

Humboldt NV (QL1) Project ID: 223212 Wor

Project ID: 223212 Work Unit ID: 223209_NV_Humboldt_1_2021

Lidar Mapping Report

October 2022

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

<u>The Sanborn Map Company, Inc.</u> (Sanborn) was tasked to provide remote sensing services in the form of lidar. Utilizing a multi-return system, Light Detection and Ranging (Lidar) detects 3-dimensional positions and attributes to form a point cloud. The high accuracy airborne system is integrated with both Global Navigation Satellite System (GNSS) and an Inertial Measure Unit (IMU) for accurate position and orientation. Acquisition of the project area's ~2145mi² was completed on August 29th, 2022.

The RIEGL VQ-1560II was used to collect data for the aerial survey campaign. The sensor is attached to the aircraft's underside and emits rapid laser pulses that are used to calculate ranges between the aircraft and subsequent terrain below. The Airborne Lidar System (ALS) is boresighted by completing multiple passes over a known ground surface before the project acquisition. During data processing, the system calibration parameters are updated and used during post-processing of the lidar point cloud.

Differential GNSS unit in aircraft sampled positions at 2Hz or higher frequency. Lidar data was only acquired when GNSS PDOP is ≤ 4 and at least 6 satellites are in view. The atmosphere was free of clouds and fog between the aircraft and ground. The ground was free of snow and extensive flooding or any other type of inundation.

The contents of this report summarize the methods used to establish the base station coordinates, perform the lidar data acquisition and processing as well as the results of these methods.

CONTENTS

EXEC	UTIVE SUMMARY	1
CONT	ENTS	2
1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
1.1 1.2 1.3	CONTACT INFORMATION	
2.0	ACQUISITION	4
2.1 2.2 2.3	INTRODUCTION	
3.0	PROCESSING	7
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4 3.5	INTRODUCTION7COORDINATE REFERENCE SYSTEM8LIDAR MATCHING9LIDAR CLASSIFICATION13ACCURACY ASSESSMENT13	
4.0	PRODUCT GENERATION	
CLA BAR BRE MAX FIRS LAS SWA OTH	SSIFIED POINT CLOUD16E-EARTH DIGITAL ELEVATION MODEL (DEM)17AKLINES18KIMUM SURFACE HEIGHT RASTERS (MSHR)19T-RETURN INTENSITY IMAGES20T-RETURN SWATH SEPARATION IMAGES21ATH POLYGONS22ER DELIVERABLES22	
APPE	NDIX A – ABGNSS/IMU PLOTS	23

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This document contains the technical write-up of the lidar campaign, including system calibration techniques, and the collection and processing of the lidar data.

1.1 Contact Information

Questions regarding the technical aspects of this report should be addressed to:

Shawn Benham, PMP

Vice President of Programs The Sanborn Map Company, Inc. 1935 Jamboree Drive, Suite 100 Colorado Springs, CO 80920 (719) 502-1296 <u>sbenham@sanborn.com</u>

1.2 Purpose of Lidar Acquisition

The objective of this project is to collect accurate measurements of the bare-earth surface as well as above ground features to be provided as geometric inputs for surface and/or change modeling as is relates survey assessments.

1.3 Project Location



Figure 1: Tile Index and Trajectories As-Flown

2.1 Introduction

This section outlines the lidar system, flight reporting, and data acquisition methodology used during the collection of the lidar campaign. Although Sanborn conducts all lidar missions with the same rigorous and strict procedures and processes, all lidar collections are unique.

2.2 Acquisition Parameters

Sanborn specifically defined the collection parameters to accomplish the desired project specifications. **Table 1** shows the planned acquisition parameters utilized for this aerial survey with the sensor(s) installed.

Planned Acquisition Parameters					
Aircraft	N27693 - PIPER PA-31-325				
Sensor	RIEGL VQ-1560 II				
Max Number of Returns	15				
Point Spacing (m)	0.29				
Point Density (pls/m ²)	10.16				
Flying Height (AGL) (m)	1943				
Air Speed (kts)	140				
Field of View (degrees)	58.5				
Scan Rate (Hz)	206				
Pulse Rate (kHz)	1194				
Laser Footprint (m)	0.36				
Wavelength (nm)	1064				
Multi-Pulse	Yes				
Swath Width (m)	2177				
Overlap (%)	55				

Table 1: Lidar Acquisition Parameters

2.3 Field Work Procedures

Sanborn's standard procedure before every mission is to perform pre-flight checks to ensure correct operation of all systems. All cables were checked, and the sensor head glass was cleaned. A three-minute static session was conducted on the ground with the engines running prior to take-off to establish fine-alignment of the IMU and to resolve GNSS ambiguities.

The project acquisition consisted of nineteen (19) missions. During the data collection, the operator recorded information on log sheets which includes weather conditions, lidar operation parameters, flight line statistics and PDOP.

Preliminary data processing was performed in the field immediately following the missions for quality control of GNSS data and to ensure sufficient coverage of the project AOI. Any problematic data could then be re-flown immediately as required. Final data processing was completed in the Colorado Springs, CO office. **Table 2** below shows the flight acquisition metrics for the entire collection. **Table 3** contains the base station names and locations in operation during acquisition. Base station coordinates are provided in NAD83 (2011), Geographic Coordinate System, Ellipsoid, Meters.

Date	Sensor	Serial #	Tail #	MissionID	PDOP	Start (UTC)	End
9/23/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210923A	1.5	16:23:48	21:55:23
9/24/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210924A	1.3	16:33:20	21:51:08
9/25/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210925A	1.2	15:02:01	18:27:44
9/25/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210925B	1.4	20:36:38	22:35:34
9/26/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210926A	1.2	13:57:44	19:39:39
9/26/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210926B	1.2	20:35:10	22:41:15
9/27/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210927A	1.1	13:27:06	19:25:55
9/29/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210929A	1.2	15:20:25	20:15:08
9/29/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210929B	1.1	21:35:28	0:53:41
9/30/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210930A	1.1	13:36:22	19:29:17
9/30/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20210930B	1.2	17:23:53	0:14:32
10/1/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211001A	1.2	19:50:48	22:18:07
10/2/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211002A	1.2	14:09:04	20:23:10
10/3/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211003A	1.2	14:06:20	20:35:56
10/4/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211004A	1.3	16:35:16	21:35:33
10/6/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211006A	1.1	15:32:51	17:24:58
10/9/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211009A	1.2	17:04:28	19:25:30
10/10/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211010A	1.2	15:38:06	22:02:01
10/10/2021	RIEGL VQ-1560 II	S2222736	N27693	20211010B	1.2	22:48:27	3:05:17

Table 2: Collection Date Time by Mission

Designation	Туре	PID	Latitude (N)	Longitude (W)	Elevation
COF1	CORS	DG6525	39 36 18.06563	119 14 26.28325	1251.937
MODB	CORS	DK6484	41 54 08.37012	120 18 10.19454	1567.227
P148	CORS	DN7524	40 25 06.91652	120 48 21.46250	1584.544
P347	CORS	DK6408	41 11 00.03540	120 56 54.46391	1268.834
P730	CORS	DN7464	41 21 33.10967	120 49 41.70164	1822.498

Table 3: GNSS Reference Station Coordinates



Figure 2: GNSS Reference Stations

3.1 Introduction

The GNSS/IMU data was post-processed using Applanix POSPac software to create Smoothed Best Estimate Trajectory (SBET) file(s). The SBET was then combined with the laser range measurements in RIEGL RiPROCESS software to produce the 3-dimensional coordinates resulting in an accurate set of Raw Point Cloud (RPC) mass points. These raw swath (*.las) files are output in WGS84, UTM, Ellipsoid, Meters and transformed to the project Coordinate Reference System (CRS) upon ingest into GeoCue before project wide lidar matching.



Figure 3: Raw Swath Coverage

The RIEGL RiPROCESS pre-processing software created raw swath files with all return values. This multi-return information was processed and classified to obtain the required feature for delivery. All lidar data is processed using the ASPRS binary LAS format version 1.4. **Table 4** illustrates the achieved point cloud statistics.

Category	Value
Aggregate Total Points	92,369,741,165
Aggregate Nominal Pulse Spacing (m)	0.25
Aggregate Nominal Pulse Density (pls/m ²)	15.5
Aggregate Nominal Pulse Spacing (ft)	0.83
Aggregate Nominal Pulse Density (pls/ft ²)	1.4
Table 4: Point Cloud Statistics	



3.2 Coordinate Reference System Horizontal Datum: North

Horizontal Datum:North American Datum of 1983 (2011)Projection:Universal Transverse Mercator Zone 11 NorthVertical Datum:North American Vertical Datum of 1988Geoid Model:Geoid18Units:Meters

3.3 Lidar Matching

Sanborn uses pre-processing software and the latest boresight values to combine the processed SBET with the laser scan files to produce the lidar point cloud. The data is processed by mission and/or block and is output in ASPRS LASv1.4 Point Data Record Format (PDRF) 6 with 16bit linearly scaled intensities to the nearest 0.001 3D position. Each mission is produced in WGS84, UTM, Ellipsoid, Meters and transformed to the project CRS upon import into GeoCue.



Figure 5: Point Cloud Elevation

Each mission is imported into GeoCue where each individual flight line is assigned a unique Source ID number. The SBET is cut per swath into TerraScan Trajectory files based on Source ID number and timestamp; these are utilized during the lidar matching process. The project area(s) are broken into logical blocks based on AOIs or predetermined delivery blocks and the individual flight lines are populated into lidar matching tile grids. These lidar matching tile grids are prepared for scanner, line, mission, block and eventual project wide lidar matching routines by first running point cloud filters to identify ground and building features to be used during any TerraMatch processes.

Sanborn takes advantage of both visual and statistical validation methodologies to review and ensure both the individual precision and alignment of the lidar dataset. Swath Precision Images modulated by Intensity are representative of the intraswath alignment and provide a holistic qualitative look at the goodness of fit within each swath. Swath Separation Images modulated by Intensity are representative of the interswath alignment and provide a holistic qualitative look at the goodness of fit within each swath. Swath Separation Images modulated by Intensity are representative of the interswath alignment and provide a holistic qualitative look at the positional quality of the point cloud. The images are reviewed in their entirety. Furthermore, the set of TerraMatch Tie Lines are used to produce a Tie Line Report to statistically assess the X. Y. and Z offset averages and magnitudes for the whole project including each line individually. This visual and statistical review guarantees the relative accuracy of the lidar dataset. **Table 5** outlines the relative accuracy requirements of the project. **Tables 6** – **9** are the relative accuracies achieved.

Category	Value (m)	Value (ft)		
Smooth Surface Repeatability	≤0.060	≤0.197		
Swath overlap difference, RMSDz	≤ 0.080	≤0.262		

Table 5: Relative Accuracy Requirements

No Dete		0.06m to 0.12m	0.12m to 0.18m	× 0.19
No Data	< 0.00m	0.00m to $0.12m$	0.12m to 0.18m	> 0.18m
NO Data	< 0.19/II	0.19/II to 0.394It	0.394It to 0.391It	> 0.39111

Figure 6: Swath Precision

No Data	< 0.08m	0.08m to 0.16m	0.16m to 0.24m	> 0.24m
No Data	< 0.262ft	0.262ft to 0.524ft	0.524ft to 0.786ft	> 0.786ft

Figure 7: Swath Separation

Line	X	Y	Z	Line	X	Y	Z	Line	X	Y	Ζ
1	0.024	0.073	0.057	33	0.037	0.031	0.040	65	0.029	0.040	0.041
2	0.097	0.059	0.035	34	0.149	0.045	0.041	66	0.032	0.024	0.039
3	0.054	0.030	0.036	35	0.046	0.115	0.038	67	0.031	0.032	0.038
4	0.052	0.060	0.033	36	0.008	0.022	0.047	68	0.040	0.036	0.038
5	0.037	0.048	0.032	37	0.032	0.055	0.046	69	0.030	0.022	0.037
6	0.036	0.077	0.035	38	0.051	0.063	0.062	70	0.027	0.026	0.038
7	0.062	0.053	0.031	39	0.153	0.156	0.064	71	0.026	0.035	0.043
8	0.061	0.079	0.030	40	-	-	0.054	72	0.036	0.038	0.038
9	0.023	0.017	0.029	41	-	-	0.048	73	0.071	0.060	0.034
10	0.035	0.023	0.030	42	0.032	0.039	0.040	74	0.037	0.035	0.033
11	0.042	0.040	0.030	43	0.028	0.045	0.042	75	0.035	0.036	0.034
12	0.050	0.039	0.038	44	0.032	0.041	0.033	76	0.026	0.030	0.032
13	0.057	0.020	0.031	45	0.023	0.030	0.036	77	0.052	0.057	0.034
14	0.120	0.134	0.039	46	0.028	0.035	0.039	78	0.012	0.010	0.034
15	0.062	0.039	0.031	47	0.038	0.045	0.038	79	-	-	0.034
16	0.043	0.014	0.040	48	0.029	0.030	0.042	80	0.033	0.011	0.036
17	0.039	0.026	0.032	49	0.031	0.031	0.039	81	0.031	0.025	0.038
18	0.051	0.036	0.047	50	0.035	0.041	0.041	82	0.030	0.036	0.038
19	0.043	0.042	0.034	51	0.035	0.045	0.041	83	0.019	0.019	0.041
20	0.040	0.037	0.042	52	0.026	0.030	0.044	84	0.053	0.034	0.046
21	0.067	0.030	0.035	53	0.028	0.035	0.040	85	0.030	0.043	0.047
22	0.053	0.042	0.042	54	0.037	0.043	0.043	86	0.022	0.038	0.035
23	0.029	0.045	0.028	55	0.029	0.038	0.043	87	0.048	0.054	0.037
24	0.045	0.070	0.043	56	0.028	0.078	0.043	88	0.077	0.032	0.039
25	0.036	0.039	0.046	57	0.015	0.030	0.033	91	0.051	0.042	0.042
26	0.040	0.044	0.047	58	0.038	0.045	0.042	92	0.047	0.041	0.041
27	0.036	0.057	0.037	59	0.041	0.046	0.038	93	0.086	0.023	0.046

Table 6: Average Magnitudes by Line (Meters)

Category	X	Y	Z
Average Magnitude	0.025	0.013	0.012
RMS Values	0.034	0.019	0.016
Maximum Values	0.112	0.066	0.105
Observation Weight	158.0	158.0	1246652.0

Table 7: Internal Observation Statistics (Meters)

Category	Mismatch
Average 3D Mismatch	0.01213
Average XY Mismatch	0.03145
Average Z Mismatch	0.01212

 Table 8: Overall Relative Accuracy (Meters)

Category	Observations
Section Lines	393,055
Roof Lines	67

Table 9: Vector Observations

3.4 Lidar Classification

Lidar filtering was accomplished using GeoCue with TerraSolid processing and modeling software. The filtering process reclassifies all the data into classes within the point cloud classification scheme. Once the data is classified, the entire dataset is reviewed and manually edited for anomalies that are outside the required guidelines of the product specification or contract requirements. This can include, but is not limited to, classifying bridges, structures, filling culverts, and manually analyzing the bare-earth surface by classifying features that belong in non-extraneous classification codes. **Table 10** outlines a statistical summary of the point classes leveraged in the lidar dataset.

Code	Class	Points
0	Created, never	0
1	Unclassified	62,643,122,162
2	Ground	29,631,956,700
7	Low Noise	91,863,124
9	Water	2,268,680
17	Bridge Decks	5,713
18	High Noise	492,953
20	Ignored Ground	31,833
21	Snow	0
22	Temporal	0
Flag	Withheld	92,356,077

Table 10: Lidar Classification Statistics

3.5 Accuracy Assessment

The lidar dataset was evaluated using a total of fifteen (68) check points (40 NVA + 28 VVA). The result provided a vertical accuracy that fell within project specifications. Please see the **Attachment A** for the full Vertical Accuracy Report and the project *Metadata* for an in-depth accuracy assessment. **Table 11** outlines the absolute accuracy requirements of the project. **Table 12** shows high level statistics and mean errors for the area processed by Sanborn.

Category	Value (m)	Value (ft)			
RMSEz	≤0.100	≤0.328			
@ 95-Percent Confidence Level	≤0.196	≤0.643			
@ 95 th Percentile	≤0.300	≤0.984			
Table 11: Absolute Accuracy Paguirements					

1 a0	ne 11. Absolute	Accuracy Ke	quitements	

Broad Land Cover Type	# of Points	RMSEz	95% Confidence Level	95th Percentile
NVA of Point Cloud	40	0.028	0.056	
NVA of Bare Earth	40	0.027	0.053	
NVA of DEM	40	0.027	0.053	
VVA of Bare Earth	28	0.037		0.078
VVA of DEM	28	0.040		0.073

Table 12: Vertical Accuracy Assessment of Check Points (Meters)



Figure 8: Non-vegetated Check Point Distribution



Figure 9: Vegetated Check Point Distribution

4.0 PRODUCT GENERATION

The following products were generated using the final coordinate system as defined in the contract:

Classified Point Cloud

The Classified Point Cloud, containing all returns, is delivered in LASv1.4 (*.las) format and meets project specifications. The Classified Point Cloud contains file names referencing the tile index.



Bare-earth Digital Elevation Model (DEM)

32-bit GeoTIFF (*.tif) elevation rasters were created from the bare-earth points in the processed lidar dataset and hydroflattened breaklines. Bare-earth rasters were produced the bilinear interpolation methodology and GDAL v2.4.0 was used to define the CRS. Each pixel contains an elevation.



Breaklines

Hydro-flattened breaklines were generated from digitized water features conflated to the elevations derived from the bareearth points in the processed lidar dataset. Delivered in Esri (*.gdb) format.



Maximum Surface Height Rasters (MSHR)

32-bit GeoTIFF (*.tif) elevation rasters were created from all return points in the processed lidar dataset. The rasters were produced the bilinear interpolation methodology and GDAL v2.4.0 was used to define the CRS. Each pixel contains an elevation.



First-return Intensity Images 8-bit GeoTIFF (*.tif) intensity rasters were created from the first-return points in the processed lidar dataset. GDAL v2.4.0 was used to define the CRS.



Last-return Swath Separation Images

24-bit GeoTIFF (*.tif) swath separation images modulated by intensity were created from the last-return points in the processed lidar dataset. GDAL v2.4.0 was used to define the CRS.



Swath Polygons

Polygons features representing either the convex or concave hull of swaths, where each record is an individual swath or channel within a swath. Delivered in Esri (*.shp) format.



Other Deliverables Metadata Vertical Accuracy Report

A final quality assurance process was undertaken to validate all deliverables for the project. Prior to release of data for delivery, Sanborn's Quality Control/Quality Assurance department reviews the data and then releases it for delivery.

APPENDIX A – ABGNSS/IMU PLOTS

Coverage Man	Plots the Aircraft GNSS-IMU Trajectory in reference to localized GNSS
	Reference Stations.
Estimated Position Accuracy	Plots the standard deviations of the east, north, and up directions versus time for the solution. The total standard deviation with a distance dependent component is also plotted.
Number of Satellites	Plots the number of satellites used in the solution as a function of time. The number of GPS, GLONASS, and the total number of satellites are distinguished with separate color coded lines.
Combined Separation	Plots the north, east, and height position difference between any two solutions loaded into the project. These are most often the forward and reverse processing results, unless other solutions have been loaded from the Combine Solutions dialog. Plotting the difference between forward and reverse solutions can be very helpful in quality checking. When processing both directions, no information is shared between forward and reverse processing. Thus both directions are processed independently of each other. When forward and reverse solutions agree closely, it helps provide confidence in the solution. To a lesser extent, this plot can also help gauge solution accuracy.
PDOP	PDOP is a unitless number which indicates how favorable the satellite geometry is to 3D positioning accuracy. A strong satellite geometry, where the PDOP is low, occurs when satellites are well distributed in each direction (north, south, east and west) as well as directly overhead. Values in the range of 1-2 indicate very good satellite geometry; 2-3 are adequate in the sense that they do not generally, by themselves, limit positioning accuracy. Values between 3 and 4 are considered marginal, and values approaching or exceeding 5 can be considered poor. PDOP spikes can occur on aircraft turns were the antenna angle is unfavorable; these spikes while aesthetically unfavorable do not generally reduce the accuracy of the acquired data.

Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View



Estimated Position Accuracy



GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	6	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	3	6	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	4	9	6
Total number of SV	14	25	19
PDOP	0.93	1.92	1.20
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	15355.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP





Smoothed Trajectory Information Top View

Estimated Position Accuracy



GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	3	7	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	3	1
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	16	25	20
PDOP	1.00	1.50	1.17
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	22978.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP





Smoothed Trajectory Information Top View

GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	9	8
Number of GLONASS SV	3	6	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	6	5
Total number of SV	16	21	18
PDOP	1.00	1.71	1.27
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	8414.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP





Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View

GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	9
Number of GLONASS SV	3	6	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	7	6
Total number of SV	18	22	20
PDOP	1.00	1.31	1.13
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	6707.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP





Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View
GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	4	6	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	8	5
Total number of SV	17	21	18
PDOP	1.09	1.52	1.27
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	10803.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	11	9
Number of GLONASS SV	2	7	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	2	1
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	16	24	20
PDOP	0.95	1.50	1.19
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	23376.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









-119

Longitude (deg)

-118.5

-118

Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View

39.5

Estimated Position Accuracy

-119.5



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	5	8	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	7	6
Total number of SV	18	22	20
PDOP	1.05	1.47	1.24
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	8812.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	9	8
Number of GLONASS SV	5	7	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	6	9	8
Total number of SV	18	24	22
PDOP	0.93	1.40	1.16
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	10178.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	11	9
Number of GLONASS SV	4	9	7
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	17	27	22
PDOP	0.99	1.46	1.14
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	21157.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	3	9	7
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	2	0
Number of GALILEO SV	6	8	7
Total number of SV	19	27	22
PDOP	1.00	1.64	1.19
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	11884.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Smoothed Trajectory Information Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	9
Number of GLONASS SV	3	8	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	17	25	20
PDOP	0.92	1.46	1.18
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	17630.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Smoothed Trajectory Information Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	4	11	9
Number of GLONASS SV	3	7	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	2	1
Number of GALILEO SV	3	9	6
Total number of SV	13	25	21
PDOP	0.98	1.88	1.15
QC Solution Gaps	19.00	19.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	21494.00	0.00	19.00
Percentage	99.91	0.00	0.09









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	9	8
Number of GLONASS SV	5	7	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	9	6
Total number of SV	17	24	20
PDOP	1.01	1.46	1.23
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	7504.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	5	11	9
Number of GLONASS SV	2	6	4
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	2	1
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	13	25	21
PDOP	1.04	1.87	1.18
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	20475.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00









Top View





Forward Processed Trajectory Information

Top View

Altitude



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	4	9	8
Number of GLONASS SV	5	6	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	14	23	20
PDOP	1.10	2.64	1.29
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	7106.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



- Number of GPS - Number of GLONASS - Number of QZSS - Number of BEIDOU - Number of GALILEO - Total Number







Top View



GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	6	11	9
Number of GLONASS SV	2	5	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	2	1
Number of GALILEO SV	4	8	6
Total number of SV	15	25	22
PDOP	1.03	1.69	1.14
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	12283.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00








Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View

Estimated Position Accuracy



GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	9
Number of GLONASS SV	3	6	5
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	5	8	6
Total number of SV	16	23	20
PDOP	1.00	1.52	1.16
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	8642.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP





Smoothed Trajectory Information

Top View

Estimated Position Accuracy



GNSS QC

GNSS QC Statistics

Statistics	Min	Max	Mean
Baseline length (km)	0.00	0.00	
Number of GPS SV	7	10	8
Number of GLONASS SV	3	8	6
Number of QZSS SV	0	0	0
Number of BEIDOU SV	0	0	0
Number of GALILEO SV	4	7	6
Total number of SV	15	23	20
PDOP	0.98	1.79	1.18
QC Solution Gaps	0.00	0.00	
Solution Type	Fixed	Float	No solution
Epoch (sec)	19849.00	0.00	0.00
Percentage	100.00	0.00	0.00

Num SVs in solution



Forward/Reverse Separation



PDOP

