

KUCERA INTERNATIONAL INC.

GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROFESSIONALS / PHOTOGRAMMETRISTS

2012 State of Kansas, DASC

Area FEMA01

Vertical Accuracy Assessment Report

Background

The National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP)¹ and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS)² define guidelines for testing and reporting the accuracy of digital geospatial data. The ASPRS guidelines are directly referenced to the assessment of LiDAR digital data. The NDEP specifies the mandatory determination of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and the optional determination of Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and/or Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). The standards call for a minimum of three ground cover categories and recommend the survey of a minimum of 20 checkpoints per ground cover category, setting the minimum total checkpoint count at 60 for the study area. Because of the rural nature of the project area, three hybrid major ground categories were agreed upon as representative of the project area. They are:

- Bare Earth (BE) Bare Earth, Low Grass
- High Grass (**HG**) High Grass, Weeds, Crops
- Urban (UR) Urban, Hard Surface

FVA is determined with check points located only in open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, rocks and/or hard surfaces) where there is a high probability that the LiDAR sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600, as specified in Appendix 3-A of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA)³ guidelines.

CVA is determined with all checkpoints in all land cover categories combined. There is a possibility that the digital vertical data may yield errors that do not follow a normal distribution. CVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all ground cover categories combined. The CVA produces a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile and that may not follow the normal error distribution.

SVA is computed for each ground cover category separately, recognizing that the LiDAR sensor and postprocessing may not have mapped the bare-earth ground surface, and that errors may not follow a normal error distribution. For each land cover category, the SVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in that particular land cover category.

Kucera International's vertical accuracy assessment for the FEMA01 area was carried out in accordance with the methods noted above. The following summarizes the steps involved in the assessment:

- Ground survey personnel collected and processed GPS data for each of the ground cover checkpoints. These points were distributed throughout ground cover categories within the project area limits.
- The checkpoints were compared to the digital vertical data using the TerraSolid, LTD program TerraScan. The program creates a TIN surface from the digital vertical surface from the digital vertical data and computes vertical differences between the surface and the surveyed checkpoints. An output file records the vertical differences and associated statistics.
- The results were analyzed by Kucera to assess the quality of the data. Various accuracy parameters as

defined by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines were used in the review process. Also, the overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any tendencies or inconsistencies. The following tables, graphs and figures illustrate the data quality.

Table 1 summarizes the criteria used to evaluate the vertical data:

Criteria	Acceptable Value
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) in open terrain only = 95% confidence level	24.5cm (RMSE _z x 1.9600) for open terrain only
Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) in individual ground cover categories = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on 95 th percentile per category, this is a target value only, not mandatory)
Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) in all ground cover categories combined = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 1: Vertical Accuracy Acceptance Criteria

Table 2 summarizes the vertical accuracy by Fundamental, Consolidated and Supplemental methods:

Ground Cover Category	# of Points	FVA Fundamental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 24.5 cm	CVA Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.6 cm	SVA Supplemental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.3 cm
BE	26	10.0		6.9
HG	23			19.7
UR	25			10.8
Consolidated	74		15.5	

Table 2: Computed Vertical Accuracies per Method

The digital vertical data for the FEMA01 area meets all mandatory and target specifications as per the following vertical accuracy tests:

Compared with the 24.5cm FVA specification, FVA tested 10.0cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE ground cover category, based on RMSE_z x 1.9600. The NSSDA specifies that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals RMSE_z x 1.9600; the NDEP and ASPRS state that this method is valid only when random errors follow a normal error distribution, as in the BE category.

Compared with the 36.3cm CVA specification, CVA tested 15.5cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE, HG and UR ground cover categories combined, based on the 95th percentile. NDEP and ASPRS guidelines specify that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile when random errors may not follow a normal distribution, as in vegetated or obstructed areas. Table 3 lists the outliers larger than the 95th percentile (15.5cm).

PT ID	X UTM 14N (m)	Y UTM 14N (m)	Elev. Diff (cm)	The errors in bold are larger than the CVA
F1HG21	505846.932	4389893.778	15.8	standard (36.3cm) which permits up to 5% of
F1HG05	526402.500	4340432.552	19.3	the checkpoints to be larger than 36.3cm.
F1HG19	529651.694	4389543.079	19.7	None exist in FEMA01 area.
F1HG15	538441.050	4406910.872	23.8	

Table 3: Outliers Larger Than CVA 95th Percentile

Compared with the 36.3cm SVA target values, SVA tested 6.9cm at the 95% confidence level in BE; 19.7cm in HG and 10.8cm in the UR land cover categories, based on the 95th percentile. These tested values all come in under the target value.



Drawing 1: SVA Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 2: Magnitude of Elevation Differences

The NSSDA and FEMA⁴ guidelines were both published before it was recognized that digital data errors do not always follow a normal error distribution. Future changes to these guidelines are expected to follow those of the NDEP and ASPRS. The following tables and drawings document $RMSE_z$, the statistical array and an elevation difference histogram.



Drawing 19: RMSEz Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 20: Histogram of Elevation Differences, 5cm range

Land Cover Category	# of Points	RMSEz (cm)	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Std Dev (cm)	Min (cm)	Max (cm)	Skew
BE	26	5.1	0.1	-0.1	5.2	-7.8	9.1	0.069
HG	23	11.8	9.6	9.6	7.0	-1.0	23.8	0.167
UR	25	6.2	1.0	-0.4	6.3	-10.1	12.1	0.088
Consolidated	74	8.1	3.4	3.4	7.4	-10.1	23.8	0.453

Table 20: Overall Descriptive Statistics by Ground Cover Category





- 1 *Guidelines for Digital Elevation Data*, Version 1.0, published by the National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP), May 2004
- 2 ASPRS Guidelines, Vertical Accuracy Reporting for Lidar Data, published by the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS), May 2004
- 3 Part 3: *National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA)*, "Geospatial Positioning Accuracy Standards," published by the Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC), 1998
- 4 Appendix A, *Guidance for Aerial Mapping and Surveying*, "Guidelines and Specifications for Flood Hazard Mapping Partners," published by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), April 2003.

2012 State of Kansas, DASC

Area FEMA02

Vertical Accuracy Assessment Report

Background

The National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) define guidelines for testing and reporting the accuracy of digital geospatial data. The ASPRS guidelines are directly referenced to the assessment of LiDAR digital data. The NDEP specifies the mandatory determination of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and the optional determination of Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and/or Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). The standards call for a minimum of three ground cover categories and recommend the survey of a minimum of 20 checkpoints per ground cover category, setting the minimum total checkpoint count at 60 for the study area. Because of the rural nature of the project area, three hybrid major ground categories were agreed upon as representative of the project area. They are:

- Bare Earth (BE) Bare Earth, Low Grass
- High Grass (**HG**) High Grass, Weeds, Crops
- Urban (UR) Urban, Hard Surface

FVA is determined with check points located only in open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, rocks and/or hard surfaces) where there is a high probability that the LiDAR sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600, as specified in Appendix 3-A of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidelines.

CVA is determined with all checkpoints in all land cover categories combined. There is a possibility that the digital vertical data may yield errors that do not follow a normal distribution. CVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all ground cover categories combined. The CVA produces a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile and that may not follow the normal error distribution.

SVA is computed for each ground cover category separately, recognizing that the LiDAR sensor and postprocessing may not have mapped the bare-earth ground surface, and that errors may not follow a normal error distribution. For each land cover category, the SVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in that particular land cover category.

Kucera International's vertical accuracy assessment for the FEMA02 area was carried out in accordance with the methods noted above. The following summarizes the steps involved in the assessment:

- Ground survey personnel collected and processed GPS data for each of the ground cover checkpoints.
 These points were distributed throughout ground cover categories within the project area limits.
- The checkpoints were compared to the digital vertical data using the TerraSolid, LTD program TerraScan. The program creates a TIN surface from the digital vertical surface from the digital vertical data and computes vertical differences between the surface and the surveyed checkpoints. An output file records the vertical differences and associated statistics.
- The results were analyzed by Kucera to assess the quality of the data. Various accuracy parameters as defined by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines were used in the review process. Also, the overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any tendencies or inconsistencies. The following tables, graphs and figures illustrate the data quality.

Table 1 summarizes the criteria used to evaluate the vertical data:

Criteria	Acceptable Value
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) in open terrain only = 95% confidence level	24.5cm (RMSE _z x 1.9600) for open terrain only
Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) in individual ground cover categories = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on 95 th percentile per category, this is a target value only, not mandatory)
Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) in all ground cover categories combined = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 4: Vertical Accuracy Acceptance Criteria

Table 2 summarizes the vertical accuracy by Fundamental, Consolidated and Supplemental methods:

Ground Cover Category	# of Points	FVA Fundamental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 24.5 cm	CVA Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.6 cm	SVA Supplemental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.3 cm
BE	22	13.4		13.9
HG	22			29.3
UR	22			10.5
Consolidated	66		22.7	

Table 5: Computed Vertical Accuracies per Method

The digital vertical data for the FEMA02 area meets all mandatory and target specifications as per the following vertical accuracy tests:

Compared with the 24.5cm FVA specification, FVA tested 13.4cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE ground cover category, based on RMSE_z x 1.9600. The NSSDA specifies that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals RMSE_z x 1.9600; the NDEP and ASPRS state that this method is valid only when random errors follow a normal error distribution, as in the BE category.

Compared with the 36.3cm CVA specification, CVA tested 22.7cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE, HG and UR ground cover categories combined, based on the 95th percentile. NDEP and ASPRS guidelines specify that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile when random errors may not follow a normal distribution, as in vegetated or obstructed areas. Table 3 lists the outliers larger than the 95th percentile (22.7cm).

PT ID	X UTM 14N (m)	Y UTM 14N (m)	Elev. Diff (cm)	The errors in bold are larger than the CVA
F2HG23	533895.889	4300353.039	23.6	standard (36.3cm) which permits up to 5% of
F2HG21	513320.456	4284108.876	24.7	the checkpoints to be larger than 36.3cm.
F2HG22	516629.789	4303224.545	29.5	None exist in FEMA02 area.
F2HG08	473543.539	4328307.185	31.4	

Table 6: Outliers Larger Than CVA 95th Percentile

Compared with the 36.3cm SVA target values, SVA tested 13.9cm at the 95% confidence level in BE; 29.3cm in HG and 10.5cm in the UR land cover categories, based on the 95th percentile. These tested values all come in under the target value.



Drawing 3: SVA Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 4: Magnitude of Elevation Differences

The NSSDA and FEMA guidelines were both published before it was recognized that digital data errors do not always follow a normal error distribution. Future changes to these guidelines are expected to follow those of the NDEP and ASPRS. The following tables and drawings document RMSE_z, the statistical array and an elevation difference histogram.



Drawing 5: RMSEz Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 6: Histogram of Elevation Differences, 5cm range

Land Cover Category	# of Points	RMSEz (cm)	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Std Dev (cm)	Min (cm)	Max (cm)	Skew
BE	22	6.8	1.4	0.4	6.9	-10.5	15.1	0.353
HG	22	15.2	11.9	11.9	9.7	-2.5	31.4	0.417
UR	22	8.4	0.8	1.9	8.5	-18.2	12.2	-0.404
Consolidated	66	10.8	4.7	4.7	9.8	-18.2	31.4	0.444

 Table 7: Overall Descriptive Statistics by Ground Cover Category



2012 State of Kansas, DASC

Area FEMA03

Vertical Accuracy Assessment Report

Background

The National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) define guidelines for testing and reporting the accuracy of digital geospatial data. The ASPRS guidelines are directly referenced to the assessment of LiDAR digital data. The NDEP specifies the mandatory determination of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and the optional determination of Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and/or Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). The standards call for a minimum of three ground cover categories and recommend the survey of a minimum of 20 checkpoints per ground cover category, setting the minimum total checkpoint count at 60 for the study area. Because of the rural nature of the project area, three hybrid major ground categories were agreed upon as representative of the project area. They are:

- Bare Earth (**BE**) Bare Earth, Low Grass
- High Grass (**HG**) High Grass, Weeds, Crops
- Urban (UR) Urban, Hard Surface

FVA is determined with check points located only in open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, rocks and/or hard surfaces) where there is a high probability that the LiDAR sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600, as specified in Appendix 3-A of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidelines.

CVA is determined with all checkpoints in all land cover categories combined. There is a possibility that the digital vertical data may yield errors that do not follow a normal distribution. CVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all ground cover categories combined. The CVA produces a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile and that may not follow the normal error distribution.

SVA is computed for each ground cover category separately, recognizing that the LiDAR sensor and postprocessing may not have mapped the bare-earth ground surface, and that errors may not follow a normal error distribution. For each land cover category, the SVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in that particular land cover category.

Kucera International's vertical accuracy assessment for the FEMA03 area was carried out in accordance with the methods noted above. The following summarizes the steps involved in the assessment:

- Ground survey personnel collected and processed GPS data for each of the ground cover checkpoints. These points were distributed throughout ground cover categories within the project area limits.
- The checkpoints were compared to the digital vertical data using the TerraSolid, LTD program TerraScan. The program creates a TIN surface from the digital vertical surface from the digital vertical data and computes vertical differences between the surface and the surveyed checkpoints. An output file records the vertical differences and associated statistics.
- The results were analyzed by Kucera to assess the quality of the data. Various accuracy parameters as defined by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines were used in the review process. Also, the overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any tendencies or inconsistencies. The following tables, graphs and figures illustrate the data quality.

Table 1 summarizes the criteria used to evaluate the vertical data:

Criteria	Acceptable Value
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) in open terrain only = 95% confidence level	24.5cm (RMSE _z x 1.9600) for open terrain only
Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) in individual ground cover categories = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on 95 th percentile per category, this is a target value only, not mandatory)
Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) in all ground cover categories combined = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 8: Vertical Accuracy Acceptance Criteria

Table 2 summarizes the vertical accuracy by Fundamental, Consolidated and Supplemental methods:

Ground Cover Category	# of Points	FVA Fundamental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 24.5 cm	CVA Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.6 cm	SVA Supplemental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.3 cm
BE	23	11.4		8.7
HG	23			19.5
UR	23			7.9
Consolidated	69		17.6	

Table 9: Computed Vertical Accuracies per Method

The digital vertical data for the FEMA03 area meets all mandatory and target specifications as per the following vertical accuracy tests:

Compared with the 24.5cm FVA specification, FVA tested 11.4cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE ground cover category, based on RMSE_z x 1.9600. The NSSDA specifies that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals RMSE_z x 1.9600; the NDEP and ASPRS state that this method is valid only when random errors follow a normal error distribution, as in the BE category.

Compared with the 36.3cm CVA specification, CVA tested 17.6cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE, HG and UR ground cover categories combined, based on the 95th percentile. NDEP and ASPRS guidelines specify that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile when random errors may not follow a normal distribution, as in vegetated or obstructed areas. Table 3 lists the outliers larger than the 95th percentile (17.6cm).

PT ID	X UTM 14N (m)	Y UTM 14N (m)	Elev. Diff (cm)	The errors in bold are larger than the CVA
F3HG02	432648.460	4238342.494	18.4	standard (36.3cm) which permits up to 5% of
F3HG20	494686.356	4265358.805	19.3	the checkpoints to be larger than 36.3cm.
F3HG15	461667.749	4258398.414	19.5	None exist in FEMA03 area.
F3HG13	473759.022	4264611.530	22.6	

Table 10: Outliers Larger Than CVA 95th Percentile

Compared with the 36.3cm SVA target values, SVA tested 8.7cm at the 95% confidence level in BE; 19.5cm in HG and 7.9cm in the UR land cover categories, based on the 95th percentile. These tested values all come in under the target value.



Drawing 7: SVA Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 8: Magnitude of Elevation Differences

The NSSDA and FEMA guidelines were both published before it was recognized that digital data errors do not always follow a normal error distribution. Future changes to these guidelines are expected to follow those of the NDEP and ASPRS. The following tables and drawings document RMSE_z, the statistical array and an elevation difference histogram.



Drawing 9: RMSEz Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 10: Histogram of Elevation Differences, 5cm range

Land Cover Category	# of Points	RMSEz (cm)	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Std Dev (cm)	Min (cm)	Max (cm)	Skew
BE	23	5.8	2.8	3.4	5.2	-15.6	10.6	-1.928
HG	23	12.5	10.6	10.2	6.8	-3.3	22.6	-0.292
UR	23	5.5	1.6	3.1	5.3	-12.1	8.5	-0.951
Consolidated	69	8.6	5.0	4.5	7.0	-15.6	22.6	-0.027

 Table 11: Overall Descriptive Statistics by Ground Cover Category



2012 State of Kansas, DOA

Area FEMA04

Vertical Accuracy Assessment Report

Background

The National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) define guidelines for testing and reporting the accuracy of digital geospatial data. The ASPRS guidelines are directly referenced to the assessment of LiDAR digital data. The NDEP specifies the mandatory determination of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and the optional determination of Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and/or Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). The standards call for a minimum of three ground cover categories and recommend the survey of a minimum of 20 checkpoints per ground cover category, setting the minimum total checkpoint count at 60 for the study area. Because of the rural nature of the project area, three hybrid major ground categories were agreed upon as representative of the project area. They are:

- Bare Earth (**BE**) Bare Earth, Low Grass
- High Grass (HG) High Grass, Weeds, Crops
- Urban (UR) Urban, Hard Surface

FVA is determined with check points located only in open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, rocks and/or hard surfaces) where there is a high probability that the LiDAR sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600, as specified in Appendix 3-A of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidelines.

CVA is determined with all checkpoints in all land cover categories combined. There is a possibility that the digital vertical data may yield errors that do not follow a normal distribution. CVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all ground cover categories combined. The CVA produces a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile and that may not follow the normal error distribution.

SVA is computed for each ground cover category separately, recognizing that the LiDAR sensor and postprocessing may not have mapped the bare-earth ground surface, and that errors may not follow a normal error distribution. For each land cover category, the SVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in that particular land cover category.

Kucera International's vertical accuracy assessment for the FEMA04 area was carried out in accordance with the methods noted above. The following summarizes the steps involved in the assessment:

- Ground survey personnel collected and processed GPS data for each of the ground cover checkpoints. These points were distributed throughout ground cover categories within the project area limits.
- The checkpoints were compared to the digital vertical data using the TerraSolid, LTD program TerraScan. The program creates a TIN surface from the digital vertical surface from the digital vertical data and computes vertical differences between the surface and the surveyed checkpoints. An output file records the vertical differences and associated statistics.
- The results were analyzed by Kucera to assess the quality of the data. Various accuracy parameters as defined by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines were used in the review process. Also, the overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any tendencies or inconsistencies. The following tables, graphs and figures illustrate the data quality.

Table 1 summarizes the criteria used to evaluate the vertical data:

Criteria	Acceptable Value
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) in open terrain only = 95% confidence level	24.5cm (RMSE _z x 1.9600) for open terrain only
Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) in individual ground cover categories = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on 95 th percentile per category, this is a target value only, not mandatory)
Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) in all ground cover categories combined = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 12: Vertical Accuracy Acceptance Criteria

Table 2 summarizes the vertical accuracy by Fundamental, Consolidated and Supplemental methods:

Ground Cover Category	# of Points	FVA Fundamental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 24.5 cm	CVA Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.6 cm	SVA Supplemental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.3 cm
BE	33	11.1		11.1
HG	32			22.8
UR	33			5.4
Consolidated	98		19.7	

Table 13: Computed Vertical Accuracies per Method

The digital vertical data for the FEMA04 area meets all mandatory and target specifications as per the following vertical accuracy tests:

Compared with the 24.5cm FVA specification, FVA tested 11.1cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE ground cover category, based on RMSE_z x 1.9600. The NSSDA specifies that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals RMSE_z x 1.9600; the NDEP and ASPRS state that this method is valid only when random errors follow a normal error distribution, as in the BE category.

Compared with the 36.3cm CVA specification, CVA tested 19.7cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE, HG and UR ground cover categories combined, based on the 95th percentile. NDEP and ASPRS guidelines specify that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile when random errors may not follow a normal distribution, as in vegetated or obstructed areas. Table 3 lists the outliers larger than the 95th percentile (19.7cm).

PT ID	X UTM 14N (m)	Y UTM 14N (m)	Elev. Diff (cm)	The errors in hold are larger than the CVA
F4HG04	514062.482	4205808.459	20.7	standard (36.3cm) which permits up to 5% of
F4HG23	539262.494	4150391.922	20.8	the check points to be larger than 36.3cm
F4HG03	502344.031	4212269.984	22.5	None exist in FEMA04 area.
F4HG12	452129.415	4238276.594	23.1	
F4HG21	502843.094	4202497.039	29.2	

Table 14: Outliers Larger Than CVA 95th Percentile

Compared with the 36.3cm SVA target values, SVA tested 11.1cm at the 95% confidence level in BE; 22.8cm in HG and 5.4cm in the UR land cover categories, based on the 95th percentile. These tested values all come in under the target value.



Drawing 11: SVA Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 12: Magnitude of Elevation Differences

The NSSDA and FEMA guidelines were both published before it was recognized that digital data errors do not always follow a normal error distribution. Future changes to these guidelines are expected to follow those of the NDEP and ASPRS. The following tables and drawings document $RMSE_z$, the statistical array and an elevation difference histogram.



Drawing 13: RMSEz Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 14: Histogram of Elevation Differences, 5cm range

Land Cover Category	# of Points	RMSEz (cm)	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Std Dev (cm)	Min (cm)	Max (cm)	Skew
BE	33	5.7	1.4	0.8	5.6	-10.5	12.4	0.117
HG	32	13.4	10.4	9.0	8.6	-11.4	29.2	-0.061
UR	33	4.6	-0.3	-0.2	4.7	-9.1	13.8	0.378
Consolidated	98	8.8	3.8	2.8	8.0	-11.4	29.2	0.795

Table 15: Overall Descriptive Statistics by Ground Cover Category



2012 State of Kansas, DASC

Area FEMA05

Vertical Accuracy Assessment Report

Background

The National Digital Elevation Program (NDEP) and the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing (ASPRS) define guidelines for testing and reporting the accuracy of digital geospatial data. The ASPRS guidelines are directly referenced to the assessment of LiDAR digital data. The NDEP specifies the mandatory determination of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) and the optional determination of Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) and/or Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA). The standards call for a minimum of three ground cover categories and recommend the survey of a minimum of 20 checkpoints per ground cover category, setting the minimum total checkpoint count at 60 for the study area. Because of the rural nature of the project area, three hybrid major ground categories were agreed upon as representative of the project area. They are:

- Bare Earth (**BE**) Bare Earth, Low Grass
- High Grass (HG) High Grass, Weeds, Crops
- Urban (UR) Urban, Hard Surface

There were 7 Brush (BR) checkpoints mistakenly collected in the FEMA05 area. These points were included in the HG category in addition to the 21 HG checkpoints.

FVA is determined with check points located only in open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, rocks and/or hard surfaces) where there is a high probability that the LiDAR sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600, as specified in Appendix 3-A of the National Standard for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidelines.

CVA is determined with all checkpoints in all land cover categories combined. There is a possibility that the digital vertical data may yield errors that do not follow a normal distribution. CVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all ground cover categories combined. The CVA produces a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile and that may not follow the normal error distribution.

SVA is computed for each ground cover category separately, recognizing that the LiDAR sensor and postprocessing may not have mapped the bare-earth ground surface, and that errors may not follow a normal error distribution. For each land cover category, the SVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in that particular land cover category.

Kucera International's vertical accuracy assessment for the FEMA05 area was carried out in accordance with the methods noted above. The following summarizes the steps involved in the assessment:

- Ground survey personnel collected and processed GPS data for each of the ground cover checkpoints. These points were distributed throughout ground cover categories within the project area limits.
- The checkpoints were compared to the digital vertical data using the TerraSolid, LTD program TerraScan. The program creates a TIN surface from the digital vertical surface from the digital vertical data and computes vertical differences between the surface and the surveyed checkpoints. An output file records the vertical differences and associated statistics.
- The results were analyzed by Kucera to assess the quality of the data. Various accuracy parameters as defined by NDEP and ASPRS guidelines were used in the review process. Also, the overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any tendencies or inconsistencies. The following tables, graphs and figures illustrate the data quality.

Table 1 summarizes the criteria used to evaluate the vertical data:

Criteria	Acceptable Value
Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA) in open terrain only = 95% confidence level	24.5cm (RMSE _z x 1.9600) for open terrain only
Supplemental Vertical Accuracy (SVA) in individual ground cover categories = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on 95 th percentile per category, this is a target value only, not mandatory)
Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA) in all ground cover categories combined = 95% confidence level	36.3cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 16: Vertical Accuracy Acceptance Criteria

Table 2 summarizes the vertical accuracy by Fundamental, Consolidated and Supplemental methods:

Ground Cover Category	# of Points	FVA Fundamental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 24.5 cm	CVA Consolidated Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.6 cm	SVA Supplemental Vertical Accuracy Spec = 36.3 cm
BE	20	12.4		8.2
HG	28			15.9
UR	24			4.6
Consolidated	72		13.8	

Table 17: Computed Vertical Accuracies per Method

The digital vertical data for the FEMA05 area meets all mandatory and target specifications as per the following vertical accuracy tests:

Compared with the 24.5cm FVA specification, FVA tested 12.4cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE ground cover category, based on RMSE_z x 1.9600. The NSSDA specifies that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals RMSE_z x 1.9600; the NDEP and ASPRS state that this method is valid only when random errors follow a normal error distribution, as in the BE category.

Compared with the 36.3cm CVA specification, CVA tested 13.8cm at the 95% confidence level on the BE, HG and UR ground cover categories combined, based on the 95th percentile. NDEP and ASPRS guidelines specify that vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile when random errors may not follow a normal distribution, as in vegetated or obstructed areas. Table 3 lists the outliers larger than the 95th percentile (13.8cm).

PT ID	X UTM 14N (m)	Y UTM 14N (m)	Elev. Diff (cm)	
F5HG20	551154.431	4143883.506	14.6	The errors in bold are larger than the CVA
F5HG02	607856.289	4222618.042	15.8	standard (36.3cm) which permits up to 5% of
F5HG18	574040.608	4144283.917	16.0	the checkpoints to be larger than 36.3cm.
F5BE08	554999.038	4212344.772	17.8	None exist in FEMA05 area.
F5UR02	592399.309	4211989.963	18.0	
F5BR07	569809.982	4202552.680	20.0	

 Table 18: Outliers Larger Than CVA 95th Percentile

Compared with the 36.3cm SVA target values, SVA tested 8.2cm at the 95% confidence level in BE; 15.9cm in HG and 4.6cm in the UR land cover categories, based on the 95th percentile. These tested values all come in under the target value.



Drawing 15: SVA Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 16: Magnitude of Elevation Differences

The NSSDA and FEMA guidelines were both published before it was recognized that digital data errors do not always follow a normal error distribution. Future changes to these guidelines are expected to follow those of the NDEP and ASPRS. The following tables and drawings document RMSE_z, the statistical array and an elevation difference histogram.



Drawing 17: RMSEz Values by Ground Cover Category



Drawing 18: Histogram of Elevation Differences, 5cm range

Land Cover Category	# of Points	RMSEz (cm)	Mean (cm)	Median (cm)	Std Dev (cm)	Min (cm)	Max (cm)	Skew
BE	20	6.3	0.0	0.1	6.5	-17.8	9.6	-0.981
HG	28	9.0	5.2	5.0	7.5	-9.4	20.0	-0.111
UR	24	6.7	-3.4	-2.7	5.9	-18.0	4.8	-0.533
Consolidated	72	7.6	0.9	0.9	7.6	-18.0	20.0	-0.020

Table 19: Overall Descriptive Statistics by Ground Cover Category

