Airborne Topographic Lidar Report

Wisconsin WROC - 3DEP
Monroe County Lidar 2019

Prime Contractor: Ayres

Airborne Lidar Acquisition: Quantum Spatial

Ingenuity, Integrity, and Intelligence.

www.AyresAssociates.com

Table of Contents

List of Figures

List of Tables

1. Summary / Scope

1.1 Summary

This report contains a summary of the WROC 2019 Monroe County lidar acquisition task order, issued by Monroe County, Wisconsin. The intent of this document is only to provide specific validation information for the data acquisition/collection, processing, and production of deliverables completed as specified in the task order.

1.2 Scope

Aerial topographic lidar was acquired using state-of-the-art technology, along with the necessary surveyed ground control points (GCPs) and airborne GPS and inertial navigation systems. The aerial data collection was designed with the following specifications listed in Table 1 below.

Table 1. Originally Planned Lidar Specifications

AVERAGE POINT DENSITY	FLIGHT ALTITUDE (AGL)	FIELD OF VIEW	MINIMUM SIDE OVERLAP	RMSEz
2 pts / m^2	2195 m	58.5°	20%	$\leq$ 10 cm

1.3 Coverage

The project boundary covers 916 square miles over Wisconsin. A buffer of 100 meters was created to meet task order specifications. Project extents are shown in Figure 1.

1.4 Duration

Lidar data was acquired from April 9, 2019, to April 28, 2019, in 3 total lifts. See "Section: 2.4. Time Period" for more details.

1.5 Issues

There were no major issues to report for this project.

1.6 Deliverables

The following products were produced and delivered:

- Flight Collection Report in .PDF and .DOC format
- One copy of lidar tiled point cloud data in LAS format on external hard drive
- All flight mission parameters appropriate for inclusion in FGDC/USGS compliant metadata

All geospatial deliverables were produced with a horizontal datum/projection of Monroe County Coordinate System (WISCRS), NAD83 (2011) and a vertical datum/projection of NAVD88 (Geoid 12B), US Survey Feet. All tiled deliverables have a tile size of 4,500-ft x 4,500-ft.

Figure 1. Project Boundary



2. Planning / Equipment

2.1 Flight Planning

Flight planning was based on the unique project requirements and characteristics of the project site. The basis of planning included: required accuracies, type of development, amount / type of vegetation within project area, required data posting, and potential altitude restrictions for flights in project vicinity.

Detailed project flight planning calculations were performed for the project using RiPARAMETER planning software. The entire target area was comprised of 33 planned flight lines (Figure 2).

2.2 Lidar Sensor

Quantum Spatial used a Riegl VQ 1560i lidar sensor (Figure 3), serial number 061, during the project.

The Riegl 1560i system has a laser pulse repetition rate of up to 2 MHz resulting in more than 1.3 million measurements per second. The system uses a Multi-Pulse in the Air option (MPIA). The sensor is also equipped with the ability to measure up to an unlimited number of targets per pulse from the laser.

A brief summary of the aerial acquisition parameters for the project are shown in the Lidar System Specifications in Table 2.

Figure 2. Planned Flight Lines



Table 2. Lidar System Specifications

Terrain and Aircraft Scanner	Flying Height	2195 m
	Recommended Ground Speed	145 kts
Scanner	Field of View	58.5°
	Scan Rate Setting Used	73.9 Hz
Laser	Laser Pulse Rate Used	350 kHz
	Multi Pulse in Air Mode	yes
Coverage	Full Swath Width	2380 m
	Line Spacing	1904 m
Point Spacing and Density	Average Point Spacing	0.71 m
	Average Point Density	2 pts / m^2

Figure 3. Riegl VQ 1560i Lidar Sensor

2.3 Aircraft

All flights for the project were accomplished through the use of customized planes. Plane type and tail numbers are listed below.

Lidar Collection Planes

- Piper Navajo, Tail Number(s): N73TM

This aircraft provides an ideal, stable aerial base for lidar acquisition. This aerial platforms has relatively fast cruise speeds, which are beneficial for project mobilization / demobilization while maintaining relatively slow stall speeds, proving ideal for collection of high-density, consistent data posting using a state-of-the-art Riegl VQ 1560i lidar system. Some of Quantum Spatial's operating aircraft can be seen in Figure 4 below.

Figure 4. Some of Quantum Spatial's Planes

2.4 Time Period

Project specific flights were conducted between April 9, 2019 and April 28, 2019. Three aircraft lifts were completed. Accomplished lifts are listed below.

- 20190409A (SN 061, N73TM)
- 20190419A (SN 061, N73TM)
- 20190428A (SN 061, N73TM)

3. Processing Summary

3.1 Lidar Processing

Applanix + POSPac Mobile Mapping Suite software was used for post-processing of airborne GPS and inertial data (IMU), which is critical to the positioning and orientation of the lidar sensor during all flights. Applanix POSPac combines aircraft raw trajectory data with stationary GPS base station data yielding a "Smoothed Best Estimate Trajectory (SBET) necessary for additional post processing software to develop the resulting geo-referenced point cloud from the lidar missions.

During the sensor trajectory processing (combining GPS & IMU datasets) certain statistical graphs and tables are generated within the Applanix POSPac processing environment which are commonly used as indicators of processing stability and accuracy. This data for analysis include: Max horizontal / vertical GPS variance, separation plot, altitude plot, PDOP plot, base station baseline length, processing mode, number of satellite vehicles, and mission trajectory.

The generated point cloud is the mathematical three dimensional composite of all returns from all laser pulses as determined from the aerial mission. Laser point data are imported into TerraScan and a manual calibration is performed to assess the system offsets for pitch, roll, heading and scale. At this point this data is ready for analysis, classification, and filtering to generate a bare earth surface model in which the above-ground features are removed from the data set. Point clouds were created using the RiPROCESS software. GeoCue distributive processing software was used in the creation of some files needed in downstream processing, as well as in the tiling of the dataset into more manageable file sizes. TerraScan and TerraModeler software packages were then used for the automated data classification, manual cleanup, and bare earth generation. Project specific macros were developed to classify the ground and remove side overlap between parallel flight lines.

All data was manually reviewed and any remaining artifacts removed using functionality provided by TerraScan and TerraModeler. Global Mapper was used as a final check of the bare earth dataset. GeoCue was used to create the deliverable industry-standard LAS files for both the All Point Cloud Data and the Bare Earth. In-house software was then used to perform final statistical analysis of the classes in the LAS files.

Figure 5. Lidar Tile Layout



4. Project Coverage Verification

Coverage verification was performed by comparing coverage of processed .LAS files captured during project collection to generate project shape files depicting boundaries of specified project areas. Please refer to Figure 6.

Figure 6. Lidar Flightline Coverage



5. Ground Control and Check Point Collection

Quantum Spatial used 14 ground control (calibration) points collected by Ayres as an independent test of the accuracy of this project.

5.1 Calibration Control Point Testing

Figure 7 shows the location of each bare earth calibration point for the project area. Table 3 depicts the Control Report for the lidar bare earth calibration points, as computed in TerraScan as a quality assurance check. Note that these results of the surface calibration are not an independent assessment of the accuracy of these project deliverables, but the statistical results do provide additional feedback as to the overall quality of the elevation surface.

Figure 7. Calibration Control Point Locations



Table 3. Calibration Control Point Report
Units = US survey feet

NUMBER	EASTING	NORTHING	KNOWN Z	LASER Z	DZ
401	675784.630	377649.215	1030.741	1030.730	-0.011
402V	758090.447	304191.593	1192.229	1192.330	0.101
403V	601014.091	299867.993	844.670	844.940	0.270
404V	604663.528	456405.159	850.090	849.930	-0.160
405	756041.014	452786.013	970.573	970.440	-0.133
406	600450.578	364162.874	780.459	780.490	0.031
407	756146.421	378033.219	941.253	941.230	-0.023
408	684637.987	301844.545	885.156	885.180	0.024
409	685520.610	454012.911	990.306	990.280	-0.026
410	715245.147	351403.299	1115.289	1115.300	0.011
411	642663.541	341908.766	865.313	865.380	0.067
412V	639001.354	419533.107	957.600	957.550	-0.050
413	725322.621	427196.006	953.228	953.140	-0.088
414	707274.649	392913.658	968.261	968.400	0.139

Average Dz	+0.011 ft
Minimum Dz	-0.160 ft
Maximum Dz	+0.270 ft
Average Magnitude	0.081 ft
Root Mean Square	0.108 ft
Std Deviation	0.112 ft