Williamsburg County -South Carolina Lidar

Report Produced for South Carolina Department of Natural Resources

Report Date: 04/24/2018

SUBMITTED BY:

Dewberry 1000 North Ashley Drive Suite 801 Tampa, FL 33602 813.225.1325

SUBMITTED TO:

South Carolina Department of Natural Resources 1000 N Assembly St Suite 123 Columbia, SC 803.734.3162

Table of Contents

Executive Summary 4
The Project Team
Survey Area4
Coordinate Reference System
Lidar Vertical Accuracy
Project Deliverables
Project Tiling Footprint
Lidar Acquisition Report7
Lidar Processing & Qualitative Assessment7
Initial Processing7
Final Swath Vertical Accuracy Assessment7
Inter-Swath (Between Swath) Relative Accuracy
Intra-Swath (Within a Single Swath) Relative Accuracy10
Horizontal Alignment10
Point Density and Spatial Distribution11
Data Classification and Editing13
Lidar Qualitative Assessment
Visual Review15
Data Voids15
Artifacts15
Bridge Removal Artifacts17
Culverts and Bridges18
In Ground Structures19
Marsh Areas20
Formatting20
Derivative Lidar Products
Contours 22
Terrain
Lidar Positional Accuracy
Background
Survey Vertical Accuracy Checkpoints 23
Vertical Accuracy Test Procedures
NVA
VVA
Vertical Accuracy Results

Horizontal Accuracy Test Procedures
Horizontal Accuracy Results
Breakline Production & Qualitative Assessment Report
Breakline Production Methodology32
Breakline Qualitative Assessment
Breakline Checklist
Data Dictionary
Horizontal and Vertical Datum
Coordinate System and Projection
Inland Streams and Rivers
Inland Ponds and Lakes
Single Line Drains
Stream Centerlines
Culverts41
Hydro Connectors
Beneath Bridge Breaklines
Bridge Decks
DEM Production & Qualitative Assessment
DEM Production Methodology45
DEM Qualitative Assessment
DEM Vertical Accuracy Results
DEM Checklist
Appendix A: Survey Report
Appendix B: Complete List of Delivered Tiles

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 4 of 56

Executive Summary

The primary purpose of this project was to develop a consistent and accurate surface elevation dataset derived from high-accuracy Light Detection and Ranging (lidar) technology for the SC DNR Williamsburg County Project Area.

The lidar data were processed and classified according to project specifications. Detailed breaklines and bare-earth Digital Elevation Models (DEMs) were produced for the project area. Data was formatted according to tiles with each tile covering an area of 5000ft by 5000ft. A total of 1178 tiles were produced for the project encompassing an area of approximately 965 sq. miles.

This report encompasses both deliverables for the USGS and South Carolina DNR products for this project. Note that some characteristics unique to the DNR requirements may not be present in the USGS deliverables.

THE PROJECT TEAM

Dewberry served as the prime contractor for the project. In addition to project management, Dewberry was responsible for LAS classification, all lidar products, breakline production, Digital Elevation Model (DEM) production, and quality assurance.

South Carolina Geodetic Survey completed ground surveying for the project and delivered surveyed checkpoints. Their task was to acquire surveyed checkpoints for the project to use in independent testing of the vertical accuracy of the lidar-derived surface model. Please see Appendix A to view the separate Survey Report that was created for this portion of the project.

Precision Aerial Reconnaissance completed lidar data acquisition and data calibration for the project area.

SURVEY AREA

The project area addressed by this report falls within the South Carolina county of Williamsburg.

COORDINATE REFERENCE SYSTEM

Data produced for the project were delivered in the following reference system.

Horizontal Datum: The horizontal datum for the project is North American Datum of 1983 with the 2011 Adjustment (NAD 83 (2011))

Vertical Datum: The Vertical datum for the project is North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD88)

Coordinate System: South Carolina State Plane

Units: Horizontal units are in international feet, Vertical units are in U.S Survey feet. **Geoid Model:** Geoid12B (Geoid 12B was used to convert ellipsoid heights to orthometric heights).

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 5 of 56

LIDAR VERTICAL ACCURACY

Additional accuracy information and statistics for the classified lidar data, raw swath data, and bare earth DEM data are found in the following sections of this report.

PROJECT DELIVERABLES

The deliverables for the project are listed below.

- 1. Classified Point Cloud Data (Tiled)
- 2. Bare Earth Surface (Raster DEM Mosaic Grid for SC DNR and IMG tiles for USGS)
- 3. Intensity Images (8-bit gray scale, mosaic Grid for SC DNR and GeoTIFF tiles for USGS)
- 4. Breakline Data (File GDB)
- 5. Independent Survey Checkpoint Data (Report & Points)
- 6. Metadata
- 7. Project Report (Acquisition, Processing, QC)
- 8. Project Extents, Including a shapefile derived from the lidar deliverable
- 9. 1-foot contours
- 10. Hydro-enforced Terrain (File GDB)

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 6 of 56

PROJECT TILING FOOTPRINT

Eleven hundred and seventy eight (1178) tiles were delivered for the project. Each tile's extent is 5,000 feet by 5,000 feet (see Appendix B for a complete listing of delivered tiles).




Figure 1 - Project Map

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 7 of 56

Lidar Acquisition Report

Dewberry elected to subcontract the lidar acquisition and calibration activities to Precision Aerial Reconnaissance (PAR). PAR was responsible for providing lidar acquisition, calibration and delivery of lidar data files to Dewberry.

Lidar Processing & Qualitative Assessment

INITIAL PROCESSING

Once Dewberry receives the calibrated swath data from the acquisition provider, Dewberry performs several validations on the dataset prior to starting full-scale production on the project. These validations include vertical accuracy of the swath data, inter-swath (between swath) relative accuracy validation, intra-swath (within a single swath) relative accuracy validation, verification of horizontal alignment between swaths, and confirmation of point density and spatial distribution. This initial assessment allows Dewberry to determine if the data are suitable for full-scale production. Addressing issues at this stage allows the data to be corrected while imposing the least disruption possible on the overall production workflow and overall schedule.

Final Swath Vertical Accuracy Assessment

Once Dewberry received the calibrated swath data from PAR, Dewberry tested the vertical accuracy of the non-vegetated terrain swath data prior to additional processing. Dewberry tested the vertical accuracy of the swath data using the sixty non-vegetated (open terrain and urban) independent survey check points. One point was removed from swath vertical accuracy testing as errant noise points created an incorrect measurement against all points. This point excluded from the swath assessment however was retained for the classified NVA assessment as it was not an issue with the checkpoint itself. The vertical accuracy is tested by comparing survey checkpoints in non-vegetated terrain to a triangulated irregular network (TIN) that is created from the raw swath points. Only checkpoints in non-vegetated terrain can be tested against raw swath data because the data has not undergone classification techniques to remove vegetation, buildings, and other artifacts from the ground surface. Checkpoints are always compared to interpolated surfaces from the lidar point cloud because it is unlikely that a survey checkpoint will be located at the location of a discrete lidar point. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy so that three different software programs are used to validate the vertical accuracy for each project. Project specifications require a NVA of 0.64 ft (19.6 cm) based on the $RMSE_z$ (0.33 ft/10 cm) x 1.96. The dataset for the South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar Project satisfies this criteria. This raw lidar swath data set was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 0.33 ft (10 cm) RMSE_z Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 0.16$ ft (4.9 cm), equating to +/- 0.32 ft (9.8 cm) at 95% confidence level. The table below shows all calculated statistics for the raw swath data.

100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSE _z NVA Spec=0.33 ft	NVA –Non- vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSE _z x 1.9600) Spec=0.64 ft	Mean (ft)	Median (ft)	Skew	Std Dev (ft)	Min (ft)	Max (ft)	Kurtosis
Non- Vegetated Terrain	43	0.16	0.32	-0.03	-0.06	0.37	0.16	-0.31	0.31	-0.80

Table 5: NVA at 95% Confidence Level for Raw Swaths

Inter-Swath (Between Swath) Relative Accuracy

Dewberry verified inter-swath or between swath relative accuracy of the dataset by creating Delta-Z (DZ) orthos. According to the SOW, USGS Lidar Base Specifications v1.2, and ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data, 10 cm Vertical Accuracy Class or QL2 data must meet inter-swath relative accuracy of 8 cm RMSDz or less with maximum differences less than 16 cm. These measurements are to be taken in non-vegetated and flat open terrain using single or only returns from all classes. Measurements are calculated in the DZ orthos on 1-meter pixels or cell sizes. Areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines are within 8 cm of each other within each pixel are colored green, areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines have elevation differences in each pixel between 8 cm to 16 cm are colored yellow, and areas in the dataset where overlapping flight lines have elevation differences in each pixel greater than 16 cm are colored red. Pixels that do not contain points from overlapping flight lines are colored according to their intensity values. Areas of vegetation and steep slopes (slopes with 16 cm or more of valid elevation change across 1 linear meter) are expected to appear vellow or red in the DZ orthos. If the project area is heavily vegetated, Dewberry may also create DZ Orthos from the initial ground classification only, while keeping all other parameters consistent. This allows Dewberry to review the ground classification relative accuracy beneath vegetation and to ensure flight line ridges or other issues do not exist in the final classified data.

Flat, open areas are expected to be green in the DZ orthos. Large or continuous sections of yellow or red pixels can indicate the data was not calibrated correctly or that there were issues during acquisition that could affect the usability of the data, especially when these yellow/red sections follow the flight lines and not the terrain or areas of vegetation. The DZ orthos for South Carolina Williamsburg County are shown in the figure below; this project meets inter-swath relative accuracy specifications.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 9 of 56




Figure 5 - Single return DZ Orthos for the South Carolina Williamsburg County. Inter-swath relative accuracy passes specifications.




Figure 6 – close-up of DZ orthos created from the final full point cloud.

Intra-Swath (Within a Single Swath) Relative Accuracy

Dewberry verifies the intra-swath or within swath relative accuracy by using Quick Terrain Modeler (QTM) scripting and visual reviews. QTM scripting is used to calculate the maximum difference of all points within each 1-meter pixel/cell size of each swath. Dewberry analysts then identify planar surfaces acceptable for repeatability testing and analysts review the QTM results in those areas. According to the SOW, USGS Lidar Base Specifications v1.2, and ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data, 10 cm Vertical Accuracy Class or QL2 data must meet intra-swath relative accuracy of 6 cm maximum difference or less. The image below shows two examples of the intra-swath relative accuracy of South Carolina Williamsburg County; this project meets intra-swath relative accuracy specifications.

Horizontal Alignment

To ensure horizontal alignment between adjacent or overlapping flight lines, Dewberry uses QTM scripting and visual reviews. QTM scripting is used to create files similar to DZ orthos for each swath but this process highlights planar surfaces, such as roof tops. In particular, horizontal shifts or misalignments between swaths on roof tops and other elevated planar surfaces are highlighted. Visual reviews of these features, including additional profile verifications, are used to confirm the results of this process. The image below shows an example South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 11 of 56

of the horizontal alignment between swaths for South Carolina Williamsburg County; no horizontal alignment issues were identified.




Figure 7– Horizontal Alignment. Two separate flight lines differentiated by color (cyan/yellow) are shown in this profile. There is no visible offset between these two flight lines. No horizontal alignment issues were identified.

Point Density and Spatial Distribution

The required Aggregate Nominal Point Spacing (ANPS) for this project is no greater than 0.71 meters, which equates to an Aggregate Nominal Point Density (ANPD) of 2 points per square meter or greater. Density calculations were performed using first return data only located in the geometrically usable center portion (typically ~90%) of each swath. By utilizing statistics, the project area was determined to have an NPS of 0.52 meters or an ANPD of 3.77 points per square meter which satisfies the project requirements. A visual review of a 1-square meter density grid (figure below) shows that there are some 1-meter cells that do not contain 2 points per square meter (red areas) due to the irregular spacing of lidar point cloud data. Most 1-square meter cells contain at least 2 points per square meter (green areas) and when density is viewed/analyzed by representative 1-square kilometer areas (to account for the irregular spacing of lidar point clouds), density passes with no issues.




Figure 8 – 1-square meter density grid. There are some 1-meter cells that do not contain 2 points per square meter (red areas) due to the irregular spacing of lidar point cloud data. Most 1-square meter cells contain at least 2 points per square meter (green areas) showing there are no systematic density issues. When density is viewed/analyzed by representative 1-square kilometer areas, density passes with no issues.

The spatial distribution of points must be uniform and free of clustering. This specification is tested by creating a grid with cell sizes equal to the design NPS*2. ArcGIS tools are then used to calculate the number of first return points of each swath within each grid cell. At least 90% of the cells must contain 1 lidar point, excluding acceptable void areas such as water or low NIR reflectivity features, i.e. some asphalt and roof composition materials. This project passes spatial distribution requirements, as shown in the image below.




Figure 9 Spatial Distribution. All cells (2*NPS cellsize) containing at least one lidar point are colored green. Cells that do not contain a lidar point, including water bodies which are acceptable NoData area, are colored red. Without removing acceptable NoData areas due to water, 98.7% of cells contain at least one lidar point.

DATA CLASSIFICATION AND EDITING

Once the calibration, absolute swath vertical accuracy, and relative accuracy of the data was confirmed, Dewberry utilized a variety of software suites for data processing. The data was processed using GeoCue and TerraScan software. The initial step is the setup of the GeoCue project, which is done by importing a project defined tile boundary index encompassing the entire project area. The acquired 3D laser point clouds, in LAS binary format, were imported into the GeoCue project and tiled according to the project tile grid. Once tiled, the laser points were classified using a proprietary routine in TerraScan. This routine classifies any obvious low outliers in the dataset to class 7 and high outliers in the dataset to class 18. Points along flight line edges that are geometrically unusable are identified as withheld and classified to a separate class so that

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 14 of 56

they will not be used in the initial ground algorithm. After points that could negatively affect the ground are removed from class 1, the ground layer is extracted from this remaining point cloud. The ground extraction process encompassed in this routine takes place by building an iterative surface model.

This surface model is generated using three main parameters: building size, iteration angle and iteration distance. The initial model is based on low points being selected by a "roaming window" with the assumption that these are the ground points. The size of this roaming window is determined by the building size parameter. The low points are triangulated and the remaining points are evaluated and subsequently added to the model if they meet the iteration angle and distance constraints. This process is repeated until no additional points are added within iterations. A second critical parameter is the maximum terrain angle constraint, which determines the maximum terrain angle allowed within the classification model.

Each tile was then imported into Terrascan and a surface model was created to examine the ground classification. Dewberry analysts visually reviewed the ground surface model and corrected errors in the ground classification such as vegetation, buildings, and bridges that were present following the initial processing conducted by Dewberry. Dewberry analysts employ 3D visualization techniques to view the point cloud at multiple angles and in profile to ensure that non-ground points are removed from the ground classification. Bridge decks are classified to class 17 using bridge breaklines compiled by Dewberry and culverts are classified to class 13. After the ground classification corrections were completed, the dataset was processed through a water classification routine that utilizes breaklines compiled by Dewberry to automatically classify hydro features. The water classification routine selects ground points within the breakline polygons and automatically classifies them as class 9, water. During this water classification routine, points that are within 1x NPS or less of the hydrographic features are moved to class 10, an ignored ground due to breakline proximity. Model Keypoints are then classified by using an algorithm in Terrascan which thins the ground points according to set parameters. Overage points are then identified in Terrascan and GeoCue is used to set the overlap bit for the overage points and the withheld bit is set on the withheld points previously identified in Terrascan before the ground classification routine was performed.

The lidar tiles were classified to the following classification schema:

- Class 1 = Unclassified, used for all other features that do not fit into the Classes 2, 7, 8,9, 10, 13, 17, or 18, including vegetation, buildings, etc.
- Class 2 = Bare-Earth Ground
- Class 7 = Low Noise
- Class 8 = Model Keypoints (SC DNR deliverables only)
- Class 9 = Water, points located within collected breaklines
- Class 10 = Ignored Ground due to breakline proximity
- Class 13 = Culverts (SC DNR deliverables only)
- Class 17 = Bridge Decks
- Class 18 = High Noise

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 15 of 56

After manual classification, the LAS tiles were peer reviewed and then underwent a final QA/QC. After the final QA/QC and corrections, all headers, appropriate point data records, and variable length records, including spatial reference information, are updated in GeoCue software and then verified using proprietary Dewberry tools.

Lidar Qualitative Assessment

Dewberry's qualitative assessment utilizes a combination of statistical analysis and interpretative methodology or visualization to assess the quality of the data for a bare-earth digital terrain model (DTM). This includes creating pseudo image products such as lidar orthos produced from the intensity returns, Triangular Irregular Network (TIN)'s, Digital Elevation Models (DEM) and 3-dimensional models as well as reviewing the actual point cloud data. This process looks for anomalies in the data, areas where man-made structures or vegetation points may not have been classified properly to produce a bare-earth model, and other classification errors. This report will present representative examples where the lidar and post processing had issues as well as examples of where the lidar performed well.

VISUAL REVIEW

The following sections describe common types of issues identified in lidar data and the results of the visual review for South Carolina Williamsburg County.

Data Voids

The LAS files are used to produce density grids using the commercial software package QT Modeler (QTM) which creates a 3-dimensional data model derived from Class 2 (ground) points in the LAS files. Grid spacing is based on the project density deliverable requirement for unobscured areas. Acceptable voids (areas with no lidar returns in the LAS files) that are present in the majority of lidar projects include voids caused by bodies of water. No unacceptable voids are present in the South Carolina Williamsburg County lidar project.

Artifacts

Artifacts are caused by the misclassification of ground points and usually represent vegetation and/or man-made structures. The artifacts identified are usually low lying structures, such as porches or low vegetation used as landscaping in neighborhoods and other developed areas. These low lying features are extremely difficult for the automated algorithms to detect as nonground and must be removed manually. The vast majority of these features have been removed but a small number of these features are still in the ground classification. The limited numbers of features remaining in the ground are usually 0.3 meters or less above the actual ground surface, and should not negatively impact the usability of the dataset.




Figure 10 – Tile number 2493-02. Profile with points colored by class (class 1=green, class 2=red) is shown in the top view and a TIN of the surface is shown in the bottom view. The arrow identifies low vegetation points. A limited number of these small features are still classified as ground but do not impact the usability of the dataset.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 17 of 56

Bridge Removal Artifacts

The DEM surface models are created from TINs or Terrains. TIN and Terrain models create continuous surfaces from the inputs. Because a continuous surface is being created, the TIN or Terrain will use interpolation to continue the surface beneath the bridge where no lidar data was acquired. Locations where bridges were removed will generally contain less detail in the bareearth surface because these areas are interpolated.




Figure 11 – Tile number 3409-03. The DEM in the view shows an area where a bridge has been removed from ground. The surface model must make a continuous model and in order to do so, points are connected through interpolation. This results in less detail where the surface must be interpolated.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 18 of 56

Culverts and Bridges

Bridges have been removed from the bare earth surface while culverts are classified to class 13 (in the USGS deliverables, culverts remain in the bare earth surface or class 2). In instances where it is difficult to determine if the feature is a culvert or bridge, such as with some small bridges, Dewberry erred on assuming they would be culverts especially if they are on secondary or tertiary roads. Below is an example of a culvert classified to class 13. Ground and culvert classifications were used to generate the final bare earth DEMs.




Figure 12– Tile 3409-02 DEM and profile are shown. This culvert has been classified to class 13 and used in the DEM generation so that culverts are modeled in the bare earth DEMs.. Bridges have been removed from the bare earth surface and classified to class 17.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 19 of 56

In Ground Structures

In ground structures exist within the project area. These types of structures occur mainly on military bases and in facilities designed for munitions testing and storage. These features are correctly included in the ground classification.




Figure 13 – Tiles 3410-02, 3420-01, 3411-04, and 3421-03. A DEM of the surface is shown in the image. These features are correctly included in the ground classification.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 20 of 56

Marsh Areas

It is sometimes difficult to determine true ground in low wet areas; the lowest points available are used to represent ground. Marsh areas are present within the project area and were not collected with breaklines as they are not open bodies of water. As these areas are not included in the collected breaklines, marsh areas were not flattened in the final DEMs. While low points are used to determine ground in marsh areas, there is often greater variation within the low points due to wet soils that cause greater interpolation between points, and undulating or uneven ground. An example is shown below.




Figure 14 – Tile 3499-02. The intensity on the top shows a marsh area that was not included in the collected breaklines. The same area is shown in the DEM on the bottom. Due to wet soils and broken terrain, the point density in marsh areas is sparser than surrounding areas and there is more variation in the low points representing ground.

FORMATTING

After the final QA/QC is performed and all corrections have been applied to the dataset, all lidar files are updated to the final format requirements and the final formatting, header information, point data records, and variable length records are verified using Dewberry proprietary tools. The table below lists some of the main lidar header fields that are updated and verified.

	Classified Lidar Formatting								
Parameter	Requirement	Pass/Fail							
LAS Version	1.4	Pass							
Point Data Format	Format 6	Pass							
Coordinate Reference System	NAD83 (2011) South Carolina, International Feet and NAVD88 (Geoid 12B), US Survey Feet in WKT Format	Pass							
Global Encoder Bit	Should be set to 17 for Adjusted GPS Time	Pass							
Time Stamp	Adjusted GPS Time (unique timestamps)	Pass							
System ID	Should be set to the processing system/software and is set to NIIRS10 for GeoCue software	Pass							
Multiple Returns	The sensor shall be able to collect multiple returns per pulse and the return numbers are recorded	Pass							
Intensity	16 bit intensity values are recorded for each pulse	Pass							
Classification	Required Classes include: Class 1: Unclassified Class 2: Ground Class 7: Low Noise Class 8: Model Keypoint Class 9: Water Class 10: Ignored Ground Class 13: Culverts Class 17: Bridge Decks Class 18: High Noise	Pass							
Overlap and Withheld Points	Overlap (Overage) and Withheld points are set to the Overlap and Withheld bits	Pass							
Scan Angle	Recorded for each pulse	Pass							
XYZ Coordinates	Unique Easting, Northing, and Elevation coordinates (0.001 resolution) are recorded for each pulse	Pass							

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 22 of 56

Derivative Lidar Products

SC DNR required several derivative lidar products to be created. Each type of derived product is described below.

CONTOURS

One-foot contours have been created for the full project area (SC DNR deliverables only). The contour attributes include labeling as either Index or Intermediate and an elevation value. The contours are also 3D, storing the elevation value within its internal geometry. The contours have been created from the final hydro-enforced DEM. As per project specifications, these contours are generated from automated tools. While some smoothing has been applied to the contours to enhance their aesthetic quality, no manual edits or corrections have been applied to the contours.

TERRAIN

A county-wide terrain has been created and delivered within a File Geodatabase (SC DNR deliverables only). The terrain resides in the geodatabase along with all feature classes used to build the terrain. The terrain was built from all bare earth ground points and culvert points (class 2-ground, class 8-model key points, and class 13-culverts) along with all breaklines collected for this project. The terrain hydro-enforced. The project boundary is enforced in the terrain as well. One pyramid layer, in addition to the overview and full resolution layers, has been built. The pyramid layer was built using the window size definition, which defines the area of the terrain tile used in thinning elevation points by selecting one or two points from each window size.

Lidar Positional Accuracy

BACKGROUND

Dewberry quantitatively tested the dataset by testing the vertical accuracy of the lidar. The vertical accuracy is tested by comparing the discreet measurement of the survey checkpoints to that of the interpolated value within the three closest lidar points that constitute the vertices of a three-dimensional triangular face of the TIN. Therefore, the end result is that only a small sample of the lidar data is actually tested. However there is an increased level of confidence with lidar data due to the relative accuracy. This relative accuracy in turn is based on how well one lidar point "fits" in comparison to the next contiguous lidar measurement, and is verified as part of the initial processing. If the relative accuracy of a dataset is within specifications and the dataset passes vertical accuracy requirements at the location of survey checkpoints, the vertical accuracy results can be applied to the whole dataset with high confidence due to the passing relative accuracy. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy for each project.

Dewberry also tests the horizontal accuracy of lidar datasets when checkpoints are photoidentifiable in the intensity imagery. Photo-identifiable checkpoints in intensity imagery typically include checkpoints located at the ends of paint stripes on concrete or asphalt surfaces or checkpoints located at 90 degree corners of different reflectivity, e.g. a sidewalk corner adjoining a grass surface. The XY coordinates of checkpoints, as defined in the intensity imagery, are compared to surveyed XY coordinates for each photo-identifiable checkpoint. These differences are used to compute the tested horizontal accuracy of the lidar. As not all projects contain photoidentifiable checkpoints, the horizontal accuracy of the lidar cannot always be tested.

SURVEY VERTICAL ACCURACY CHECKPOINTS

For the vertical accuracy assessment, ninety-one (91) check points were surveyed for the project and are located within bare earth/open terrain, grass/weeds/crops, and forested/fully grown land cover categories. Please see the separate appendix A to view the survey report which details and validates how the survey was completed for this project.

Checkpoints were evenly distributed throughout the project area so as to cover as many flight lines as possible using the "dispersed method" of placement.

Point ID	NAD83(2011)	SC State Plane	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	LAND COVER
Fount ID	Easting X (ft)	Northing Y (ft)	Elevation (ft)	LANDCOVER
45-1-3	635110.55	2305660.07	76.72	Bare Earth
45-1-7	635969.20	2308002.92	80.85	Bare Earth
45-1-10	636261.17	2309171.04	77.75	Bare Earth
45-1-11	636300.13	2309334.55	77.26	Bare Earth
45-2-1	558560.07	2411499.90	31.12	Bare Earth
45-2-5	559539.09	2412093.04	33.48	Bare Earth
45-3-2	617455.68	2405004.14	39.77	Bare Earth
45-3-8	614405.10	2411293.05	38.19	Bare Earth
45-3-12	608830.80	2410346.92	47.33	Bare Earth
45-4-1	647674.53	2395539.71	58.50	Bare Earth
45-4-6	648972.39	2388915.46	54.55	Bare Earth
45-4-11	644858.77	2388246.44	51.32	Bare Earth
45-5-1	708640.10	2472101.81	51.51	Bare Earth
45-5-5	705683.15	2471866.65	52.01	Bare Earth
45-5-10	701749.00	2469143.62	52.27	Bare Earth
45-6-8	704954.40	2402184.09	63.74	Bare Earth
45-6-10	704551.36	2403272.84	55.93	Bare Earth
45-6-11	709001.77	2401633.67	76.96	Bare Earth
45-7-2	734171.04	2346207.38	84.83	Bare Earth
45-7-5	740726.03	2348253.52	88.49	Bare Earth
45-7-9	737310.92	2354101.40	87.73	Bare Earth
45-8-2	669051.61	2356455.43	53.80	Bare Earth
45-8-4	674491.45	2357268.44	74.72	Bare Earth
45-8-10	666643.41	2357417.57	53.86	Bare Earth
45-1-8	636301.52	2309015.48	78.04	Urban
45-1-9	636187.34	2309208.25	78.03	Urban
45-2-3	558383.39	2411451.89	27.48	Urban
45-2-10	557488.97	2410340.44	27.11	Urban
45-3-1	617556.54	2405021.71	40.69	Urban
45-3-7	607810.66	2410224.55	45.33	Urban

All checkpoints surveyed for vertical accuracy testing purposes are listed in the following table.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 24 of 56

45-4-2	647685.21	2395600.64	59.25	Urban
<u>45-4-2</u> 45-4-3	647521.75	2395499.01	58.92	Urban
45-4-12	647366.04	2395176.95	58.63	Urban
	705691.40	2472012.64	52.01	Urban
45-5-4	702567.31	2469212.04	52.47	Urban
45-5-9		2472644.41	53.63	Urban
45-5-12	702683.43	2408360.15	76.43	Urban
45-6-6	719307.77	2403209.38		Urban
45-6-9	704393.35		56.32	
45-7-1	734159.34	2346016.04	85.53	Urban
45-7-10	742964.92	2342040.50	92.61	Urban
45-8-1	669164.43	2356456.72	53.18	Urban
45-8-8	675647.57	2357873.12	73.13	Urban
45-8-9	666737.61	2357394.60	52.73	Urban
45-1-4	636919.08	2314181.38	71.59	Brush
45-1-6	634811.09	2313137.20	72.81	Brush
45-2-4	559450.13	2412076.50	31.18	Brush
45-2-8	560189.06	2413748.45	37.97	Brush
45-3-4	613575.04	2404899.80	49.91	Brush
45-3-6	607810.65	2410224.54	45.39	Brush
45-4-4	648840.38	2395578.23	57.65	Brush
45-4-8	647009.11	2389089.13	54.52	Brush
45-5-2	709328.50	2471379.94	48.82	Brush
45-5-11	695400.62	2466393.13	48.94	Brush
45-6-1	706008.31	2399281.44	73.68	Brush
45-6-2	710722.56	2401722.88	67.27	Brush
45-7-4	742904.42	2348638.49	89.14	Brush
45-7-11	734952.17	2349111.86	83.21	Brush
45-8-5	676405.51	2358374.64	71.91	Brush
45-8-12	668760.13	2363571.09	63.75	Brush
45-1-2	631279.15	2305995.84	85.09	Forested
45-1-5	635746.11	2313837.93	71.44	Forested
45-2-2	558489.50	2411716.16	24.50	Forested
45-2-9	560378.29	2413943.74	38.17	Forested
45-4-9	647167.00	2389089.02	52.67	Forested
45-4-10	645000.33	2388198.29	50.25	Forested
45-5-3	709245.81	2470846.76	51.35	Forested
45-5-7	706752.62	2467444.64	49.48	Forested
45-6-5	715732.18	2400944.78	63.46	Forested
45-6-7	708640.16	2395217.30	78.06	Forested
45-7-3	744143.70	2347590.48	90.14	Forested
45-7-7	739011.07	2350239.74	94.20	Forested
45-8-7	675469.66	2356784.39	74.27	Forested
45-8-11	669484.52	2361102.86	65.13	Forested
45-3-10	618806.76	2411665.13	42.23	Forested
45-3-11	611535.48	2413001.07	43.58	Forested
45-1-1	630957.54	2307575.13	68.98	High Grass
45-1-12	635805.93	2305365.37	76.69	High Grass
45-2-6	559233.25	2412349.17	32.19	High Grass

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 25 of 56

45-2-7	560185.07	2413660.65	37.57	High Grass
45-3-3	616337.84	2406733.54	41.33	High Grass
45-3-5	612351.95	2403806.71	48.85	High Grass
45-4-5	652157.51	2395294.74	58.22	High Grass
45-4-7	647094.74	2388856.38	54.63	High Grass
45-5-6	705634.41	2471279.77	52.29	High Grass
45-5-8	706859.77	2467533.91	49.88	High Grass
45-6-3	711803.01	2402691.00	68.43	High Grass
45-6-4	714003.88	2399259.70	61.30	High Grass
45-7-6	740575.84	2348098.40	88.60	High Grass
45-7-8	742631.49	2351710.32	87.57	High Grass
45-8-3	672019.29	2357181.61	60.55	High Grass
45-8-6	676106.23	2357713.78	73.84	High Grass

Table 6 - South Carolina Williamsburg County surveyed accuracy checkpoints

Ninety-one checkpoints were surveyed for vertical accuracy testing. While reviewing the checkpoints, Dewberry identified issues with the location of some forest checkpoints. Two checkpoints in forest areas deviated significantly from the lidar elevations. These two points (45-1-2 and 45-7-7) were deemed as unreliable since the lidar data shows a consistent ground surface *below* the survey checkpoints. If the lidar data was higher than the survey checkpoints, the issue could be caused by poor penetration of the lidar to the ground in the forested area. However, since the lidar elevations are below the survey, the lidar shows a consistent ground surface in this area and good relative accuracy with surrounding areas, it is more likely there is an issue with the survey of these two checkpoints. Since collection sketches or photos were not provided by the survey, the methodology could not be examined for the cause of the error. The points (and their magnitude of offset) are described in the table below and shown in the images below.

Points Removed from LiDAR Vertical Accuracy Testing									
Point ID	NAD83(2011)	SC State Plane	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	DeltaZ	AbsDeltaZ			
Point ID	Easting X (ft)	Northing Y (ft)	Z-Survey (ft)	Z-LiDAR (ft)	DeltaZ				
45-1-2	2305995.84	631279.15	85.09	67.70	-17.39	17.39			
45-7-7	2350239.74	739011.07	94.20	87.02	-7.18	7.18			

Table 7: Checkpoints removed from vertical accuracy testing




Figure 15 – Forest checkpoint 45-1-2, shown as the white box in the profile, is located in a forest stand. This checkpoint was removed from all vertical accuracy calculations due to its probable unreliability.




Figure 16 – Forest checkpoint 45-7-7 shown as the white box in the profile, is located in a forest stand. This checkpoint was removed from all vertical accuracy calculations due to its probable unreliability.

The figure below shows the location of the QA/QC checkpoints used to test the positional accuracy of the dataset.




Figure 17 – Location of QA/QC Checkpoints

VERTICAL ACCURACY TEST PROCEDURES

NVA (Non-vegetated Vertical Accuracy) is determined with check points located only in nonvegetated terrain, including open terrain (grass, dirt, sand, and/or rocks) and urban areas, where there is a very high probability that the lidar sensor will have detected the bare-earth ground surface and where random errors are expected to follow a normal error distribution. The NVA determines how well the calibrated lidar sensor performed. With a normal error distribution, the vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level is computed as the vertical root mean square error (RMSE_z) of the checkpoints x 1.9600. For the South Carolina Williamsburg County project, vertical accuracy must be 0.64 ft (19.6 cm) or less based on an RMSE_z of 0.33 ft (10 cm) x 1.9600.

VVA (Vegetated Vertical Accuracy) is determined with all checkpoints in vegetated land cover categories, including tall grass, weeds, crops, brush and low trees, and fully forested areas, where there is a possibility that the lidar sensor and post-processing may yield elevation errors that do not follow a normal error distribution. VVA at the 95% confidence level equals the 95th percentile error for all checkpoints in all vegetated land cover categories combined. The South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar Project VVA standard is 0.96 ft (29.4 cm) based on the 95th percentile. The VVA is accompanied by a listing of the 5% outliers that are larger than the 95th percentile used to compute the VVA; these are always the largest outliers that may depart from a normal error distribution. Here, Accuracy_z differs from VVA because Accuracy_z assumes elevation errors follow a normal error distribution where RMSE procedures are valid, whereas VVA assumes lidar errors may not follow a normal error distribution in vegetated categories, making the RMSE process invalid.

The relevant testing criteria are summarized in Table 7.

Quantitative Criteria	Measure of Acceptability
Non-Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (NVA) in open terrain and urban land cover categories using ${ m RMSE}_z$ *1.9600	0.64 ft (19.6 cm),(based on RMSEz 0.33 ft (10 cm) * 1.9600
Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (VVA) in all vegetated land cover categories combined at the 95% confidence level	0.96 ft, 29.4 cm (based on combined 95 th percentile)

Table 8 – Acceptance Criteria

The primary QA/QC vertical accuracy testing steps used by Dewberry are summarized as follows:

- 1. Dewberry's team surveyed QA/QC vertical checkpoints in accordance with the project's specifications.
- 2. Next, Dewberry interpolated the bare-earth lidar DTM to provide the z-value for every checkpoint.
- 3. Dewberry then computed the associated z-value differences between the interpolated z-value from the lidar data and the ground truth survey checkpoints and computed NVA, VVA, and other statistics.
- 4. The data were analyzed by Dewberry to assess the accuracy of the data. The review process examined the various accuracy parameters as defined by the scope of work. The overall descriptive statistics of each dataset were computed to assess any trends or anomalies. This report provides tables, graphs and figures to summarize and illustrate data quality.

VERTICAL ACCURACY RESULTS

The table below summarizes the tested vertical accuracy resulting from a comparison of the surveyed checkpoints to the elevation values present within the fully classified lidar LAS files.

Land Cover Category	# of Points	NVA – Non-vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSE _z x 1.9600) Spec=0.64 ft	VVA – Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (95th Percentile) Spec=0.96 ft
NVA	43	0.32	
VVA	46		0.59

Table 9 - Tested NVA and VVA

This lidar dataset was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 0.33 ft (10 cm) RMSEz Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 0.16$ ft (4.9 cm), equating to +/- 0.32 ft (9.8 cm) at 95% confidence level. Actual VVA accuracy was found to be +/- 0.59 ft (18.0 cm) at the 95th percentile.

The figure below illustrates the magnitude of the differences between the QA/QC checkpoints and lidar data. This shows that the majority of lidar elevations were within +/- 0.60 ft of the checkpoints elevations, but there is one outlier where lidar and checkpoint elevations differed by over -0.8 ft.




Figure 18 – Magnitude of elevation discrepancies per land cover category

LiDAR 5% Outliers									
	NAD83(2011)	SC State Plane	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)					
Point ID	Easting X Northing (ft) (ft)		Z-Survey (ft)	Z-LiDAR (ft)	DeltaZ	AbsDeltaZ			
45-1-4	2314181.38	636919.08	71.59	72.40	0.81	0.81			
45-3-10	2411665.13	618806.76	42.23	42.84	0.61	0.61			
45-1-5	2313837.93	635746.11	71.44	72.04	0.60	0.60			

Table 10 lists the 5% outliers that are larger than the VVA 95th percentile.

Table 10 - 5% Outliers

Table 11 provides overall descriptive statistics.

	LiDAR Descriptive Statistics								
100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz (ft) Spec=0.33 ft NVA	Mean (ft)	Median (ft)	Skew	Std Dev (ft)	Kurtosis	Min (ft)	Max (ft)
Open Terrain	24.00	0.17	-0.05	-0.04	0.11	0.17	-0.73	-0.31	0.28
Urban	19.00	0.16	-0.10	-0.15	0.69	0.12	-0.87	-0.25	0.13
NVA	43.00	0.16	-0.07	-0.08	0.39	0.15	-0.63	-0.31	0.28
Tall Weeds and Crops	16.00	0.37	0.33	0.34	-0.56	0.18	-0.42	-0.03	0.57
Brush Lands and Trees	16.00	0.32	0.18	0.16	0.59	0.27	0.80	-0.30	0.81
Forested and Fully Grown	14.00	0.27	0.08	0.02	1.00	0.27	0.34	-0.26	0.61
VVA	46.00	N/A	0.20	0.17	0.13	0.26	-0.61	-0.30	0.81

 Table 11 – Overall Descriptive Statistics

The figure below illustrates a histogram of the associated elevation discrepancies between the QA/QC checkpoints and elevations interpolated from the lidar triangulated irregular network (TIN). The frequency shows the number of discrepancies within each band of elevation differences. Although the discrepancies vary between a low of -0.31 ft and a high of +0.81 ft, the histogram shows that the majority of the discrepancies are not skewed. The vast majority of points are within the ranges of -0.225 feet to +0.375 feet.




Figure 19 – Histogram of Elevation Discrepancies with errors in feet

Based on the vertical accuracy testing conducted by Dewberry, the lidar dataset for the South Carolina Williamsburg County satisfies the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria.

HORIZONTAL ACCURACY TEST PROCEDURES

Horizontal accuracy testing requires well-defined checkpoints that can be identified in the dataset. Elevation datasets, including lidar datasets, do not always contain well-defined checkpoints suitable for horizontal accuracy assessment. However, the ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) recommends at least half of the NVA vertical check points should be located at the ends of paint stripes or other point features visible on the lidar intensity image, allowing them to double as horizontal check points.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 32 of 56

Dewberry reviews all NVA checkpoints to determine which, if any, of these checkpoints are located on photo-identifiable features in the intensity imagery. This subset of checkpoints are then used for horizontal accuracy testing.

The primary QA/QC horizontal accuracy testing steps used by Dewberry are summarized as follows:

- 1. Dewberry's team surveyed QA/QC vertical checkpoints in accordance with the project's specifications and tried to locate half of the NVA checkpoints on features photo-identifiable in the intensity imagery.
- 2. Next, Dewberry identified the well-defined features in the intensity imagery.
- 3. Dewberry then computed the associated xy-value differences between the coordinates of the well-defined feature in the lidar intensity imagery and the ground truth survey checkpoints.
- 4. The data were analyzed by Dewberry to assess the accuracy of the data. Horizontal accuracy was assessed using NSSDA methodology where horizontal accuracy is calculated at the 95% confidence level. This report provides the results of the horizontal accuracy testing.

HORIZONTAL ACCURACY RESULTS

No checkpoints were photo-identifiable in the intensity imagery; horizontal accuracy could not be tested on this dataset.

Breakline Production & Qualitative Assessment Report

BREAKLINE PRODUCTION METHODOLOGY

Dewberry used a combination of lidargrammetry and automated techniques to collect breaklines for this project. The delineation of lakes and ponds, or other water bodies at a constant elevation, was achieved using eCognition software. Dewberry produced full point cloud intensity imagery, bare earth ground models, density models, and slope models. These files were ingested into eCognition, segmented into polygons, and training samples were created to identify water. eCognition used the training samples and defined parameters to identify water segments throughout the project area. Water segments were then reviewed for completeness. Segments identified as lakes and ponds were merged and smoothed. 3D elevations were then applied to the breakline features. Lidargrammetry was used to monotonically collect streams and rivers, or features that have gradient 3D elevations. Dewberry used GeoCue software to develop lidar stereo models of the project area so the lidar derived data could be viewed in 3-D stereo using Socet Set softcopy photogrammetric software. Using lidargrammetry procedures with lidar intensity imagery, Dewberry used the stereo models to stereo-compile the streams and rivers in accordance with the project's Data Dictionary. Flowlines were generated in ArcGIS using hydrologically conditioned elevation models. These flowlines were then manually edited in 2D ArcGIS environments to create 2D single line drains and centerlines. The single line drains and centerlines were then converted to 3D with monotonic elevations using proprietary procedures which are ArcGIS based. Hydro connectors and culvert connectors were manually collected and 3D elevations were assigned from the connecting single line drain and centerline network. USGS deliverables do not include single line drains, centerlines, hydro connectors, or culvert connectors.

All drainage breaklines are monotonically enforced to show downhill flow. Water bodies are at a constant elevation where the lowest elevation of the water body has been applied to the entire water body.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 33 of 56

BREAKLINE QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Dewberry completed breakline qualitative assessments according to a defined workflow. The following workflow diagram represents the steps taken by Dewberry to provide a thorough qualitative assessment of the breakline data.

Completeness and horizontal placement is verified through visual reviews against lidar intensity imagery. Automated checks are applied on all breakline features to validate topology, including the 3D connectivity of features, enforced monotonicity on linear hydrographic breaklines, and flatness on water bodies.

The next step is to compare the elevation of the breakline vertices against the ground elevation extracted from the ESRI Terrain built from the lidar ground points, keeping in mind that a discrepancy is expected because of the hydro-enforcement applied to the breaklines and because of the interpolated imagery used to acquire the breaklines. A given tolerance is used to validate if the elevations differ too much from the lidar.

After all corrections and edits to the breakline features, the breaklines are imported into the final GDB and verified for correct formatting.




Figure 20-Breakline QA/QC workflow

BREAKLINE CHECKLIST

The following table represents a portion of the high-level steps in Dewberry's Production and QA/QC checklist that were performed for this project.

Pass/Fail	Validation Step				
Pass	Use lidar-derived data, which may include intensity imagery, stereo pairs, bare earth ground models, density models, slope models, and terrains, to collect breaklines according to project specifications.				
Pass	In areas of heavy vegetation or where the exact shoreline is hard to delineate, it is better to err on placing the breakline <i>slightly</i> inside or seaward of the shoreline (breakline can be inside shoreline by 1x-2x NPS).				
Pass	After each producer finishes breakline collection for a block, each producer must perform a completeness check, breakline variance check, and all automated checks on their block before calling that block complete and ready for the final merge and QC				

Pass	After breaklines are completed for production blocks, all production blocks should be merged together and completeness and automated checks should be performed on the final, merged GDB. Ensure correct snapping-horizontal (x,y) and vertical (z)-between all production blocks.					
Pass	Check entire dataset for missing features that were not captured, but should be to meet baseline specifications or for consistency. Features should be collected consistently across tile bounds. Check that the horizontal placement of breaklines is correct. Breaklines should be compared to full point cloud intensity imagery and terrains					
Pass	Breaklines are correctly edge-matched to adjoining datasets in completion, coding, and horizontal placement.					
Pass	Using a terrain created from lidar ground (all ground including 2, 8, and 10) and water points (class 9), compare breakline Z values to interpolated lidar elevations.					
Pass	Perform all Topology and Data Integrity Checks					
Pass	Perform hydro-flattening and hydro-enforcement checks including monotonicity and flatness from bank to bank on linear hydrographic features and flatness of water bodies. Tidal waters should preserve as much ground as possible and can include variations or be non-monotonic.					

Table 12 -A subset of the high-level steps from Dewberry's Production and QA/QC checklist performed for this project.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 36 of 56

DATA DICTIONARY

The following data dictionary was used for this project.

Horizontal and Vertical Datum

The horizontal datum shall be North American Datum of 1983(2011), Units in International Feet. The vertical datum shall be referenced to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD 88), Units in US Survey Feet. Geoid12B shall be used to convert ellipsoidal heights to orthometric heights.

Coordinate System and Projection

All data shall be projected to South Carolina State Plane, Horizontal Units in International Feet and Vertical Units in US Survey Feet.

Inland Streams and Rivers

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polygon Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: STREAMS_AND_RIVERS Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polygon feature class will depict linear hydrographic features with a width greater than 20 feet.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID						Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry						Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes		0	0		Calculated by Software
SHAPE_AREA	Double	Yes		0	0		Calculated by Software

Feature Definition

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Streams and Rivers	Linear hydrographic features such as streams, rivers, canals, etc. with an average width greater than 20 feet. In the case of embankments, if the feature forms a natural dual line channel, then capture it consistent with the capture rules. Other natural or manmade embankments will not qualify for this project.	Capture features showing dual line (one on each side of the feature). Average width shall be greater than 20 feet to show as a double line. Each vertex placed should maintain vertical integrity. Generally both banks shall be collected to show consistent downhill flow. There are exceptions to this rule where a small branch or offshoot of the stream or river is present. The banks of the stream must be captured at the same elevation to ensure flatness of the water feature. If the elevation of the banks appears to be different see the task manager or PM for further guidance. Breaklines must be captured at or just below the elevations of the immediately surrounding terrain. Under no circumstances should a feature be elevated above the

surrounding lidar points. Acceptable variance in the negative direction will be defined for each project individually.
These instructions are only for docks or piers that follow the coastline or water's edge, not for docks or piers that extend perpendicular from the land into the water. If it can be reasonably determined where the edge of water most probably falls, beneath the dock or pier, then the edge of water will be collected at the elevation of the water where it can be directly measured. If there is a clearly-indicated headwall or bulkhead adjacent to the dock or pier and it is evident that the waterline is most probably adjacent to the headwall or bulkhead, then the water line will follow the headwall or bulkhead at the elevation of the water where it can be directly measured. If there is no clear indication of the location of the water's edge beneath the dock or pier, then the edge of water will follow the outer edge of the dock or pier as it is adjacent to the water, at the measured elevation of the water.
Every effort should be made to avoid breaking a stream or river into segments.
Dual line features shall break at road crossings (culverts). In areas where a bridge is present the dual line feature shall continue through the bridge.
Islands: The double line stream shall be captured around an island if the island is greater than ½ acre. In this case a segmented polygon shall be used around the island in order to allow for the island feature to remain as a "hole" in the feature.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 38 of 56

Inland Ponds and Lakes

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polygon Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: PONDS_AND_LAKES Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polygon feature class will depict closed water body features that are at a constant elevation.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID						Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry						Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes		0	0		Calculated by Software
SHAPE_AREA	Double	Yes		0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Ponds and Lakes	Land/Water boundaries of constant elevation water bodies such as lakes, reservoirs, ponds, etc. Features shall be defined as closed polygons and contain an elevation value that reflects the best estimate of the water elevation at the time of data capture. Water body features will be captured for features 1 acres in size or greater. "Donuts" will exist where there are islands within a closed water body feature.	Water bodies shall be captured as closed polygons with the water feature to the right. <u>The compiler shall take care</u> to ensure that the z-value remains consistent for all vertices placed on the water body. Breaklines must be captured at or just below the elevations of the immediately surrounding terrain. Under no circumstances should a feature be elevated above the surrounding lidar points. Acceptable variance in the negative direction will be defined for each project individually. An Island within a Closed Water Body Feature that is 0.5 acre in size or greater will also have a "donut polygon" compiled. These instructions are only for docks or piers that follow the coastline or water's edge, not for docks or piers that extend perpendicular from the land into the water. If it can be reasonably determined where the edge of water most probably falls, beneath the dock or pier, then the edge of water will be collected at the elevation of the water where it can be directly measured. If there is a clearly- indicated headwall or bulkhead adjacent to the dock or pier and it is evident that the waterline is most probably adjacent to the headwall or bulkhead at the elevation of the water where it can be directly measured. If there is no clear indication of the location of the water's edge beneath the dock or pier, then the edge of water where it can be directly measured. If there is no clear indication of the location of the water's edge beneath the dock or pier, then the edge of water will follow the

	outer edge of the dock or pier as it is adjacent to the water, at the measured elevation of the water.

Single Line Drains

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polyline Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: Single_Line_Drains Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polyline feature class will depict linear hydrographic features with a width less than 20 feet which drain at least 1/2 square mile.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Default Value	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID							Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry							Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Single Line Streams	Linear hydrographic features such as streams, rivers, canals, etc. which drain areas of $\frac{1}{2}$ square mileage or more but have an average width less than 20 feet.	Capture features showing single line (center of channel). Average width shall be less than 20 feet. Each vertex placed should maintain vertical integrity and show downhill flow. Breaklines must be captured at or just below the elevations of the immediately surrounding terrain. Under no circumstances should a feature be elevated above the surrounding lidar points. Acceptable variance in the negative direction will be defined for each project individually. Single line features shall break at road crossings (culverts) and connectivity shall be shown using culvert connectors. In areas where a bridge is present the single line feature shall continue through the bridge.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 40 of 56

Stream Centerlines

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polyline Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: Center_Lines Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polyline feature class will depict the centerline for linear hydrographic features with a width greater than 20 feet and will be used to show flow through lake/pond features along stream reaches which are part of the flow network.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Default Value	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID							Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry							Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Stream Centerlines	Linear feature used to represent the centerline and flow through dual line streams and rivers greater than 20 feet in width and through lake/pond features along stream reaches which are part of the flow network.	Centerlines must snap to a node along the edge of the polygon (dual line streams or lakes/ponds) and the continuing stream should connect at that same node. Centerlines should be captured for all dual line drains greater than 20 feet in width but centerlines must only be captured for lakes/ponds along stream reaches which have flow.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 41 of 56

Culverts

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polyline Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: Connector_Culvert Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polyline feature class will be collected where streams (single line drains or centerlines) cut through roads or other features.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Default Value	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID							Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry							Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Culverts	Culverts will be collected where streams (single line drains or centerlines) cut through roads or other features.	Culverts should be collected with only two vertices (start/end) and must snap to adjacent features.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 42 of 56

Hydro Connectors

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polyline Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: Connector_Hydro Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polyline feature class will be used to connect streams to the main network when it is difficult or impossible to determine where the actual channel is located.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Default Value	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID							Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry							Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Hydro Connectors	Hydro connectors will be used to connect streams to the main network when it is difficult or impossible to determine where the actual channel is located.	In areas where a stream ends and it is difficult or impossible to determine where that feature continues, a hydro connector can be used to connect that stream or drainage to the main network. This should be done at the most logical point, i.e. closest stream. Hydro connectors should also be used to pass a stream through a dam or other hydro control structure.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 43 of 56

Beneath Bridge Breaklines Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polyline Contains Z Values: Yes XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Feature Class: Bridge_Breaklines Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None Z Resolution: Accept Default Setting Z Tolerance: 0.001

Description

This polyline feature class is used to enforce terrain beneath bridge decks where ground data may not have been acquired. Enforcing the terrain beneath bridge decks prevents bridge saddles.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID						Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry						Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes		0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Bridge Breaklines	Bridge Breaklines should be used where necessary to enforce terrain beneath bridge decks and to prevent bridge saddles in the bare earth DEMs.	Bridge breaklines should be collected beneath bridges where bridge saddles exist or are likely to exist in the bare earth DEMs.Bridge breaklines should be collected perpendicular to the bridge deck so that the endpoints are on either side of the bridge deck. Typically two bridge breaklines are collected per bridge deck, one at either end of the bridge deck to enforce the terrain under the full bridge deck.The endpoints of the bridge breaklines will match the elevation of the ground at their xy position to enforce the ground/bare earth elevations beneath the bridge deck and prevent bridge saddles from forming.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 44 of 56

Bridge Decks

Feature Dataset: BREAKLINES Feature Type: Polygon Contains Z Values: No XY Resolution: Accept Default Setting XY Tolerance: 0.003 Description

Feature Class: Bridge_Polygons Contains M Values: No Annotation Subclass: None

This polygon feature class will depict bridge decks where bridge structures were classified to class 17.

Table Definition

Field Name	Data Type	Allow Null Values	Volue	Domain	Precision	Scale	Length	Responsibility
OBJECTID	Object ID							Assigned by Software
SHAPE	Geometry							Assigned by Software
SHAPE_LENGTH	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software
SHAPE_AREA	Double	Yes			0	0		Calculated by Software

Description	Definition	Capture Rules
Bridges	Elevated bridge decks that are classified in the lidar point cloud. Culverts should not be captured as part of this feature class.	Bridges should be collected to show the full extents of the elevated portion of the bridge deck only.

DEM Production & Qualitative Assessment

DEM PRODUCTION METHODOLOGY

Dewberry utilized ESRI software and Global Mapper for the DEM production and QC process. ArcGIS software is used to generate the products and the QC is performed in both ArcGIS and Global Mapper. The figure below shows the entire process necessary for bare earth DEM production, starting from the lidar swath processing.

The final bare-earth lidar points are used to create a terrain. The final 3D breaklines collected for the project are also enforced in the terrain. The terrain is then converted to raster format using natural neighbors interpolation. The DEM is reviewed for any issues requiring corrections, including remaining lidar mis-classifications, erroneous breakline elevations, poor hydroflattening or hydro-enforcement, and processing artifacts. DEM mosaics are delivered for SC DNR deliverables and tiled DEMs are delivered for USGS deliverables.




Figure 21-DEM Production Workflow

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 46 of 56

DEM QUALITATIVE ASSESSMENT

Dewberry performed a comprehensive qualitative assessment of the bare earth DEM deliverables to ensure that all DEM products were delivered with the proper extents, were free of processing artifacts, and contained the proper referencing information. This process was performed in ArcGIS software with the use of a tool set Dewberry has developed to verify that the raster extents match those of the project boundary or tile grid and contain the correct projection information. The DEM data was reviewed at a scale of 1:5000 to review for artifacts caused by the DEM generation process and to review the hydro-flattened and hydro-enforced features. To perform this review Dewberry creates HillShade models and overlays a partially transparent colorized elevation model to review for these issues. All corrections are completed using Dewberry's proprietary correction workflow. Upon completion of the corrections, the DEM data is loaded into Global Mapper for its second review and to verify corrections. For tiled DEM deliverables, the final tiles are again loaded into Global Mapper to ensure coverage, extents, and that the final tiles are seamless.

When some bridges are removed from the ground surface, the distance from bridge abutment to bridge abutment is small enough that the DEM interpolates across the entire bridge opening, forming 'bridge saddles.' Dewberry collected 3D bridge breaklines in locations where bridge saddles were present and enforced these breaklines in the final DEM creation to help mitigate the bridge saddle artifacts. The image below on the left shows a bridge saddle while the image below on the right shows the same bridge after bridge breaklines have been enforced.




Figure 22 – Tile 3524-03. The DEM on the left shows a bridge saddle artifact while the DEM on the right shows the same location after bridge breaklines have been enforced.

DEM VERTICAL ACCURACY RESULTS

The same 91 checkpoints that were used to test the vertical accuracy of the lidar were used to validate the vertical accuracy of the final DEM products as well. Accuracy results may vary between the source lidar and final DEM deliverable. DEMs are created by averaging several lidar points within each pixel which may result in slightly different elevation values at each survey checkpoint when compared to the source LAS, which does not average several lidar points together but may interpolate (linearly) between two or three points to derive an elevation value. The vertical accuracy of the DEM is tested by extracting the elevation of the pixel that contains the x/y coordinates of the checkpoint and comparing these DEM elevations to the surveyed elevations. Dewberry typically uses LP360 software to test the swath lidar vertical

accuracy, Terrascan software to test the classified lidar vertical accuracy, and Esri ArcMap to test the DEM vertical accuracy so that three different software programs are used to validate the vertical accuracy for each project.

Table 13 summarizes the tested vertical accuracy results from a comparison of the surveyed checkpoints to the elevation values present within the final DEM dataset.

DEM Vertical Accuracy Results							
Land Cover Category	# of Points	NVA − Non- vegetated Vertical Accuracy (RMSEz x 1.9600) Spec=0.64 ft	VVA – Vegetated Vertical Accuracy (95th Percentile) Spec=0.96 ft				
NVA	43.00	0.37					
VVA	46.00		0.73				

Table 13 – DEM tested NVA and VVA

This DEM dataset was tested to meet ASPRS Positional Accuracy Standards for Digital Geospatial Data (2014) for a 0.33 ft (10 cm) RMSEz Vertical Accuracy Class. Actual NVA accuracy was found to be $RMSE_z = 0.19$ ft (5.8 cm), equating to +/- 0.37 ft (11.3 cm) at 95% confidence level. Actual VVA accuracy was found to be +/- 0.73 ft (22.3) cm at the 95th percentile.

Table 14 lists the 5% outliers that are larger than the VVA 95th percentile.

Point ID	NAD83(2011)	NAVD88 (Geoid 12B)	DEM Z	Delta Z	AbsDeltaZ	
	Easting X (ft)	Northing Y (ft)	Survey Z (ft)	(ft)		modenal
45-1-4	2314181.38	636919.08	71.59	72.84	1.25	1.25
45-8-5	2358374.64	676405.51	71.91	72.78	0.87	0.87

Table 14- 5% Outliers

	DEM Descriptive Statistics								
100 % of Totals	# of Points	RMSEz (ft) Spec=0.33 ft NVA	Mean (ft)	Median (ft)	Skew	Std Dev (ft)	Kurtosis	Min (ft)	Max (ft)
Open Terrain	24.00	0.20	-0.08	-0.11	0.42	0.19	-0.22	-0.37	0.37
Urban	19.00	0.17	-0.11	-0.13	0.40	0.13	0.21	-0.35	0.16
NVA	43.00	0.19	-0.10	-0.12	0.51	0.17	0.17	-0.37	0.37
Tall Weeds and Crops	16.00	0.40	0.35	0.31	0.05	0.20	-1.22	0.01	0.65
Brush Lands and Trees	16.00	0.54	0.29	0.25	-0.03	0.47	0.81	-0.73	1.25
Forested and Fully Grown	14.00	0.26	0.05	0.01	0.67	0.26	0.44	-0.39	0.60
VVA	46.00	N/A	0.24	0.19	0.13	0.35	1.20	-0.73	1.25

Table 15 provides overall descriptive statistics.

 Table 15 - Overall Descriptive Statistics

Based on the vertical accuracy testing conducted by Dewberry, the DEM dataset for the South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar satisfies the project's pre-defined vertical accuracy criteria.

DEM CHECKLIST

The following table represents a portion of the high-level steps in Dewberry's bare earth DEM Production and QA/QC checklist that were performed for this project.

Pass/Fail	Validation Step
Pass	Masspoints (LAS to multipoint) are created from ground points only (class 2 and class 8 if model key points created, but no class 10 ignored ground points or class 9 water points
Pass	Create a terrain for each production block using the final bare earth lidar points and final breaklines.
Pass	Convert terrains to rasters using project specifications for grid type, formatting, and cell size
Pass	Create hillshades for all DEMs
Pass	Manually review bare-earth DEMs in ArcMap with hillshades to check for issues
Pass	DEMs should be hydro-flattened or hydro-enforced as required by project specifications
Pass	DEMs should be seamless across tile boundaries
Pass	Water should be flowing downhill without excessive water artifacts present
Pass	Water features should NOT be floating above surrounding
Pass	Bridges should NOT be present in bare-earth DEMs.
Pass	Any remaining bridge saddles where below bridge breaklines were not used need to be fixed by adding below bridge breaklines and re-processing.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 49 of 56

Pass	All qualitative issues present in the DEMs as a result of lidar processing and editing issues must be marked for corrections in the lidar These DEMs will need to be recreated after the lidar has been corrected.
Pass	Calculate DEM Vertical Accuracy including NVA, VVA, and other statistics
Pass	Split the DEMs into tiles according to the project tiling scheme
Pass	Verify all properties of the tiled DEMs, including coordinate reference system information, cell size, cell extents, and that compression has not been applied to the tiled DEMs
Pass	Load all tiled DEMs into Global Mapper to verify complete coverage to the (buffered) project boundary and that no tiles are corrupt.

Table 16 -A subset of the high-level steps from Dewberry's bare earth DEM Production and QA/QC checklist performed for this project.

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 50 of 56

Appendix A: Survey Report

See separate appendix document: *Appendix A Checkpoint Survey Report.pdf*

Appendix B: Complete List of Delivered Tiles

пррения	D. Complete Lia	st of Delivered	Incs	
2670-01	3547-01	3578-02	3599-04	3614-04
2670-02	3547-02	3578-03	3600-01	3615-01
2670-04	3547-04	3578-04	3600-02	3615-02
2671-02	3548-01	3579-01	3600-03	3615-03
2671-03	3548-02	3579-02	3601-01	3615-04
2671-04	3548-03	3579-03	3601-02	3616-01
2672-04	3548-04	3579-04	3601-03	3616-02
2680-01	3549-01	3584-01	3601-04	3616-03
2680-02	3549-02	3584-02	3602-01	3616-04
2681-01	3549-03	3584-03	3602-02	3617-01
2681-02	3549-04	3584-04	3602-03	3617-02
2681-03	3556-01	3585-01	3602-04	3617-03
2681-04	3556-02	3585-02	3603-01	3617-04
2682-01	3557-01	3585-03	3603-02	3618-01
2682-02	3557-02	3585-04	3603-03	3618-02
2682-03	3557-03	3586-01	3603-04	3618-03
2682-04	3557-04	3586-02	3604-01	3618-04
2683-02	3558-01	3586-03	3604-02	3619-01
2683-03	3558-02	3586-04	3604-03	3619-02
2683-04	3558-03	3587-01	3604-04	3619-03
2684-02	3558-04	3587-02	3605-01	3619-04
2684-04	3559-01	3587-03	3605-02	3620-01
2690-01	3559-02	3587-04	3605-03	3620-02
2690-02	3559-03	3588-01	3605-04	3620-03
2691-01	3559-04	3588-02	3606-01	3620-04
2691-02	3566-01	3588-03	3606-02	3621-01
2691-03	3566-02	3588-04	3606-03	3621-02
2691-04	3566-03	3589-01	3606-04	3621-03
2692-01	3566-04	3589-02	3607-01	3621-04
2692-02	3567-01	3589-03	3607-02	3622-01
2692-03	3567-02	3589-04	3607-03	3622-02 3622-03
2692-04 2693-01	3567-03 3567-04	3593-02	3607-04 3608-02	3622-03
2693-01	3568-01	3594-01	3608-02	3623-04 3623-01
2693-02	3568-02	3594-02	3608-04	3623-02
2693-03	3568-03	3594-03 3594-04	3609-02	3623-02
2693-04 2694-01	3568-04	3595-01	3609-02	3623-03
2694-01	3569-01	3595-02	3610-01	3624-01
2694-02	3569-02	3595-02	3610-02	3624-01
2694-04	3569-03	3595-04	3610-04	3624-02
2695-01	3569-04	3596-01	3611-01	3624-04
2695-02	3574-02	3596-02	3611-02	3625-01
2695-03	3575-01	3596-03	3611-03	3625-02
2695-04	3575-02	3596-04	3611-04	3625-03
2696-02	3575-03	3597-01	3612-01	3625-04
2696-04	3575-04	3597-02	3612-02	3626-01
3529-01	3576-01	3597-03	3612-03	3626-02
3529-02	3576-02	3597-04	3612-04	3626-03
3529-04	3576-03	3598-01	3613-01	3626-04
3538-01	3576-04	3598-02	3613-02	3627-01
3538-02	3577-01	3598-03	3613-03	3627-02
3539-01	3577-02	3598-04	3613-04	3627-03
3539-02	3577-03	3599-01	3614-01	3627-04
3539-03	3577-04	3599-02	3614-02	3628-01
3539-04	3578-01	3599-03	3614-03	3628-02
				-

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 52 of 56

3628-03	3642-03	3656-03	3670-03	3684-03
3628-04	3642-04	3656-04	3670-04	3684-04
3629-01	3643-01	3657-01	3671-01	3685-01
3629-02	3643-02	3657-02	3671-02	3685-02
3629-03	3643-03	3657-03	3671-03	3685-03
3629-04	3643-04	3657-04	3671-04	3685-04
3630-01	3644-01	3658-01	3672-01	3686-01
3630-02	3644-01	3658-02	3672-02	3686-02
	3644-02			3686-03
3630-03		3658-03	3672-03	
3630-04	3644-04	3658-04	3672-04	3686-04
3631-01	3645-01	3659-01	3673-01	3687-01
3631-02	3645-02	3659-02	3673-02	3687-02
3631-03	3645-03	3659-03	3673-03	3687-03
3631-04	3645-04	3659-04	3673-04	3687-04
3632-01	3646-01	3660-01	3674-01	3688-01
3632-02	3646-02	3660-02	3674-02	3688-02
3632-03	3646-03	3660-03	3674-03	3688-03
3632-04	3646-04	3660-04	3674-04	3688-04
3633-01	3647-01	3661-01	3675-01	3689-01
3633-02	3647-02	3661-02	3675-02	3689-02
3633-03	3647-03	3661-03	3675-03	3689-03
3633-04	3647-04	3661-04	3675-04	3689-04
3634-01	3648-01	3662-01	3676-01	3690-01
3634-02	3648-02	3662-02	3676-02	3690-02
3634-03	3648-03	3662-03	3676-03	3690-03
3634-04	3648-04	3662-04	3676-04	3690-04
3635-01	3649-01	3663-01	3677-01	3691-01
3635-02	3649-02	3663-02	3677-02	3691-02
3635-03	3649-03	3663-03	3677-03	3691-03
3635-04	3649-04	3663-04	3677-04	3691-04
3636-01	3650-01	3664-01	3678-01	3692-01
3636-02	3650-02	3664-02	3678-02	3692-02
3636-03	3650-03	3664-03	3678-03	3692-03
3636-04	3650-04	3664-04	3678-04	3692-04
3637-01	3651-01	3665-01	3679-01	3693-01
3637-02	3651-02	3665-02	3679-02	3693-02
3637-03	3651-03	3665-03	3679-03	3693-03
3637-04	3651-04	3665-04	3679-04	3693-04
3638-01	3652-01	3666-01	3680-01	3694-01
3638-02	3652-02	3666-02	3680-02	3694-02
3638-03	3652-03	3666-03	3680-03	3694-03
3638-04	3652-04	3666-04	3680-04	3694-04
3639-01	3653-01	3667-01	3681-01	3695-01
3639-02	3653-02	3667-02	3681-02	3695-02
3639-02	3653-02	3667-03	3681-02	3695-02
3639-03				
	3653-04	3667-04	3681-04 3682-01	3695-04
3640-01	3654-01	3668-01		3696-01
3640-02	3654-02	3668-02	3682-02	3696-02
3640-03	3654-03	3668-03	3682-03	3696-03
3640-04	3654-04	3668-04	3682-04	3696-04
3641-01	3655-01	3669-01	3683-01	3697-01
3641-02	3655-02	3669-02	3683-02	3697-02
3641-03	3655-03	3669-03	3683-03	3697-03
3641-04	3655-04	3669-04	3683-04	3697-04
3642-01	3656-01	3670-01	3684-01	3698-01
3642-02	3656-02	3670-02	3684-02	3698-02

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 53 of 56

3698-03	9741 04	9779 01	4515 02	4605 01
3698-03 3698-04	3741-04	3772-01	4515-03 4516-01	4605-01 4605-02
	3742-01	3772-02		4605-02
3699-01	3742-02	3772-03	4516-02	
3699-02	3742-03	3772-04	4516-03	4605-04
3699-03	3742-04	3773-03	4516-04	4606-01
3699-04	3743-01	3773-04	4517-01	4606-02
3700-01	3743-02	3780-01	4517-02	4606-03
3700-02	3743-03	3780-02	4517-03	4606-04
3700-03	3743-04	3780-03	4517-04	4607-01
3700-04	3744-01	3780-04	4518-01	4607-02
3701-04	3744-02	3781-01	4518-02	4607-03
3710-01	3744-03	3781-02	4518-03	4607-04
3710-02	3744-04	3781-03	4518-04	4608-01
3710-03	3750-01	3781-04	4519-01	4608-02
3710-04	3750-02	3782-01	4519-02	4608-03
3711-01	3750-03	3782-02	4519-03	4608-04
3711-02	3750-04	3782-03	4519-04	4609-01
3711-03	3751-01	3782-04	4526-01	4609-02
3711-04	3751-02	3783-03	4527-01	4609-03
3712-04	3751-03	3790-01	4527-02	4609-04
3720-01	3751-04	3790-02	4527-03	4610-01
3720-02	3752-01	3790-03	4528-01	4610-02
3720-03	3752-02	3790-04	4528-02	4610-03
3720-04	3752-03	3791-01	4528-03	4610-04
3721-01	3752-04	3791-02	4528-04	4611-01
3721-02	3753-01	3791-03	4529-01	4611-02
3721-03	3753-02	3791-04	4529-02	4611-03
3721-04	3753-03	3792-03	4529-03	4611-04
3722-02	3753-04	3792-04	4529-04	4612-01
3722-03	3754-01	4503-01	4538-01	4612-02
3722-04	3754-02	4503-02	4538-03	4612-03
3730-01	3754-03	4504-01	4539-01	4612-04
3730-02	3754-04	4504-02	4539-02	4613-01
3730-03	3760-01	4504-03	4539-03	4613-02
3730-04	3760-02	4504-04	4539-04	4613-03
3731-01	3760-03	4505-01	4549-01	4613-04
3731-02	3760-04	4505-02	4600-01	4614-01
3731-03	3761-01	4505-03	4600-02	4614-02
3731-04	3761-02	4505-04	4600-03	4614-03
3732-01	3761-03	4506-01	4600-04	4614-04
3732-02	3761-04	4506-02	4601-01	4615-01
3732-03	3762-01	4506-03	4601-02	4615-02
3732-04	3762-02	4506-04	4601-03	4615-03
3733-01	3762-03	4507-01	4601-04	4615-04
3733-02	3762-04	4507-02	4602-01	4616-01
3733-03	3763-01	4507-03	4602-02	4616-02
3733-04	3763-03	4507-04	4602-03	4616-03
3734-02	3763-04	4508-01	4602-04	4616-04
3734-04	3770-01	4508-02	4603-01	4617-01
3740-01	3770-02	4508-03	4603-02	4617-02
3740-02	3770-03	4508-04	4603-03	4617-03
3740-03	3770-04	4509-01	4603-04	4617-04
3740-04	3771-01	4509-02	4604-01	4618-01
3740 04 3741-01	3771-02	4509-03	4604-02	4618-02
3741-02	3771-03	4509-04	4604-02	4618-03
3741-02	3771-04	4515-01	4604-04	4618-04
5/41 03	5//1 04	40-0 OI	4004 04	4010 04

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 54 of 56

4619-01	4633-01	4647-02	4663-04	4686-03
4619-02	4633-02	4647-03	4664-01	4687-01
4619-03	4633-03	4647-04	4664-02	4687-02
4619-04	4633-04	4648-01	4664-03	4687-03
4620-01	4634-01	4648-02	4664-04	4688-01
4620-02	4634-02	4648-03	4665-01	4688-02
4620-03	4634-03	4648-04	4665-02	4688-03
4620-04	4634-04	4649-01	4665-03	4688-04
4621-01	4635-01	4649-02	4665-04	4689-01
4621-02	4635-02	4649-03	4666-01	4689-02
4621-03	4635-03	4649-04	4666-02	4689-03
4621-04	4635-04	4650-01	4666-03	4689-04
4622-01	4636-01	4651-01	4666-04	4698-01
4622-02	4636-02	4651-02	4667-01	4699-01
4622-03	4636-03	4651-03	4667-02	4699-02
4622-04	4636-04	4651-04	4667-03	4699-03
4623-01	4637-01	4652-01	4667-04	4699-04
4623-02	4637-02	4652-02	4668-01	4700-01
4623-03	4637-03	4652-03	4668-02	4700-02
4623-04	4637-04	4652-04	4668-03	4700-03
4624-01	4638-01	4653-01	4668-04	4700-04
4624-02	4638-02	4653-02	4669-01	4701-01
4624-03	4638-03	4653-03	4669-02	4701-02
4624-04	4638-04	4653-04	4669-03	4701-03
4625-01	4639-01	4654-01	4669-04	4701-04
4625-02	4639-02	4654-02	4672-01	4702-03
4625-03	4639-03	4654-03	4673-01	4702-04
4625-04	4639-04	4654-04	4673-02	4710-01
4626-01	4640-01	4655-01	4673-03	4710-02
4626-02	4640-02	4655-02	4673-04	4710-03
4626-03	4640-03	4655-03	4674-01	4710-04
4626-04	4641-01	4655-04	4674-02	4711-01
4627-01	4641-02	4656-01	4674-03	4711-02
4627-02	4641-03	4656-02	4674-04	4711-03
4627-03	4641-04	4656-03	4675-01	4711-04
4627-04	4642-01	4656-04	4675-02	4712-01
4628-01	4642-02	4657-01	4675-03	4712-02
4628-02	4642-03	4657-02	4675-04	4712-03
4628-03	4642-04	4657-03	4676-01	4712-04
4628-04	4643-01	4657-04	4676-02	4720-01
4629-01	4643-02	4658-01	4676-03	4720-02
4629-02	4643-03	4658-02	4676-04	4720-03
4629-03	4643-04	4658-03	4677-01	4720-04
4629-04	4644-01	4658-04	4677-02	4721-01
4630-01	4644-02	4659-01	4677-03	4721-02
4630-02	4644-03	4659-02	4677-04	4721-02
4630-03	4644-04	4659-03	4678-01	4721-03
4630-04	4645-01	4659-04	4678-02	4722-03
4631-01	4645-02	4661-01	4678-03	4730-01
4631-01	4645-03	4662-01	4678-04	4730-01
4631-02 4631-03	4645-03	4662-02	4679-01	4730-02
4631-03	4646-01	4662-02	4679-02	4730-03
4031-04 4632-01	4646-02	4662-03	4679-02	4731-01
4632-01 4632-02	4646-02	4663-01	4679-04	
4032-02 4632-03	4646-04	4663-02	4685-01	4731-02
	4647-01	4663-03	4686-01	4731-03 4721-04
4632-04	404/-01	4003-03	4000-01	4731-04

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 55 of 56

4740-01 4740-02 4740-03 4740-04 4741-01 4741-03 4741-04 4750-01	5701-03 5701-04 5702-01 5702-03 5702-04 5711-01 5711-03
4750-02 4750-03 4750-04 4751-03 4751-04 4760-01 4760-02 4760-03 4760-04	
4761-03 4761-04 4770-01 4770-02 4770-03 4770-04 4771-01 4771-02	
4771-03 4771-04 4780-01 4780-02 4780-03 4780-04 4781-01 4781-02 4781-03	
4781-04 4782-02 4782-03 4782-04 4790-01 4790-02 4790-03 4790-04	
4791-01 4791-02 4791-03 4791-04 4792-01 4792-02 4792-03 4792-04 5609-01	
5700-01 5700-02 5700-03 5701-01 5701-02	

South Carolina Williamsburg County Lidar April 24, 2018 Page 56 of 56