130100 17RNJ140100 17RNJ150100 17RNJ160100 17RNJ170100 17RNJ180100 17RNJ190100 17RNJ200100 17RNJ210100 17RNJ220100 17RNJ230100 17RNJ240100 17RNJ250100

17RNJ260100 17RNJ270100 17RNJ280100 17RNJ290100 17RNJ300100 17RNJ310100 17RNJ320100 17RNJ330100 17RNJ340100 17RNJ350100 17RNJ360100 17RNJ370100 17RNJ380100 17RNJ390100 17RNJ400100 17RNJ410100 17RNJ420100 17RNJ430100 17RNJ510100 17RNJ520100 17RNJ530100 17RNJ010110 17RNJ020110 17RNJ030110 17RNJ040110 17RNJ050110 17RNJ060110 17RNJ070110 17RNJ080110 17RNJ090110 17RNJ100110 17RNJ110110 17RNJ120110 17RNJ130110 17RNJ140110 17RNJ150110 17RNJ160110 17RNJ170110 17RNJ180110 17RNJ190110 17RNJ200110 17RNJ210110

17RNJ220110 17RNJ230110 17RNJ240110 17RNJ250110 17RNJ260110 17RNJ270110 17RNJ280110 17RNJ290110 17RNJ300110 17RNJ310110 17RNJ320110 17RNJ330110 17RNJ340110 17RNJ350110 17RNJ360110 17RNJ370110 17RNJ380110 17RNJ390110 17RNJ400110 17RNJ410110 17RNJ420110 17RNJ430110 17RMJ990120 17RMJ000120 17RNJ010120 17RNJ020120 17RNJ030120 17RNJ040120 17RNJ050120 17RNJ060120 17RNJ070120 17RNJ080120 17RNJ090120 17RNJ100120 17RNJ110120 17RNJ120120 17RNJ130120 17RNJ140120 17RNJ150120 17RNJ160120 17RNJ170120 17RNJ180120

17RNJ190120 17RNJ200120 17RNJ210120 17RNJ220120 17RNJ230120 17RNJ240120 17RNJ250120 17RNJ260120 17RNJ270120 17RNJ280120 17RNJ290120 17RNJ300120 17RNJ310120 17RNJ320120 17RNJ330120 17RNJ340120 17RNJ350120 17RNJ360120 17RNJ370120 17RNJ380120 17RNJ390120 17RNJ400120 17RNJ410120 17RNJ420120 17RMJ980130 17RMJ990130 17RMJ000130 17RNJ010130 17RNJ020130 17RNJ030130 17RNJ040130 17RNJ050130 17RNJ060130 17RNJ070130 17RNJ080130 17RNJ090130 17RNJ100130 17RNJ110130 17RNJ120130 17RNJ130130 17RNJ140130 17RNJ150130

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 63 of 165

17RNJ160130 17RNJ120140 17RNJ080150 17RNJ170130 17RNJ130140 17RNJ090150 17RNJ180130 17RNJ100150 17RNJ140140 17RNJ190130 17RNJ150140 17RNJ110150 17RNJ200130 17RNJ160140 17RNJ120150 17RNJ210130 17RNJ170140 17RNJ130150 17RNJ220130 17RNJ180140 17RNJ140150 17RNJ230130 17RNJ190140 17RNJ150150 17RNJ240130 17RNJ200140 17RNJ160150 17RNJ250130 17RNJ210140 17RNJ170150 17RNJ260130 17RNJ220140 17RNJ180150 17RNJ270130 17RNJ230140 17RNJ190150 17RNJ280130 17RNJ200150 17RNJ240140 17RNJ290130 17RNJ250140 17RNJ210150 17RNJ300130 17RNJ260140 17RNJ220150 17RNJ310130 17RNJ270140 17RNJ230150 17RNJ320130 17RNJ280140 17RNJ240150 17RNJ330130 17RNJ290140 17RNJ250150 17RNJ340130 17RNJ300140 17RNJ260150 17RNJ350130 17RNJ310140 17RNJ270150 17RNJ360130 17RNJ320140 17RNJ280150 17RNJ370130 17RNJ290150 17RNJ330140 17RNJ380130 17RNJ340140 17RNJ300150 17RNJ390130 17RNJ350140 17RNJ310150 17RNJ400130 17RNJ360140 17RNJ320150 17RNJ410130 17RNJ370140 17RNJ330150 17RNJ420130 17RNJ380140 17RNJ340150 17RMJ970140 17RNJ390140 17RNJ350150 17RMJ980140 17RNJ400140 17RNJ360150 17RMJ990140 17RNJ410140 17RNJ370150 17RMJ000140 17RNJ420140 17RNJ380150 17RNJ010140 17RMJ970150 17RNJ390150 17RNJ020140 17RMJ980150 17RNJ400150 17RNJ030140 17RNJ410150 17RMJ990150 17RNJ040140 17RMJ000150 17RNJ420150 17RNJ050140 17RNJ010150 17RMJ970160 17RNJ060140 17RMJ980160 17RNJ020150 17RNJ070140 17RNJ030150 17RMJ990160 17RMJ000160 17RNJ080140 17RNJ040150 17RNJ090140 17RNJ050150 17RNJ010160 17RNJ100140 17RNJ060150 17RNJ020160 17RNJ110140 17RNJ030160 17RNJ070150

17RNJ040160 17RNJ050160 17RNJ060160 17RNJ070160 17RNJ080160 17RNJ090160 17RNJ100160 17RNJ110160 17RNJ120160 17RNJ130160 17RNJ140160 17RNJ150160 17RNJ160160 17RNJ170160 17RNJ180160 17RNJ190160 17RNJ200160 17RNJ210160 17RNJ220160 17RNJ230160 17RNJ240160 17RNJ250160 17RNJ260160 17RNJ270160 17RNJ280160 17RNJ290160 17RNJ300160 17RNJ310160 17RNJ320160 17RNJ330160 17RNJ340160 17RNJ350160 17RNJ360160 17RNJ370160 17RNJ380160 17RNJ390160 17RNJ400160 17RNJ410160 17RNJ420160 17RMJ980170 17RMJ990170 17RMJ000170 17RNJ010170 17RNJ020170 17RNJ030170 17RNJ040170 17RNJ050170 17RNJ060170 17RNJ070170 17RNJ080170 17RNJ090170 17RNJ100170 17RNJ110170 17RNJ120170 17RNJ130170 17RNJ140170 17RNJ150170 17RNJ160170 17RNJ170170 17RNJ180170 17RNJ190170 17RNJ200170 17RNJ210170 17RNJ220170 17RNJ230170 17RNJ240170 17RNJ250170 17RNJ260170 17RNJ270170 17RNJ280170 17RNJ290170 17RNJ300170 17RNJ310170 17RNJ320170 17RNJ330170 17RNJ340170 17RNJ350170 17RNJ360170 17RNJ370170 17RNJ380170 17RNJ390170 17RNJ400170 17RNJ410170 17RNJ420170 Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 64 of 165

17RNJ430170 17RMJ970180 17RMJ980180 17RMJ990180 17RMJ000180 17RNJ010180 17RNJ020180 17RNJ030180 17RNJ040180 17RNJ050180 17RNJ060180 17RNJ070180 17RNJ080180 17RNJ090180 17RNJ100180 17RNJ110180 17RNJ120180 17RNJ130180 17RNJ140180 17RNJ150180 17RNJ160180 17RNJ170180 17RNJ180180 17RNJ190180 17RNJ200180 17RNJ210180 17RNJ220180 17RNJ230180 17RNJ240180 17RNJ250180 17RNJ260180 17RNJ270180 17RNJ280180 17RNJ290180 17RNJ300180 17RNJ310180 17RNJ320180 17RNJ330180 17RNJ340180 17RNJ350180 17RNJ360180 17RNJ370180

17RNJ380180 17RNJ390180 17RNJ400180 17RNJ410180 17RNJ420180 17RNJ430180 17RMJ970190 17RMJ980190 17RMJ990190 17RMJ000190 17RNJ010190 17RNJ020190 17RNJ030190 17RNJ040190 17RNJ050190 17RNJ060190 17RNJ070190 17RNJ080190 17RNJ090190 17RNJ100190 17RNJ110190 17RNJ120190 17RNJ130190 17RNJ140190 17RNJ150190 17RNJ160190 17RNJ170190 17RNJ180190 17RNJ190190 17RNJ200190 17RNJ210190 17RNJ220190 17RNJ230190 17RNJ240190 17RNJ250190 17RNJ260190 17RNJ270190 17RNJ280190 17RNJ290190 17RNJ300190 17RNJ310190 17RNJ320190

17RNJ330190 17RNJ340190 17RNJ350190 17RNJ360190 17RNJ370190 17RNJ380190 17RNJ390190 17RNJ400190 17RNJ410190 17RNJ420190 17RNJ430190 17RMJ970200 17RMJ980200 17RMJ990200 17RMJ000200 17RNJ010200 17RNJ020200 17RNJ030200 17RNJ040200 17RNJ050200 17RNJ060200 17RNJ070200 17RNJ080200 17RNJ090200 17RNJ100200 17RNJ110200 17RNJ120200 17RNJ130200 17RNJ140200 17RNJ150200 17RNJ160200 17RNJ170200 17RNJ180200 17RNJ190200 17RNJ200200 17RNJ210200 17RNJ220200 17RNJ230200 17RNJ240200 17RNJ250200 17RNJ260200 17RNJ270200

17RNJ280200 17RNJ290200 17RNJ300200 17RNJ310200 17RNJ320200 17RNJ330200 17RNJ340200 17RNJ350200 17RNJ360200 17RNJ370200 17RNJ380200 17RNJ390200 17RNJ400200 17RNJ410200 17RNJ420200 17RNJ430200 17RMJ970210 17RMJ980210 17RMJ990210 17RMJ000210 17RNJ010210 17RNJ020210 17RNJ030210 17RNJ040210 17RNJ050210 17RNJ060210 17RNJ070210 17RNJ080210 17RNJ090210 17RNJ100210 17RNJ110210 17RNJ120210 17RNJ130210 17RNJ140210 17RNJ150210 17RNJ160210 17RNJ170210 17RNJ180210 17RNJ190210 17RNJ200210 17RNJ210210 17RNJ220210

17RNJ230210 17RNJ240210 17RNJ250210 17RNJ260210 17RNJ270210 17RNJ280210 17RNJ290210 17RNJ300210 17RNJ310210 17RNJ320210 17RNJ330210 17RNJ340210 17RNJ350210 17RNJ360210 17RNJ370210 17RNJ380210 17RNJ390210 17RNJ400210 17RNJ410210 17RNJ420210 17RNJ430210 17RMJ970220 17RMJ980220 17RMJ990220 17RMJ000220 17RNJ010220 17RNJ020220 17RNJ030220 17RNJ040220 17RNJ050220 17RNJ060220 17RNJ070220 17RNJ080220 17RNJ090220 17RNJ100220 17RNJ110220 17RNJ120220 17RNJ130220 17RNJ140220 17RNJ150220 17RNJ160220 17RNJ170220

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 65 of 165

17RNJ180220 17RNJ190220 17RNJ200220 17RNJ210220 17RNJ220220 17RNJ230220 17RNJ240220 17RNJ250220 17RNJ260220 17RNJ270220 17RNJ280220 17RNJ290220 17RNJ300220 17RNJ310220 17RNJ320220 17RNJ330220 17RNJ340220 17RNJ350220 17RNJ360220 17RNJ370220 17RNJ380220 17RNJ390220 17RNJ400220 17RNJ410220 17RNJ420220 17RNJ430220 17RMJ980230 17RMJ990230 17RMJ000230 17RNJ010230 17RNJ020230 17RNJ030230 17RNJ040230 17RNJ050230 17RNJ060230 17RNJ070230 17RNJ080230 17RNJ090230 17RNJ100230 17RNJ110230 17RNJ120230 17RNJ130230

17RNJ140230 17RNJ150230 17RNJ160230 17RNJ170230 17RNJ180230 17RNJ190230 17RNJ200230 17RNJ210230 17RNJ220230 17RNJ230230 17RNJ240230 17RNJ250230 17RNJ260230 17RNJ270230 17RNJ280230 17RNJ290230 17RNJ300230 17RNJ310230 17RNJ320230 17RNJ330230 17RNJ340230 17RNJ350230 17RNJ360230 17RNJ370230 17RNJ380230 17RNJ390230 17RNJ400230 17RNJ410230 17RNJ420230 17RNJ430230 17RMJ970240 17RMJ980240 17RMJ990240 17RMJ000240 17RNJ010240 17RNJ020240 17RNJ030240 17RNJ040240 17RNJ050240 17RNJ060240 17RNJ070240 17RNJ080240

17RNJ090240 17RNJ100240 17RNJ110240 17RNJ120240 17RNJ130240 17RNJ140240 17RNJ150240 17RNJ160240 17RNJ170240 17RNJ180240 17RNJ190240 17RNJ200240 17RNJ210240 17RNJ220240 17RNJ230240 17RNJ240240 17RNJ250240 17RNJ260240 17RNJ270240 17RNJ280240 17RNJ290240 17RNJ300240 17RNJ310240 17RNJ320240 17RNJ330240 17RNJ340240 17RNJ350240 17RNJ360240 17RNJ370240 17RNJ380240 17RNJ390240 17RNJ400240 17RNJ410240 17RNJ420240 17RNJ430240 17RMJ950250 17RMJ960250 17RMJ970250 17RMJ980250 17RMJ990250 17RMJ000250 17RNJ010250

17RNJ020250 17RNJ030250 17RNJ040250 17RNJ050250 17RNJ060250 17RNJ070250 17RNJ080250 17RNJ090250 17RNJ100250 17RNJ110250 17RNJ120250 17RNJ130250 17RNJ140250 17RNJ150250 17RNJ160250 17RNJ170250 17RNJ180250 17RNJ190250 17RNJ200250 17RNJ210250 17RNJ220250 17RNJ230250 17RNJ240250 17RNJ250250 17RNJ260250 17RNJ270250 17RNJ280250 17RNJ290250 17RNJ300250 17RNJ310250 17RNJ320250 17RNJ330250 17RNJ340250 17RNJ350250 17RNJ360250 17RNJ370250 17RNJ380250 17RNJ390250 17RNJ400250 17RNJ410250 17RNJ420250 17RNJ430250

17RNJ440250 17RMJ930260 17RMJ940260 17RMJ950260 17RMJ960260 17RMJ970260 17RMJ980260 17RMJ990260 17RMJ000260 17RNJ010260 17RNJ020260 17RNJ030260 17RNJ040260 17RNJ050260 17RNJ060260 17RNJ070260 17RNJ080260 17RNJ090260 17RNJ100260 17RNJ110260 17RNJ120260 17RNJ130260 17RNJ140260 17RNJ150260 17RNJ160260 17RNJ170260 17RNJ180260 17RNJ190260 17RNJ200260 17RNJ210260 17RNJ220260 17RNJ230260 17RNJ240260 17RNJ250260 17RNJ260260 17RNJ270260 17RNJ280260 17RNJ290260 17RNJ300260 17RNJ310260 17RNJ320260 17RNJ330260

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 66 of 165

17RNJ340260 17RNJ350260 17RNJ360260 17RNJ370260 17RNJ380260 17RNJ390260 17RNJ400260 17RNJ410260 17RNJ420260 17RNJ430260 17RNJ440260 17RMJ910270 17RMJ920270 17RMJ930270 17RMJ940270 17RMJ950270 17RMJ960270 17RMJ970270 17RMJ980270 17RMJ990270 17RMJ000270 17RNJ010270 17RNJ020270 17RNJ030270 17RNJ040270 17RNJ050270 17RNJ060270 17RNJ070270 17RNJ080270 17RNJ090270 17RNJ100270 17RNJ110270 17RNJ120270 17RNJ130270 17RNJ140270 17RNJ150270 17RNJ160270 17RNJ170270 17RNJ180270 17RNJ190270 17RNJ200270 17RNJ210270

17RNJ220270 17RNJ230270 17RNJ240270 17RNJ250270 17RNJ260270 17RNJ270270 17RNJ280270 17RNJ290270 17RNJ300270 17RNJ310270 17RNJ320270 17RNJ330270 17RNJ340270 17RNJ350270 17RNJ360270 17RNJ370270 17RNJ380270 17RNJ390270 17RNJ400270 17RNJ410270 17RNJ420270 17RNJ430270 17RNJ440270 17RNJ450270 17RMJ890280 17RMJ900280 17RMJ910280 17RMJ920280 17RMJ930280 17RMJ940280 17RMJ950280 17RMJ960280 17RMJ970280 17RMJ980280 17RMJ990280 17RMJ000280 17RNJ010280 17RNJ020280 17RNJ030280 17RNJ040280 17RNJ050280 17RNJ060280

17RNJ070280 17RNJ080280 17RNJ090280 17RNJ100280 17RNJ110280 17RNJ120280 17RNJ130280 17RNJ140280 17RNJ150280 17RNJ160280 17RNJ170280 17RNJ180280 17RNJ190280 17RNJ200280 17RNJ210280 17RNJ220280 17RNJ230280 17RNJ240280 17RNJ250280 17RNJ260280 17RNJ270280 17RNJ280280 17RNJ290280 17RNJ300280 17RNJ310280 17RNJ320280 17RNJ330280 17RNJ340280 17RNJ350280 17RNJ360280 17RNJ370280 17RNJ380280 17RNJ390280 17RNJ400280 17RNJ410280 17RNJ420280 17RNJ430280 17RNJ440280 17RNJ450280 17RMJ880290 17RMJ890290 17RMJ900290

17RMJ910290 17RMJ920290 17RMJ930290 17RMJ940290 17RMJ950290 17RMJ960290 17RMJ970290 17RMJ980290 17RMJ990290 17RMJ000290 17RNJ010290 17RNJ020290 17RNJ030290 17RNJ040290 17RNJ050290 17RNJ060290 17RNJ070290 17RNJ080290 17RNJ090290 17RNJ100290 17RNJ110290 17RNJ120290 17RNJ130290 17RNJ140290 17RNJ150290 17RNJ160290 17RNJ170290 17RNJ180290 17RNJ190290 17RNJ200290 17RNJ210290 17RNJ220290 17RNJ230290 17RNJ240290 17RNJ250290 17RNJ260290 17RNJ270290 17RNJ280290 17RNJ290290 17RNJ300290 17RNJ310290 17RNJ320290

17RNJ330290 17RNJ340290 17RNJ350290 17RNJ360290 17RNJ370290 17RNJ380290 17RNJ390290 17RNJ400290 17RNJ410290 17RNJ420290 17RNJ430290 17RNJ440290 17RNJ450290 17RMJ880300 17RMJ890300 17RMJ900300 17RMJ910300 17RMJ920300 17RMJ930300 17RMJ940300 17RMJ950300 17RMJ960300 17RMJ970300 17RMJ980300 17RMJ990300 17RMJ000300 17RNJ010300 17RNJ020300 17RNJ030300 17RNJ040300 17RNJ050300 17RNJ060300 17RNJ070300 17RNJ080300 17RNJ090300 17RNJ100300 17RNJ110300 17RNJ120300 17RNJ130300 17RNJ140300 17RNJ150300

17RNJ160300

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 67 of 165

17RNJ170300 17RMJ000310 17RNJ420310 17RNJ180300 17RNJ010310 17RNJ430310 17RNJ190300 17RNJ020310 17RNJ440310 17RNJ200300 17RNJ030310 17RNJ450310 17RNJ210300 17RNJ040310 17RMJ860320 17RNJ220300 17RNJ050310 17RMJ870320 17RNJ230300 17RNJ060310 17RMJ880320 17RNJ240300 17RNJ070310 17RMJ890320 17RNJ250300 17RMJ900320 17RNJ080310 17RNJ260300 17RNJ090310 17RMJ910320 17RNJ270300 17RNJ100310 17RMJ920320 17RNJ280300 17RNJ110310 17RMJ930320 17RNJ120310 17RNJ290300 17RMJ940320 17RNJ300300 17RNJ130310 17RMJ950320 17RNJ310300 17RNJ140310 17RMJ960320 17RNJ320300 17RNJ150310 17RMJ970320 17RNJ330300 17RNJ160310 17RMJ980320 17RNJ340300 17RNJ170310 17RMJ990320 17RNJ350300 17RNJ180310 17RMJ000320 17RNJ360300 17RNJ190310 17RNJ010320 17RNJ370300 17RNJ200310 17RNJ020320 17RNJ380300 17RNJ210310 17RNJ030320 17RNJ390300 17RNJ220310 17RNJ040320 17RNJ400300 17RNJ230310 17RNJ050320 17RNJ240310 17RNJ060320 17RNJ410300 17RNJ420300 17RNJ250310 17RNJ070320 17RNJ430300 17RNJ260310 17RNJ080320 17RNJ440300 17RNJ270310 17RNJ090320 17RNJ450300 17RNJ280310 17RNJ100320 17RMJ870310 17RNJ290310 17RNJ110320 17RMJ880310 17RNJ300310 17RNJ120320 17RMJ890310 17RNJ310310 17RNJ130320 17RMJ900310 17RNJ320310 17RNJ140320 17RMJ910310 17RNJ150320 17RNJ330310 17RNJ340310 17RMJ920310 17RNJ160320 17RMJ930310 17RNJ350310 17RNJ170320 17RNJ180320 17RMJ940310 17RNJ360310 17RMJ950310 17RNJ370310 17RNJ190320 17RNJ380310 17RNJ200320 17RMJ960310 17RMJ970310 17RNJ390310 17RNJ210320 17RMJ980310 17RNJ400310 17RNJ220320 17RMJ990310 17RNJ410310 17RNJ230320

17RNJ240320 17RNJ250320 17RNJ260320 17RNJ270320 17RNJ280320 17RNJ290320 17RNJ300320 17RNJ310320 17RNJ320320 17RNJ330320 17RNJ340320 17RNJ350320 17RNJ360320 17RNJ370320 17RNJ380320 17RNJ390320 17RNJ400320 17RNJ410320 17RNJ420320 17RNJ430320 17RNJ440320 17RNJ450320 17RNJ460320 17RMJ850330 17RMJ860330 17RMJ870330 17RMJ880330 17RMJ890330 17RMJ900330 17RMJ910330 17RMJ920330 17RMJ930330 17RMJ940330 17RMJ950330 17RMJ960330 17RMJ970330 17RMJ980330 17RMJ990330 17RMJ000330 17RNJ010330 17RNJ020330 17RNJ030330

17RNJ040330 17RNJ050330 17RNJ060330 17RNJ070330 17RNJ080330 17RNJ090330 17RNJ100330 17RNJ110330 17RNJ120330 17RNJ130330 17RNJ140330 17RNJ150330 17RNJ160330 17RNJ170330 17RNJ180330 17RNJ190330 17RNJ200330 17RNJ210330 17RNJ220330 17RNJ230330 17RNJ240330 17RNJ250330 17RNJ260330 17RNJ270330 17RNJ280330 17RNJ290330 17RNJ300330 17RNJ310330 17RNJ320330 17RNJ330330 17RNJ340330 17RNJ350330 17RNJ360330 17RNJ370330 17RNJ380330 17RNJ390330 17RNJ400330 17RNJ410330 17RNJ420330 17RNJ430330 17RNJ440330

17RNJ450330

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 68 of 165

17RNJ460330 17RMJ850340 17RMJ860340 17RMJ870340 17RMJ880340 17RMJ890340 17RMJ900340 17RMJ910340 17RMJ920340 17RMJ930340 17RMJ940340 17RMJ950340 17RMJ960340 17RMJ970340 17RMJ980340 17RMJ990340 17RMJ000340 17RNJ010340 17RNJ020340 17RNJ030340 17RNJ040340 17RNJ050340 17RNJ060340 17RNJ070340 17RNJ080340 17RNJ090340 17RNJ100340 17RNJ110340 17RNJ120340 17RNJ130340 17RNJ140340 17RNJ150340 17RNJ160340 17RNJ170340 17RNJ180340 17RNJ190340 17RNJ200340 17RNJ210340 17RNJ220340 17RNJ230340 17RNJ240340 17RNJ250340

17RNJ260340 17RNJ270340 17RNJ280340 17RNJ290340 17RNJ300340 17RNJ310340 17RNJ320340 17RNJ330340 17RNJ340340 17RNJ350340 17RNJ360340 17RNJ370340 17RNJ380340 17RNJ390340 17RNJ400340 17RNJ410340 17RNJ420340 17RNJ430340 17RNJ440340 17RNJ450340 17RNJ460340 17RMJ850350 17RMJ860350 17RMJ870350 17RMJ880350 17RMJ890350 17RMJ900350 17RMJ910350 17RMJ920350 17RMJ930350 17RMJ940350 17RMJ950350 17RMJ960350 17RMJ970350 17RMJ980350 17RMJ990350 17RMJ000350 17RNJ010350 17RNJ020350 17RNJ030350 17RNJ040350 17RNJ050350

17RNJ060350 17RNJ070350 17RNJ080350 17RNJ090350 17RNJ100350 17RNJ110350 17RNJ120350 17RNJ130350 17RNJ140350 17RNJ150350 17RNJ160350 17RNJ170350 17RNJ180350 17RNJ190350 17RNJ200350 17RNJ210350 17RNJ220350 17RNJ230350 17RNJ240350 17RNJ250350 17RNJ260350 17RNJ270350 17RNJ280350 17RNJ290350 17RNJ300350 17RNJ310350 17RNJ320350 17RNJ330350 17RNJ340350 17RNJ350350 17RNJ360350 17RNJ370350 17RNJ380350 17RNJ390350 17RNJ400350 17RNJ410350 17RNJ420350 17RNJ430350 17RNJ440350 17RNJ450350 17RNJ460350 17RNJ470350

17RMJ840360 17RMJ850360 17RMJ860360 17RMJ870360 17RMJ880360 17RMJ890360 17RMJ900360 17RMJ910360 17RMJ920360 17RMJ930360 17RMJ940360 17RMJ950360 17RMJ960360 17RMJ970360 17RMJ980360 17RMJ990360 17RMJ000360 17RNJ010360 17RNJ020360 17RNJ030360 17RNJ040360 17RNJ050360 17RNJ060360 17RNJ070360 17RNJ080360 17RNJ090360 17RNJ100360 17RNJ110360 17RNJ120360 17RNJ130360 17RNJ140360 17RNJ150360 17RNJ160360 17RNJ170360 17RNJ180360 17RNJ190360 17RNJ200360 17RNJ210360 17RNJ220360 17RNJ230360 17RNJ240360 17RNJ250360

17RNJ260360 17RNJ270360 17RNJ280360 17RNJ290360 17RNJ300360 17RNJ310360 17RNJ320360 17RNJ330360 17RNJ340360 17RNJ350360 17RNJ360360 17RNJ370360 17RNJ380360 17RNJ390360 17RNJ400360 17RNJ410360 17RNJ420360 17RNJ430360 17RNJ440360 17RNJ450360 17RNJ460360 17RNJ470360 17RMJ840370 17RMJ850370 17RMJ860370 17RMJ870370 17RMJ880370 17RMJ890370 17RMJ900370 17RMJ910370 17RMJ920370 17RMJ930370 17RMJ940370 17RMJ950370 17RMJ960370 17RMJ970370 17RMJ980370 17RMJ990370 17RMJ000370 17RNJ010370 17RNJ020370 17RNJ030370

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 69 of 165

17RNJ040370 17RNJ050370 17RNJ060370 17RNJ070370 17RNJ080370 17RNJ090370 17RNJ100370 17RNJ110370 17RNJ120370 17RNJ130370 17RNJ140370 17RNJ150370 17RNJ160370 17RNJ170370 17RNJ180370 17RNJ190370 17RNJ200370 17RNJ210370 17RNJ220370 17RNJ230370 17RNJ240370 17RNJ250370 17RNJ260370 17RNJ270370 17RNJ280370 17RNJ290370 17RNJ300370 17RNJ310370 17RNJ320370 17RNJ330370 17RNJ340370 17RNJ350370 17RNJ360370 17RNJ370370 17RNJ380370 17RNJ390370 17RNJ400370 17RNJ410370 17RNJ420370 17RNJ430370 17RNJ440370 17RNJ450370

17RNJ460370 17RNJ470370 17RNJ480370 17RMJ830380 17RMJ840380 17RMJ850380 17RMJ860380 17RMJ870380 17RMJ880380 17RMJ890380 17RMJ900380 17RMJ910380 17RMJ920380 17RMJ930380 17RMJ940380 17RMJ950380 17RMJ960380 17RMJ970380 17RMJ980380 17RMJ990380 17RMJ000380 17RNJ010380 17RNJ020380 17RNJ030380 17RNJ040380 17RNJ050380 17RNJ060380 17RNJ070380 17RNJ080380 17RNJ090380 17RNJ100380 17RNJ110380 17RNJ120380 17RNJ130380 17RNJ140380 17RNJ150380 17RNJ160380 17RNJ170380 17RNJ180380 17RNJ190380 17RNJ200380 17RNJ210380

17RNJ220380 17RNJ230380 17RNJ240380 17RNJ250380 17RNJ260380 17RNJ270380 17RNJ280380 17RNJ290380 17RNJ300380 17RNJ310380 17RNJ320380 17RNJ330380 17RNJ340380 17RNJ350380 17RNJ360380 17RNJ370380 17RNJ380380 17RNJ390380 17RNJ400380 17RNJ410380 17RNJ420380 17RNJ430380 17RNJ440380 17RNJ450380 17RNJ460380 17RNJ470380 17RNJ480380 17RNJ490380 17RMJ830390 17RMJ840390 17RMJ850390 17RMJ860390 17RMJ870390 17RMJ880390 17RMJ890390 17RMJ900390 17RMJ910390 17RMJ920390 17RMJ930390 17RMJ940390 17RMJ950390 17RMJ960390

17RMJ970390 17RMJ980390 17RMJ990390 17RMJ000390 17RNJ010390 17RNJ020390 17RNJ030390 17RNJ040390 17RNJ050390 17RNJ060390 17RNJ070390 17RNJ080390 17RNJ090390 17RNJ100390 17RNJ110390 17RNJ120390 17RNJ130390 17RNJ140390 17RNJ150390 17RNJ160390 17RNJ170390 17RNJ180390 17RNJ190390 17RNJ200390 17RNJ210390 17RNJ220390 17RNJ230390 17RNJ240390 17RNJ250390 17RNJ260390 17RNJ270390 17RNJ280390 17RNJ290390 17RNJ300390 17RNJ310390 17RNJ320390 17RNJ330390 17RNJ340390 17RNJ350390 17RNJ360390 17RNJ370390 17RNJ380390

17RNJ390390 17RNJ400390 17RNJ410390 17RNJ420390 17RNJ430390 17RNJ440390 17RNJ450390 17RNJ460390 17RNJ470390 17RNJ480390 17RNJ490390 17RNJ500390 17RMJ830400 17RMJ840400 17RMJ850400 17RMJ860400 17RMJ870400 17RMJ880400 17RMJ890400 17RMJ900400 17RMJ910400 17RMJ920400 17RMJ930400 17RMJ940400 17RMJ950400 17RMJ960400 17RMJ970400 17RMJ980400 17RMJ990400 17RMJ000400 17RNJ010400 17RNJ020400 17RNJ030400 17RNJ040400 17RNJ050400 17RNJ060400 17RNJ070400 17RNJ080400 17RNJ090400 17RNJ100400 17RNJ110400 17RNJ120400

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 70 of 165

17RNJ130400 17RNJ140400 17RNJ150400 17RNJ160400 17RNJ170400 17RNJ180400 17RNJ190400 17RNJ200400 17RNJ210400 17RNJ220400 17RNJ230400 17RNJ240400 17RNJ250400 17RNJ260400 17RNJ270400 17RNJ280400 17RNJ290400 17RNJ300400 17RNJ310400 17RNJ320400 17RNJ330400 17RNJ340400 17RNJ350400 17RNJ360400 17RNJ370400 17RNJ380400 17RNJ390400 17RNJ400400 17RNJ410400 17RNJ420400 17RNJ430400 17RNJ440400 17RNJ450400 17RNJ460400 17RNJ470400 17RNJ480400 17RNJ490400 17RNJ500400 17RMJ840410 17RMJ850410 17RMJ860410 17RMJ870410

17RMJ880410 17RMJ890410 17RMJ900410 17RMJ910410 17RMJ920410 17RMJ930410 17RMJ940410 17RMJ950410 17RMJ960410 17RMJ970410 17RMJ980410 17RMJ990410 17RMJ000410 17RNJ010410 17RNJ020410 17RNJ030410 17RNJ040410 17RNJ050410 17RNJ060410 17RNJ070410 17RNJ080410 17RNJ090410 17RNJ100410 17RNJ110410 17RNJ120410 17RNJ130410 17RNJ140410 17RNJ150410 17RNJ160410 17RNJ170410 17RNJ180410 17RNJ190410 17RNJ200410 17RNJ210410 17RNJ220410 17RNJ230410 17RNJ240410 17RNJ250410 17RNJ260410 17RNJ270410 17RNJ280410 17RNJ290410

17RNJ300410 17RNJ310410 17RNJ320410 17RNJ330410 17RNJ340410 17RNJ350410 17RNJ360410 17RNJ370410 17RNJ380410 17RNJ390410 17RNJ400410 17RNJ410410 17RNJ420410 17RNJ430410 17RNJ440410 17RNJ450410 17RNJ460410 17RNJ470410 17RNJ480410 17RNJ490410 17RNJ500410 17RMJ850420 17RMJ860420 17RMJ870420 17RMJ880420 17RMJ890420 17RMJ900420 17RMJ910420 17RMJ920420 17RMJ930420 17RMJ940420 17RMJ950420 17RMJ960420 17RMJ970420 17RMJ980420 17RMJ990420 17RMJ000420 17RNJ010420 17RNJ020420 17RNJ030420 17RNJ040420 17RNJ050420

17RNJ060420 17RNJ070420 17RNJ080420 17RNJ090420 17RNJ100420 17RNJ110420 17RNJ120420 17RNJ130420 17RNJ140420 17RNJ150420 17RNJ160420 17RNJ170420 17RNJ180420 17RNJ190420 17RNJ200420 17RNJ210420 17RNJ220420 17RNJ230420 17RNJ240420 17RNJ250420 17RNJ260420 17RNJ270420 17RNJ280420 17RNJ290420 17RNJ300420 17RNJ310420 17RNJ320420 17RNJ330420 17RNJ340420 17RNJ350420 17RNJ360420 17RNJ370420 17RNJ380420 17RNJ390420 17RNJ400420 17RNJ410420 17RNJ420420 17RNJ430420 17RNJ440420 17RNJ450420 17RNJ460420 17RNJ470420

17RNJ480420 17RNJ490420 17RNJ500420 17RMJ860430 17RMJ870430 17RMJ880430 17RMJ890430 17RMJ900430 17RMJ910430 17RMJ920430 17RMJ930430 17RMJ940430 17RMJ950430 17RMJ960430 17RMJ970430 17RMJ980430 17RMJ990430 17RMJ000430 17RNJ010430 17RNJ020430 17RNJ030430 17RNJ040430 17RNJ050430 17RNJ060430 17RNJ070430 17RNJ080430 17RNJ090430 17RNJ100430 17RNJ110430 17RNJ120430 17RNJ130430 17RNJ140430 17RNJ150430 17RNJ160430 17RNJ170430 17RNJ180430 17RNJ190430 17RNJ200430 17RNJ210430 17RNJ220430 17RNJ230430 17RNJ240430

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 71 of 165

17RNJ250430 17RNJ030440 17RNJ260430 17RNJ040440 17RNJ270430 17RNJ050440 17RNJ280430 17RNJ060440 17RNJ290430 17RNJ070440 17RNJ300430 17RNJ080440 17RNJ310430 17RNJ090440 17RNJ320430 17RNJ100440 17RNJ330430 17RNJ110440 17RNJ340430 17RNJ120440 17RNJ350430 17RNJ130440 17RNJ360430 17RNJ140440 17RNJ150440 17RNJ370430 17RNJ380430 17RNJ160440 17RNJ390430 17RNJ170440 17RNJ400430 17RNJ180440 17RNJ410430 17RNJ190440 17RNJ420430 17RNJ200440 17RNJ430430 17RNJ210440 17RNJ440430 17RNJ220440 17RNJ450430 17RNJ230440 17RNJ460430 17RNJ240440 17RNJ470430 17RNJ250440 17RNJ480430 17RNJ260440 17RNJ490430 17RNJ270440 17RNJ500430 17RNJ280440 17RMJ870440 17RNJ290440 17RMJ880440 17RNJ300440 17RMJ890440 17RNJ310440 17RMJ900440 17RNJ320440 17RMJ910440 17RNJ330440 17RMJ920440 17RNJ340440 17RMJ930440 17RNJ350440 17RMJ940440 17RNJ360440 17RMJ950440 17RNJ370440 17RMJ960440 17RNJ380440 17RMJ970440 17RNJ390440 17RMJ980440 17RNJ400440 17RMJ990440 17RNJ410440 17RMJ000440 17RNJ420440 17RNJ010440 17RNJ430440 17RNJ020440 17RNJ440440

17RNJ450440 17RNJ460440 17RNJ470440 17RNJ480440 17RNJ490440 17RNJ500440 17RMJ880450 17RMJ890450 17RMJ900450 17RMJ910450 17RMJ920450 17RMJ930450 17RMJ940450 17RMJ950450 17RMJ960450 17RMJ970450 17RMJ980450 17RMJ990450 17RMJ000450 17RNJ010450 17RNJ020450 17RNJ030450 17RNJ040450 17RNJ050450 17RNJ060450 17RNJ070450 17RNJ080450 17RNJ090450 17RNJ100450 17RNJ110450 17RNJ120450 17RNJ130450 17RNJ140450 17RNJ150450 17RNJ160450 17RNJ170450 17RNJ180450 17RNJ190450 17RNJ200450 17RNJ210450 17RNJ220450 17RNJ230450

17RNJ240450 17RNJ250450 17RNJ260450 17RNJ270450 17RNJ280450 17RNJ290450 17RNJ300450 17RNJ310450 17RNJ320450 17RNJ330450 17RNJ340450 17RNJ350450 17RNJ360450 17RNJ370450 17RNJ380450 17RNJ390450 17RNJ400450 17RNJ410450 17RNJ420450 17RNJ430450 17RNJ440450 17RNJ450450 17RNJ460450 17RNJ470450 17RNJ480450 17RNJ490450 17RNJ500450 17RMJ890460 17RMJ900460 17RMJ910460 17RMJ920460 17RMJ930460 17RMJ940460 17RMJ950460 17RMJ960460 17RMJ970460 17RMJ980460 17RMJ990460 17RMJ000460 17RNJ010460 17RNJ020460 17RNJ030460

17RNJ040460 17RNJ050460 17RNJ060460 17RNJ070460 17RNJ080460 17RNJ090460 17RNJ100460 17RNJ110460 17RNJ120460 17RNJ130460 17RNJ140460 17RNJ150460 17RNJ160460 17RNJ170460 17RNJ180460 17RNJ190460 17RNJ200460 17RNJ210460 17RNJ220460 17RNJ230460 17RNJ240460 17RNJ250460 17RNJ260460 17RNJ270460 17RNJ280460 17RNJ290460 17RNJ300460 17RNJ310460 17RNJ320460 17RNJ330460 17RNJ340460 17RNJ350460 17RNJ360460 17RNJ370460 17RNJ380460 17RNJ390460 17RNJ400460 17RNJ410460 17RNJ420460 17RNJ430460 17RNJ440460 17RNJ450460

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 72 of 165

17RNJ460460 17RNJ470460 17RNJ480460 17RNJ490460 17RNJ500460 17RMJ900470 17RMJ910470 17RMJ920470 17RMJ930470 17RMJ940470 17RMJ950470 17RMJ960470 17RMJ970470 17RMJ980470 17RMJ990470 17RMJ000470 17RNJ010470 17RNJ020470 17RNJ030470 17RNJ040470 17RNJ050470 17RNJ060470 17RNJ070470 17RNJ080470 17RNJ090470 17RNJ100470 17RNJ110470 17RNJ120470 17RNJ130470 17RNJ140470 17RNJ150470 17RNJ160470 17RNJ170470 17RNJ180470 17RNJ190470 17RNJ200470 17RNJ210470 17RNJ220470

17RNJ230470 17RNJ240470 17RNJ250470 17RNJ260470 17RNJ270470 17RNJ280470 17RNJ290470 17RNJ300470 17RNJ310470 17RNJ320470 17RNJ330470 17RNJ340470 17RNJ350470 17RNJ360470 17RNJ370470 17RNJ380470 17RNJ390470 17RNJ400470 17RNJ410470 17RNJ420470 17RNJ430470 17RNJ440470 17RNJ450470 17RNJ460470 17RNJ470470 17RNJ480470 17RNJ490470 17RNJ500470 17RMJ910480 17RMJ920480 17RMJ930480 17RMJ940480 17RMJ950480 17RMJ960480 17RMJ970480 17RMJ980480 17RMJ990480 17RMJ000480 17RNJ010480 17RNJ020480 17RNJ030480 17RNJ040480 17RNJ050480 17RNJ060480 17RNJ070480 17RNJ080480 17RNJ090480 17RNJ100480 17RNJ110480 17RNJ120480 17RNJ130480 17RNJ140480 17RNJ150480 17RNJ160480 17RNJ170480 17RNJ180480 17RNJ190480 17RNJ200480 17RNJ210480 17RNJ220480 17RNJ230480 17RNJ240480 17RNJ250480 17RNJ260480 17RNJ270480 17RNJ280480 17RNJ290480 17RNJ300480 17RNJ310480 17RNJ320480 17RNJ330480 17RNJ340480 17RNJ350480 17RNJ360480 17RNJ370480 17RNJ380480

17RNJ390480 17RNJ400480 17RNJ410480 17RNJ420480 17RNJ430480 17RNJ440480 17RNJ450480 17RNJ460480 17RNJ470480 17RNJ480480 17RNJ490480 17RNJ500480 17RMJ920490 17RMJ930490 17RMJ940490 17RMJ950490 17RMJ960490 17RMJ970490 17RMJ980490 17RMJ990490 17RMJ000490 17RNJ010490 17RNJ020490 17RNJ030490 17RNJ040490 17RNJ050490 17RNJ060490 17RNJ070490 17RNJ080490 17RNJ090490 17RNJ100490 17RNJ110490 17RNJ120490 17RNJ130490 17RNJ140490 17RNJ150490 17RNJ160490 17RNJ170490

17RNJ180490 17RNJ190490 17RNJ200490 17RNJ210490 17RNJ220490 17RNJ230490 17RNJ240490 17RNJ250490 17RNJ260490 17RNJ270490 17RNJ280490 17RNJ290490 17RNJ300490 17RNJ310490 17RNJ320490 17RNJ330490 17RNJ340490 17RNJ350490 17RNJ360490 17RNJ370490 17RNJ380490 17RNJ390490 17RNJ400490 17RNJ410490 17RNJ420490 17RNJ430490 17RNJ440490 17RNJ450490 17RNJ460490 17RNJ470490 17RNJ480490 17RNJ490490 17RNJ500490
Appendix D: GPS Spatial Processing

Mission: 20170419_A

Mission Trajectory





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 74 of 165

PDOP



Satellite Lock and Elevation



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 75 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission:20170419_B Trajectory: Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 76 of 165



Smoothed Performance Metrics



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 77 of 165



L1 Satellite Lock/Elevation



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 78 of 165





Mission: 20170420_A

Trajectory

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 79 of 165



Smoothed Performance Metrics







Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 81 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170420_B





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 83 of 165

400,000



402,000 404,000 405,000 408,000 Time (sec) - 0-10 deg - 10-15 deg - 15-20 deg - 20-30 deg - 30+ deg - No ephem + Cycle slip

410,000

412,000

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 84 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170421_A

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 85 of 165





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 87 of 165







20170421_B Trajectory











SBET IAKAR Separation



20170421_C

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 92 of 165



Smoothed Performance Metrics

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 93 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 94 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 95 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170424_A

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 96 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 97 of 165





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 98 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170425_A Trajectory









SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170425_B



Trajectory









Mission:20170427_A



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 106 of 165





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 108 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170428_A






SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170428_B



Trajectory



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 113 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170429





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 115 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 116 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170522_A Trajectory







Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 119 of 165



147,000

148,000

149,000

150,000

144,000

145,000

146,000 Time (sec)

143,000

142,000

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 120 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170522_B



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 121 of 165



Smoothed Performance Metrics





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 123 of 165







L1 Satellite Lock/Elevation



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 125 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170523_B

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 126 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 127 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 128 of 165



5000m



SBET IAKAR Separation

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 130 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 131 of 165



SBET IAKAR Separation













Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 134 of 165







— North (m) — East (m) — Down (m) → DR mode





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 136 of 165









Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 137 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



- North (m) - East (m) - Down (m) - DR mode

Mission: 20170527 Trajectory











Mission: 20170529_A



Trajectory





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 142 of 165

0

129,000

130,000

131,000



132,000 Time (sec)

133,000

134,000

135,000

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 143 of 165

SBET IAKAR



Mission: 20170529_B Trajectory



M

145,000

146,000

147,000

1.5 1.4 1.3

1.2

144,000



148,000 149,000 Time (sec) 150,000

151,000

152,000


SBET IAKAR Separation

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 146 of 165



Mission: 20170529_C



Smoothed Performance Metrics

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 147 of 165

156,000

158,000



160,000 162,000 Time (sec)

164,000

166,000

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 148 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 149 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170530_A Trajectory



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 150 of 165

Smoothed Performance Metrics



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 151 of 165



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 152 of 165

SBET IAKAR Separation



Mission: 20170530_B Trajectory



Smoothed Performance Metrics



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 154 of 165



- 0-10 deg - 10-15 deg - 15-20 deg - 20-30 deg - 30+ deg - No ephem + Cycle slip



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 155 of 165



Mission: 20170612_A Trajectory





Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 157 of 165





Program: POSGPS Version: 4.30.3108 Project: G:\Projects\Dewberry\AR\12085a\pos\GPS\08512a.gnv

Solution Type: Combined Fwd/Rev

Number of Epochs:

Total in GPB file: 176637 No processed position: 160557 Missing Fwd or Rev: 4 With bad C/A code: 0 With bad L1 Phase: 0

Measurement RMS Values:

L1 Phase: 0.0163 (m) C/A Code: 0.93 (m) L1 Doppler: 0.016 (m/s)

Fwd/Rev Separation RMS Values:

East: 0.010 (m) North: 0.008 (m) Height: 0.022 (m)

Fwd/Rev Sep. RMS for 25%-75% weighting (16074 occurrences):

East: 0.009 (m) North: 0.004 (m) Height: 0.021 (m)

Quality Number Percentages:

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 159 of 165

 $\begin{array}{c} Q \ 1: \ 100.0 \ \% \\ Q \ 2: \ 0.0 \ \% \\ Q \ 3: \ 0.0 \ \% \\ Q \ 4: \ 0.0 \ \% \\ Q \ 5: \ 0.0 \ \% \\ Q \ 6: \ 0.0 \ \% \end{array}$

Position Standard Deviation Percentages:

0.00 - 0.10 m: 100.0 % 0.10 - 0.30 m: 0.0 % 0.30 - 1.00 m: 0.0 % 1.00 - 5.00 m: 0.0 % 5.00 m + over: 0.0 %

Percentages of epochs with DD_DOP over 10.00:

DOP over Tol: 0.0 %

Baseline Distances:

Maximum: 45.062 (km) Minimum: 1.438 (km) Average: 23.646 (km) First Epoch: 41.528 (km) Last Epoch: 45.062 (km)

Sensor Errors



Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 161 of 165



Y Accelerometer Scale Factor Error (ppm)

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 162 of 165



X Accelerometer Scale Factor Error (ppm)

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 163 of 165

0.06 0.04 0.02 0 -0.02 -0.04 -0.06 -0.08 -0.1 -0.12 -0.14 -0.16 -0.18 -0.2 -0.22 -0.24 -0.26 -0.28 46,000 48,000 50,000 52,000 54,000 56,000 58,000 60,000 Time (seconds) Z Gyro Bias (degrees/hour) -0.2 -0.25 -0.3 -0.35 -0.4 -0.45 -0.5 -0.55 -0.6 -0.65 -0.7 -0.75 -0.8 -0.85 48,000 50,000 52,000 54,000 56,000 58,000 60,000 46,000 Time (seconds)

X Gyro Bias (degrees/hour)

Everglades National Park Lidar TO# G16PD01224 August 30, 2018 Page 164 of 165



Time (seconds)

