

LiDAR Quality Assessment Report


The USGS National Geospatial Technical Operations Center, Data Operations Branch is responsible for conducting reviews of all Light Detection and Ranging (LiDAR) point-cloud data and derived products delivered by a data supplier before it is approved for inclusion in the National Elevation Dataset and the Center for LiDAR Information Coordination and Knowledge. The USGS recognizes the complexity of LiDAR collection and processing performed by the data suppliers and has developed this Quality Assessment (QA) procedure to accommodate USGS collection and processing specifications with flexibility. The goal of this process is to assure LiDAR data are of sufficient quality for database population and scientific analysis. Concerns regarding the assessment of these data should be directed to the Chief, Data Operations Branch, 1400 Independence Road, Rolla, Missouri 65401 or NGTOCoperations@usgs.gov.

Materials Received: 2/22/2013	Project Type: Donated Data
Project ID:	Project Description:
OR_OLCTillamookYamhill_2012	
Project Alias(es):	Year of Collection: 2012
Tillamook-Yamhill	
1	
Lot 1 of 1 lots.	

Project Extent:

✓ Project Extent image?

OR_OLCTillamookYamhill_2012






Date: 3/7/2013

Legend TILLAMOOK_YAMHILL_TAF_OGIC_HARN

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 Albers
Projection: Albers
Datum: North American 1983
False Easting: 0.0000
False Northing: 0.0000
Central Meridian: -96.0000
Standard Parallel 2: 45.5000 Standard Parallel 2: 45.5000 Latitude Of Origin: 23.0000 Units: Meter

OR_OLCTillamookYamhill_2012





Date: 3/7/2013

Legend

TILLAMOOK_YAMHILL_7_5_TAF_OGIC_HARN

Coordinate System: NAD 1983 Albers Projection: Albers Datum: North American 1983 False Easting: 0.0000 False Northing: 0.0000 Central Meridian: -96.0000 Standard Parallel 1: 29.5000 Standard Parallel 2: 45.5000 Latitude Of Origin: 23.0000

Units: Meter

Watershed Sciences Inc.	V13+DOGAMI+Custom	
Licensing Restrictions:		
▼ Third Party Performed QA?		
Third Party QA Performed By:		
DOGAMI		

Project Points of Contact:

POC Name	Туре	Primary Phone	E-Mail
Sheri Schneider	NSDI Liaison	503-310-1531	sschneid@usgs.gov

Project Deliverables

All project deliverables must be supplied according to collection and processing specifications. The USGS will postpone the QA process when any of the required deliverables are missing. When deliverables are missing, the Contracting Officer Technical Representative (COTR) will be contacted by the Elevation/Orthoimagery Section supervisor and informed of the problem. Processing will resume after the COTR has coordinated the deposition of remaining deliverables.

	$C \cap I$	lection	Panort
T	COL	lection	Report

- ✓ Survey Report
- Processing Report
- QA/QC Report
- Control and Calibration Points
- ✓ Project Shapefile/Geodatabase
- ▼ Project Tiling Scheme Shapefile/Gdb
- ☐ Breakline Shapefile/Gdb
- ☐ Project XML Metadata

Multi-File Deliverables

File Type	Quantity
\square Swath LAS Files \square Required? \square XML Metadata?	0
✓ Intensity Image Files ☐ Required?	710
☑ Tiled LAS Files ☑ Required? ☐ XML Metadata?	710
☐ Breakline Files ☐ Required? ☐ XML Metadata?	0
■ Bare-Earth DEM Files ■ Required? ■ XML Metadata?	15

Additional Deliverables

	Item
~	Ground Density Rasters, 709, GRID
V	Highest Hit Rasters, 15, GRID
V	Trajectory Files, 710, .trj/.txt

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? • Yes O No

A Separate Tiling Scheme was used for LAS, Intenisty Images and Ground Density Rasters. DEMs and Highest Hits share a 7.5 Minute tiling scheme.

Project Geographic Information

Areal Extent:	
312.25	
<u>Sq Mi</u> Grid Size:	
3	
Int'l Feet Tile Size:	
10.4	
kilometers	
Nominal Pulse Spacing: 35 meters Vertical Datum: NAVD88 int'l feet Horizontal Datum: NAD83_HARN int'l feet	
Project Projection/Coordinate Reference System: NAD_1983_HARN_Oregon_Statewide_Lambert_	
 ✓ Project Tiling Scheme Shapefile/Gdb ☐ Checkpoints Shapefile/Geodatabase ☐ Project XML Metadata File ☐ Swath LAS XML Metadata File ☐ Classified LAS XML Metadata File 	consistent across the following deliverables: ☐ Breaklines XML Metadata File ☐ Bare-Earth DEM XML Metadata File ☐ Swath LAS Files ☐ Classified LAS Files ☐ Breaklines Files ☐ Bare-Earth DEM Files
Check Point Shapefile/Geodatabase CRS	
NAD_1983_CORS96_UTM_Zone_10N	
Project XML Metadata CRS	
Not Delivered	
Swath LAS XML Metadata CRS	
Not Delivered	
Classified LAS XML Metadata CRS	
Not Delivered	
Breakline XML Metadata CRS	
Not Delivered	
DEM XML Metadata CRS	
Tile Level, Not Specified	
Swath LAS Files CRS	
Not Delivered	
Breakline Files CRS	
Not Delivered	

Review Cycle

This section documents who performed the QA Review on a project as well as when QA reviews were started, actions passed, received, and completed.

Review Start Date:

3/7/2013

Action to Contractor Date	Issue Description	Return Date
3/4/2013	DOGAMI Checkpoints Requested.	3/14/2013

Review Complete: 3/28/2013

Metadata Review

Provided metadata files have been parsed using 'mp' metadata parser. Any errors generated by the parser are documented below for reference and/or corrective action.

The Project XML Metadata file parsed witherrors.

Not Delivered. Best Use Metadata would be any of the Tile Level DEM XML files.

The Bare-Earth DEM XML Metadata file parsed witherrors.

Туре	Description or line numbers	Line(s) (or count)
Severit	Severity 5: Misplaced elements	
Error	City (10.4.3) is not permitted in Metadata (0)	2

		2
Error	Country (10.4.6) is not permitted in Metadata (0)	2 2 2
Sever	ty 3: Missing elements	
Error	Identification Information (1) is required in Metadata (0)	2
Error	Metadata Reference Information (7) is required	2
	in <u>Metadata</u> (0)	

Project QA/QC Report Review


ASPRS recommends that checkpoint surveys be used to verify the vertical accuracy of LiDAR data sets. Checkpoints are to be collected by an independent survey firm licensed in the particular state(s) where the project is located. While subjective, checkpoints should be well distributed throughout the dataset. National Standards for Spatial Data Accuracy (NSSDA) guidance states that checkpoints may be distributed more densely in the vicinity of important features and more sparsely in areas that are of little or no interest. Checkpoints should be distributed so that points are spaced at intervals of at least ten percent of the diagonal distance across the dataset and at least twenty percent of the points are located in each quadrant of the dataset.

NSSDA and ASPRS require that a minimum of twenty checkpoints (thirty is preferred) are collected for each major land cover category represented in the LiDAR data. Checkpoints should be selected on flat terrain, or on uniformly sloping terrain in all directions from each checkpoint. They should not be selected near severe breaks in slope, such as bridge abutments, edges of roads, or near river bluffs. Checkpoints are an important component of the USGS QA process. There is the presumption that the checkpoint surveys are error free and the discrepancies are attributable to the LiDAR dataset supplied.

For this dataset, USGS checked the spatial distribution of checkpoints with an emphasis on the bare-earth (open terrain) points; the number of points per class; the methodology used to collect these points; and the relationship between the data supplier and checkpoint collector. When independent control data are available, USGS has incorporated this into the analysis.


Checkpoint Shapefile or Geodatabase: Checkpoint Distribution Image?

OR_OLCTillamookYamhill_2012



✓ Bare Earth
☐ Tall Weeds and Crops
✓ Brush Lands and Low Trees
▼ Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees
☐ Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures
There are a minimum of 20 checkpoints for each land cover class represented. Points within each class are uniformly distributed throughout the dataset. USGS <u>was</u> able to locate independent checkpoints for this analysis. USGS <u>accepts</u> the quality of the checkpoint data for these LiDAR datasets.
Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? Yes No
✓ Image?

OR_OLCTillamookYamhill_2012



Accuracy values are reported in terms of Funda Supplemental Vertical Accuracy(s) (SVA), and		
Accuracy values are reported in: international	feet	
Required FVA Value is 0.804 international feet Target SVA Value is 1.191 international feet Required CVA Value is 1.191 international feet	or less.	
The reported FVA of the LAS Swath data is 0.	26 international fee	t.
The reported FVA of the Bare-Earth DEM data is SVA are required for each land cover type pres bare-earth. SVA is calculated and reported as a	ent in the data set	with the exception of
Land Cover Type	SVA Value	Units
Tall Weeds and Crops		N/A
Brush Lands and Low Trees		international feet
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees		international feet
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structur		N/A
LAS Tile File Review Classified LAS tile files are used to build digital classified as ground. Therefore, it is important quality to ensure that the derivative product a	l terrain models usi that the classified	LAS are of sufficient
Classified LAS Tile File Characteristics ✓ Separate folder for Classified LAS tile files Classified LAS tile files conform to Project Quantity of Classified LAS tile files conform Classified LAS tile files do not overlap ✓ Classified LAS tile files are uniform in size ✓ Classified LAS tile files have no points class	Tiling Scheme ns to Project Tiling S	
✓ Point classifications are limited to the stand	dard values listed be	elow:

Description

Code

1	Processed, but unclassified
2	Bare-earth ground
7	Noise (low or high, manually identified, if needed)
9	Water
10	Ignored ground (breakline proximity)
11	Withheld (if the "Withheld" bit is not implemented in processing software)
□ Buy ι	up?
	this review, the USGS <u>accepts</u> the classified LAS tile file data. Anomalies, Other Issues to document? • Yes • No
□ Image	2?
	swath was not delivered, swath FVA is given as: " d to meet 0.26 ft. (0.08m) accuracy at 95th percentile.
□Image	9?
Spatial F	Reference Information not defined in header.
□ Image	9?

Global Encoder ID not s	set to 1.	
□ Image?		
Tiles Overlap.		
Bare-Earth DEM Tile	e File Review	
	E File Review DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide	led
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provications accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provid	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provications accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide tical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provications accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: ArcGrid	
The derived bare-earth I by the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for ba	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files	
The derived bare-earth Description by the data supplier, verified independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files probate Separate folder for base DEM files conform to	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and s, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer ovided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme	
The derived bare-earth D by the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for ba DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme s conforms to Project Tiling Scheme	
The derived bare-earth Description by the data supplier, verified independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files probate Separate folder for base DEM files conform to	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme	
The derived bare-earth Development the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files probate Separate folder for base DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files DEM files do not over	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme rlap n in size	
The derived bare-earth Dby the data supplier, ver independent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for bath DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files DEM files do not over DEM files are uniform DEM files properly ed	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme rlap n in size	
The derived bare-earth Dby the data supplier, verindependent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for bare to DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files DEM files do not over DEM files are uniform DEM files properly ed Independent check per	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provided accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer ovided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme rlap in size lige match ooints are well distributed	
The derived bare-earth Dby the data supplier, verindependent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for bare to DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files DEM files do not over DEM files are uniform DEM files properly ed Independent check per	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provide rtical accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer evided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme rlap in size lige match	
The derived bare-earth Dby the data supplier, verindependent checkpoints Bare-Earth DEM files pro Bare-Earth DEM Tile File Separate folder for bare to DEM files conform to Quantity of DEM files DEM files do not over DEM files are uniform DEM files properly ed Independent check per	DEM file receives a review of the vertical accuracies provided accuracies calculated by USGS using supplied and so, and a manual check of the appearance of the DEM layer ovided in the following format: Characteristics are-earth DEM files Project Tiling Scheme conforms to Project Tiling Scheme rlap in size lige match ooints are well distributed	

Land Cover Category	# of Points	Vertical Accuracy @95% Confidence Interval (Accuracy _z) Required FVA = 0.804 or less.	Supplemental Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Target SVA = 1.191 or less.	Consolidated Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Required CVA = 1.191 or less.
Open Terrain	648	0.29204		
Tall Weeds and Crops				
Brush Lands and Low Trees				
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees				
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures				
Consolidated	648			

✓ QA performed Accuracy Calculations?

Calculated Accuracies

Land Cover Category	# of Points	Fundamental Vertical Accuracy @95% Confidence Interval (Accuracy _z) Required FVA = 0.804 or less.	Supplemental Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Target SVA = 1.191 or less.	Consolidated Vertical Accuracy @95th Percentile Error Required CVA = 1.191 or less.
Open Terrain	648	0.293		
Tall Weeds and Crops				
Brush Lands and Low Trees				
Forested Areas Fully Covered by Trees				
Urban Areas with Dense Man-Made Structures				
Consolidated	648			0.31489


Based on this review, the USGS $\underline{\text{does not recommend}}$ the bare-earth DEM files for inclusion in the 1/3 Arc-Second National Elevation Dataset.

Based on this review, the USGS <u>accepts</u> the bare-earth DEM files.


Bare-Earth DEM Anomalies, Errors, Other Issues

Errors, Anomalies, Other Issues to document? • Yes C No


□ Image?	
Tiles Overlap, no edge artifacts observed, Final to NED Mosaic not impacted.	




Large portions of the DEM exhibit a Tinny character due to the heavy amount of forest throughout the dataset and fewer returns penetrating the canopy, this is to be expected.



Many cases of streams with a wide equal to or greater than 100ft, these streams are not flattened in a downstream monotonic manner and are the primary reasons the dataset is NOT recommended for the 1/3rd NED.



A few Instances of Waterbodies in excess of 2 Acers which are not hydro flattened. As there are only a few occurrences of this issue, USGS choose to flatten them for the Final to NED product.



There were two instances of much larger waterbodies which appeared to have very dry or empty conditions, these are not leveled and will not be leveled for the NED as the reflect bare earth at the time of collection.

This is the end of the report.

QA Form V1.4 120CT11.xsn