LiDAR Quality Assessment Report

The USGS National Geospatial Technical Operations Center, Data Operations Branch is responsible for conducting reviews of all Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) point-cloud data and derived products delivered by a data supplier before it is approved for inclusion in the National Elevation Dataset and the Center for LiDAR Information Coordination and Knowledge. The USGS recognizes the complexity of LiDAR collection and processing performed by the data suppliers and has developed this Quality Assessment (QA) procedure to accommodate USGS collection and processing specifications with flexibility. The goal of this process is to assure LiDAR data are of sufficient quality for database population and scientific analysis. Concerns regarding the assessment of these data should be directed to the Chief, Data Operations Branch, 1400 Independence Road, Rolla, Missouri 65401 or NGTOCoperations@usgs.gov.

Materials Received:
6/28/2013

Project ID:
MI_DickinsonCounty-NRCS_2012

Project Alias(es):
(NRCS) Dickinson County, MI

Project Type: GPSC

Project Description:

This task order is for planning, acquisition, processing, and derivative products of high resolution LiDAR data to be collected at a nominal pulse spacing (NPS) of 1.0 meters covering approximately 776 square miles for all of Dickinson County in Michigan. Specifications listed below are based on the "U.S. Geological Survey National Geospatial Program Base Lidar Specification, Version 13 (ILMF)", of which sections I through IV are incorporated by reference to this task order. These LiDAR specifications are required baseline specifications. In addition to the requirements listed below, variations from the specifications will be shown and noted below. For any item which is not specifically addressed, the referenced Version 13 specifications will be the required specification authority. This LiDAR data is to be used by USDA-NRCS to generate digital elevation models and contours for use in hydraulic/hydrologic models and for work associated with soil survey and resource assessment.

Year of Collection: 2012

Lot ☐1☐ of ☐1☐ lots.

Project Extent:
☑ Project Extent image?

Project Tiling Scheme:

☑ Project Tiling Scheme image?

Contractor: Applicable Specification:

Woolpert, Inc.	V13

Licensing Restrictions:

None

☐ Third Party Performed QA?

Project Points of Contact:

POC Name	Type	Primary Phone	E-Mail
Gail Dunn	CPT	573-308-3756	gdunn@usgs.gov

Project Deliverables

All project deliverables must be supplied according to collection and processing specifications. The USGS will postpone the QA process when any of the required deliverables are missing. When deliverables are missing, the Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) will be contacted by the Elevation/Orthoimagery Section supervisor and informed of the problem. Processing will resume after the COTR has coordinated the deposition of remaining deliverables.

☑ Collection Report   ☑ Project Shapefile/Geodatabase
☑ Survey Report   ☑ Project Tiling Scheme Shapefile/Gdb
☑ Processing Report   ☑ Control Point Shapefile/Gdb
☑ QA/QC Report   ☑ Breakline Shapefile/Gdb
☑ Control and Calibration Points ☑ Project XML Metadata

Multi-File Deliverables

File Type	Quantity
☑ Swath LAS Files ☑ Required? ☑ XML Metadata?	136
☐ Intensity Image Files ☐ Required?	
☑ Tiled LAS Files ☑ Required? ☑ XML Metadata?	975
☑ Breakline Files ☑ Required? ☑ XML Metadata?	2
☑ Bare-Earth DEM Files ☑ Required? ☑ XML Metadata?	975

Additional Deliverables

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? ○ Yes ● No

None.

Project Geographic Information

Areal Extent:

787.81

Sq Mi
Grid Size:

1.0

meters
Tile Size:

2000

 meters
Nominal Pulse Spacing:

1.0

 meters

Vertical Datum: NAVD88 meters

Horizontal Datum: NAD83 meters

Project Projection/Coordinate Reference System: UTM Zone 16 North meters.

This Projection Coordinate Reference System is consistent across the following deliverables:

☑ Project Shapefile/Geodatabase
☑ Project Tiling Scheme Shapefile/Gdb
☑ Checkpoints Shapefile/Geodatabase
☑ Project XML Metadata File
☑ Swath LAS XML Metadata File
☑ Classified LAS XML Metadata File

☑ Breaklines XML Metadata File
☑ Bare-Earth DEM XML Metadata File
☑ Swath LAS Files
☑ Classified LAS Files
☑ Breaklines Files
☑ Bare-Earth DEM Files

Review Cycle

This section documents who performed the QA Review on a project as well as when QA reviews were started, actions passed, received, and completed.

Reviewer:	Review Start Date:
H. Boggs	7/12/2013

Action to Contractor Date	Issue Description	Return Date
7/18/2013	Corrections requested for swath and classified las files.	8/8/2013

Review Complete: 8/14/2013

Metadata Review

Provided metadata files have been parsed using 'mp' metadata parser. Any errors generated by the parser are documented below for reference and/or corrective action.

The Project XML Metadata file parsed without errors.

The Swath LAS XML Metadata file parsed without errors.

The Classified LAS XML Metadata file parsed without errors.

The Breakline XML Metadata file parsed without errors.

The Bare-Earth DEM XML Metadata file parsed without errors.

Project QA/QC Report Review

ASPRS recommends that checkpoint surveys be used to verify the vertical accuracy of LiDAR data sets. Checkpoints are to be collected by an independent survey firm licensed in the particular state(s) where the project is located. While subjective, checkpoints should be well distributed throughout the dataset. National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidance states that checkpoints may be distributed more densely in the vicinity of important features and more sparsely in areas that are of little or no interest. Checkpoints should be distributed so that points are spaced at intervals of at least ten percent of the diagonal distance across the dataset and at least twenty percent of the points are located in each quadrant of the dataset.

NSSDA and ASPRS require that a minimum of twenty checkpoints (thirty is preferred) are collected for each major land cover category represented in the LiDAR data. Checkpoints should be selected on flat terrain, or on uniformly sloping terrain in all directions from each checkpoint. They should not be selected near severe breaks in slope, such as bridge abutments, edges of roads, or near river bluffs. Checkpoints are an important component of the USGS QA process. There is the presumption that the checkpoint surveys are error free and the discrepancies are attributable to the LiDAR dataset supplied.

For this dataset, USGS checked the spatial distribution of checkpoints with an emphasis on the bare-earth (open terrain) points; the number of points per class; the methodology used to collect these points; and the relationship between the data supplier and checkpoint collector. When independent control data are available, USGS has incorporated this into the analysis.

Checkpoint Shapefile or Geodatabase:
☑ Checkpoint Distribution Image?

The following land cover classes are represented in this dataset (uncheck any that do not apply):

☑ Bare Earth

☑ Tall Weeds and Crops

☑ Brush Lands and Low Trees

☑ Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees

☑ Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures

There are a minimum of 20 checkpoints for each land cover class represented. Points within each class are uniformly distributed throughout the dataset. USGS was able to locate independent checkpoints for this analysis. USGS accepts the quality of the checkpoint data for these LiDAR datasets.

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? ○ Yes ◉ No

None.

Accuracy values are reported in terms of Fundamental Vertical Accuracy (FVA), Supplemental Vertical Accuracy(s) (SVA), and Consolidated Vertical Accuracy (CVA).

Accuracy values are reported in: meters

Required FVA Value is 0.245 meters or less.
Target SVA Value is 0.363 meters or less.
Required CVA Value is 0.363 meters or less.

The reported FVA of the LAS Swath data is .098 meters.

The reported FVA of the Bare-Earth DEM data is .097 meters.

SVA are required for each land cover type present in the data set with the exception of bare-earth. SVA is calculated and reported as a 95th Percentile Error.

Land Cover Type		SVA Value		Units
Tall Weeds and Crops		.187		meters
Brush Lands and Low Trees		.176		meters
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees		.223		meters
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structu...		.103		meters

The reported CVA of this data set is: .144 meters.

LAS Swath File Review

LAS swath files or raw unclassified LiDAR data are reviewed to assess the quality control used by the data supplier during collection. Furthermore, LAS swath data are

checked for positional accuracy. The data supplier should have calculated the Fundamental Vertical Accuracy using ground control checkpoints measured in clear open terrain. The following was determined for LAS swath data for this project:

LAS Version
◉ LAS 1.2　　　○ LAS1.3　　　○ LAS 1.4

Swath File Characteristics
☑ Separate folder for LAS swath files
☑ Each swath files <= 2GB
☐ *If specified, *.wdp files for full waveform have been provided

The reported FVA of the LAS swath data is .098 meters .

Based on this review, the USGS accepts the LAS swath file data.

| Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? | ◉ Yes ○ No |

☐ Image?

Swath las file 00010_1.las does not contain any points, no returns. Corrections required. Corrections received 8/5/13, accepted 8/14/13.

☐ Image?

Task order references USGS Base Specification Draft v13 which requires that each swath be assigned a unique File Source ID. Additionally, the Point Source ID field for each point within each las swath file must be set equal to the File Source ID prior to any processing of the data. The delivered swath las files do not have unique File Source ID's assigned. Corrections received 8/5/13, accepted 8/14/13.

LAS Tile File Review

Classified LAS tile files are used to build digital terrain models using the points classified as ground. Therefore, it is important that the classified LAS are of sufficient quality to ensure that the derivative product accurately represents the landscape that was measured. The following was determined for classified LAS files for this project:

Classified LAS Tile File Characteristics

☑ Separate folder for Classified LAS tile files
☑ Classified LAS tile files conform to Project Tiling Scheme
☑ Quantity of Classified LAS tile files conforms to Project Tiling Scheme
☑ Classified LAS tile files do not overlap
☑ Classified LAS tile files are uniform in size
☑ Classified LAS tile files have no points classified as '12'

☑ Point classifications are limited to the standard values listed below:

Code	Description
1	Processed, but unclassified
2	Bare-earth ground
7	Noise (low or high, manually identified, if needed)
9	Water
10	Ignored ground (breakline proximity)
11	Withheld (if the "Withheld" bit is not implemented in processing software)

☑ Buy up?

Additional classifications in this data set.
☐ 3 - Tall weeds and crops (low vegetation)
☐ 4 - Brush lands and low trees (medium vegetation)
☐ 5 - Forested areas fully covered by trees
☐ 6 - Urban area with dense man-made structures

☑ 16	-	Overlap
☑ 17	-	Overlap Default
☑ 18	-	Overlap Ground

Based on this review, the USGS accepts the classified LAS tile file data.

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? ◉ Yes ○ No

☐ Image?

Classified las tile 16TDR305985.las does not contain any points, no returns, corrections required. Corrections received 8/8/13, accepted.

☐ Image?

Classified las tile 16TDR125730.las does not contain projection information in its header. Corrections required. Corrections received 8/8/13, accepted.

Breakline File Review

Breaklines are vector feature classes that are used to hydro-flatten the bare earth Digital Elevation Models.

Breakline File Characteristics
☑ Separate folder for breakline files
☑ All breaklines captured as PolylineZ or PolygonZ features
☑ No missing or misplaced breaklines

Based on this review, the USGS accepts the breakline files.

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? ○ Yes ◉ No

None.

Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Review

The derived bare-earth DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provided by the data supplier, vertical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and independent checkpoints, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer.

Bare-Earth DEM files provided in the following format: Erdas Imagine *.img

Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Characteristics
☑ Separate folder for bare-earth DEM files
☑ DEM files conform to Project Tiling Scheme
☑ Quantity of DEM files conforms to Project Tiling Scheme
☑ DEM files do not overlap
☑ DEM files are uniform in size
☑ DEM files properly edge match
☑ Independent check points are well distributed

All accuracy values reported in meters .

Reported Accuracies

Land Cover Category	# of Points	Fundamental Vertical Accuracy @95% Confidence Interval (Accuracy$_z$) Required FVA = 0.245 or less.	Supplemental Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Target SVA = 0.363 or less.	Consolidated Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Required CVA = 0.363 or less.
Open Terrain	40	0.097		
Tall Weeds and Crops	30		0.187	
Brush Lands and Low Trees	32		0.176	
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees	26		.223	
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures	25		.103	
Consolidated				

| | 153 | | | | .144 |

☑ QA performed Accuracy Calculations?

Calculated Accuracies

Land Cover Category	# of Points	Fundamental Vertical Accuracy @95% Confidence Interval (Accuracy$_z$) Required FVA = 0.245 or less.	Supplemental Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Target SVA = 0.363 or less.	Consolidated Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Required CVA = 0.363 or less.
Open Terrain	40	0.09		
Tall Weeds and Crops	30		0.15	
Brush Lands and Low Trees	32		0.15	
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees	26		0.15	
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures	25		0.06	
Consolidated	153			0.13

Based on this review, the USGS recommends the bare-earth DEM files for inclusion in the 1/3 Arc-Second National Elevation Dataset.

Based on this review, the USGS accepts the bare-earth DEM files.

Bare-Earth DEM Anomalies, Errors, Other Issues

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? ○ Yes ◉ No

None.

Based on this review, the deliverables provided <u>meet</u> the Task Order requirements.

Internal Note:

<u>This is the end of the report.</u>

QA Form V1.4 12OCT11.xsn