LIDAR DATA CALIBRATION REPORT

GeoDigital #: 239 DEB11-1 FEMA Virginia LiDAR (T/O G11PD00089) – Counties North Originally submitted: Friday, August 26, 2011

Presented to:

Dewberry

Submitted by:



Client Program Management Group Ottawa, Canada



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This LiDAR project was to provide high accuracy, calibrated multiple return LiDAR for 1585 square miles (excluding 200* NPS buffer = 150m) representing Dewberry, FEMA Virginia LiDAR acquisition Task Order #6 – (G11PD00089). Data are collected and delivered in compliance with the "U.S. Geological Survey National Geospatial Program Base LiDAR Specifications, Version 13 – ILMF 2010".

This report concerns the Prince William, Stafford, King George, Richmond, and Westmoreland counties, the primary deliverable product is raw calibrated LiDAR.

The elevation data was verified internally prior to delivery to ensure it met fundamental accuracy requirements (vertical accuracy NSSDA RMSEZ = 9.25cm (NSSDA AccuracyZ 95% = 18 cm) or better; in open, non-vegetated terrain) when compared to kinematic and static Terrapoint GPS checkpoints. Below is the summary for both tests:

- The LiDAR dataset was tested to 0.083m vertical accuracy at 95% confidence level based on consolidated RMSE_z (0.042m x 1.960) when compared to 9928 GPS kinematic check points.
- The LiDAR dataset was tested to 0.101m vertical accuracy at 95% confidence level based on consolidated RMSE_z (0.051 x 1.960) when compared to 37 GPS static check points.

Please note that this report focuses solely on the GeoDigital activities pertaining to the LiDAR data processing component of this project.

All data delivered meets or exceeds GeoDigital deliverable product requirements as set out by GeoDigital Quality Management program.



GeoDigital #: 239 DEB11-1

Executive Summary	
Introduction	
1. LiDAR Data Processing	5
1.1. Airborne GPS Kinematic	
1.2. Generation and Calibration of Laser Points (raw data)	5
1.3. Vertical Bias Resolution	
1.4. Deliverable Product Generation	
2. Quality Control for Data Processing LiDAR Calibration	7
2.1. Calibration Setup and Data Inventory	7
2.2. Boresight and Relative accuracy	7
2.3. Absolute accuracy	
3. Conclusion	
Appendix A GPS Validation	



INTRODUCTION

LiDAR data is remotely sensed high-resolution elevation data collected by an airborne collection platform. By positioning laser range finding with the use of 1 second GPS with 200 Hz inertial measurement unit corrections; GeoDigital's LiDAR instruments are able to make highly detailed geospatial elevation products of the ground, man-made structures and vegetation.

The purpose of this LiDAR data was to produce high accuracy 3D terrain geospatial products for flood mapping and other applications.

This report covers the LiDAR processing methods and deliverable products. A GPS Validation Report has been included as an appendix.

Please note that this report focuses solely on the GeoDigital activities pertaining to the LiDAR data processing component of this project.



1. LiDAR Data Processing

1.1. Airborne GPS Kinematic

Airborne GPS kinematic data was processed on-site using GrafNav kinematic On-The-Fly (OTF) software. Flights were flown with a minimum of 6 satellites in view (13° above the horizon) and with a PDOP of better than 4. Distances from base station to aircraft were kept to a maximum of 40km.

For all flights, the GPS data can be classified as excellent, with GPS residuals of 3cm average or better but no larger than 10cm being recorded.

1.2. Generation and Calibration of Laser Points (raw data)

The initial step of calibration is to verify availability and status of all needed GPS and Laser data against field notes and compile any data if not complete.

Subsequently the mission points are output using Optech's Dashmap, initially with default values from Optech or the last mission calibrated for system. The initial point generation for each mission calibration is verified within Microstation/Terrascan for calibration errors. If a calibration error greater than specification is observed within the mission, the roll pitch and scanner scale corrections that need to be applied are calculated. The missions with the new calibration values are regenerated and validated internally once again to ensure quality.

All missions are validated against the adjoining missions for relative vertical biases and collected GPS kinematic validation points for absolute vertical accuracy purposes.

On a project level, a supplementary coverage check is carried out, to ensure no data voids unreported by Field Operations are present.



1.3. Vertical Bias Resolution

When the LiDAR data was compared to the GPS kinematic and static points, a bias was detected. Hence the following corrections were applied:

	Total Vertical
Mission	Adjustment (m)
o111093a	0.22
o111094a	0.10
o111096a	0.20
o111101a	0.20
o111104a	0.26
o111104b	0.10
o111105a	0.20
o111114a	0.20
o111114b	0.20
o111114c	0.20
o111119a	0.20
o111119b	0.22
o111125a	0.12
o211096a	0.22
o211096b	0.21 0.27
o211097a o211097b	0.27
o211101a	0.32
o211104a	0.32
o211104b	0.24
o211108a	0.23
o211108b	0.20
o211109a	0.20
o211111a	0.20
o211119a	0.26
o211119b	0.30
o211120a	0.28
o211121a	0.24
o211122a	0.32
o211122b	0.24
o211123a	0.22
o211125a	0.22
o211125b	0.18
o211127b	0.07
o511120a	0.39
o511121a	0.32
o511121b	0.33
o511127a o511130a	0.50 0.25
	0.25
o511130b	0.15



1.4. Deliverable Product Generation

The raw, unclassified LiDAR data were delivered in LAS format 1.2 adjusted GPS time, both as raw strips, with files bigger than 2 GB split in 2 both. Header is populated with the projection information and the withheld angles (+/-2deg system o1, +/-3deg system o2 o5) are flagged using the Withheld bit. In some isolated areas polygons were used to extend the withheld bit classification in areas of bad data due to extreme wind.

All products were delivered in UTM 18 north meters, NAD83(NSRS 07), NAVD88(Geoid09).

2. Quality Control for Data Processing LiDAR Calibration

Quality assurance and quality control procedures for the raw LiDAR data are performed in an iterative fashion through the entire data processing cycle.

The following list provides a step-by-step explanation of the process used by Terrapoint to review the data prior to customer delivery.

2.1. Calibration Setup and Data Inventory

Data collected by the LiDAR unit is reviewed for completeness, acceptable density and to make sure all data is captured without errors or corrupted values. In addition, all GPS, aircraft trajectory, mission information, and ground control files are reviewed and logged into a database.

2.2. Boresight and Relative accuracy

The initial points for each mission calibration are inspected for flight line errors, flight line overlap, slivers or gaps in the data, point data minimums, or issues with the LiDAR unit or GPS. Roll, pitch and scanner scale are optimized during the calibration process until the relative accuracy is met.

Relative accuracy and internal quality are checked using at least 3 regularly spaced QC blocks in which points from all lines are loaded and inspected. Vertical differences between ground surfaces of each line are displayed. Color scale is adjusted so that errors greater than the specifications are flagged. Cross sections are visually inspected across each block to validate point to point, flightline to flightline and mission to mission agreement. For this project the specifications used are as follow: Relative accuracy <= 7cm RMSEZ within individual swaths and <=10 cm RMSEZ or within swath overlap (between adjacent swaths).



A different set of QC blocks are generated for final review after all transformations have been applied.

2.3. Absolute accuracy

A preliminary RMSE_z error check is performed at this stage of the project life cycle in the raw LiDAR dataset against GPS static and kinematic data and compared to RMSE_z project specifications. The LiDAR data is examined in open, flat areas away from breaks. Lidar ground points for each flightline generated by an automatic classification routine are used.

Results:

Prior to delivery the elevation data was verified internally to ensure it met fundamental accuracy requirements of 18.5cm vertical accuracy at the 95% confidence level (2 sigma = RMSE * 1.96) in when compared to Terrapoint kinematic and static GPS checkpoints.

Data is compiled to meet 1m horizontal accuracy at the 95% confidence level (2 sigma = RMSE * 1.96)

- The LiDAR dataset was tested to 0.083m vertical accuracy at 95% confidence level based on consolidated RMSE_z (0.042m x 1.960) when compared to 9928 GPS kinematic check points.
- The LiDAR dataset was tested to 0.101m vertical accuracy at 95% confidence level based on consolidated $RMSE_z$ (0.051 x 1.960) when compared to 37 GPS static check points.

A detailed comparison is provided in Appendix A - GPS Validation.

3. Conclusion

Overall the LiDAR data products collected for Dewberry meets or exceed the requirements set out in the Statement of Work for this project. The quality control requirements of Terrapoint's Quality management program were adhered to throughout the acquisition stage of this project to ensure product quality.



Appendix A **GPS** Validation

Static GPS Validation

UTM18 meters

K:\11103u_Virginia\2_Operations\5_Ground_Truthing\Static Manassas.txt Number Easting Northing Known Z Laser Z Dz STA28 281153.761 4281454.317 62.840 62.970 +0.130 STA34 302747.294 4277510.687 37.291 37.410 +0.119 STA21 274022.611 4300463.320 100.567 100.640 +0.073 STA32 288060.128 4279076.220 125.889 125.950 +0.061 STA29 298409.374 4272256.211 31.471 31.520 +0.049 STA27 276499.870 4285584.578 77.353 77.400 +0.047 STA26 275744.462 4290510.703 80.658 80.700 +0.042 10301bac 280616.193 4289436.916 56.136 56.170 +0.034 STA31 296423.408 4284488.195 82.911 82.940 +0.029 STA25 280921.518 4290707.593 64.982 65.000 +0.018 STA22 268959.453 4295987.909 98.624 98.640 +0.016 STA18 274540.913 4304492.196 110.465 110.460 -0.005 279932.955 4290774.473 64.106 64.100 -0.006 sta25b 286695.339 4293378.928 90.742 90.730 STA24 -0.012 STA36 292071.135 4262347.074 27.503 27.490 -0.013 STA16 271425.573 4312613.789 135.944 135.930 -0.014 STA33 296030.314 4269290.764 45.908 45.890 -0.018 287993.478 4286633.409 65.953 65.930 -0.023 STA30 STA17 271509.801 4309131.081 125.395 125.370 -0.025 280636.550 4289408.308 55.657 55.630 -0.027 1110301 STA23 282053.811 4297784.963 76.622 76.590 -0.032 STA35 285768.288 4260593.202 93.992 93.890 -0.102

272853.977 4316630.607 109.189 outside

Average dz +0.016 Minimum dz -0.102 Maximum dz +0.130Average magnitude 0.041 Root mean square 0.05 Std deviation 0.052

STA19

*



GeoDigital #: 239 DEB11-1

K:\11103u Number			uthing\Static Fredericksburg.txt Laser Z Dz
5	273020.600 4254563.088	95.032 95	5.050 +0.018
2	289511.682 4255572.284	46.458 46	6.450 -0.008
7	281671.727 4241719.236	70.811 70	0.800 -0.011
3	286467.169 4246513.729	55.891 55	5.880 -0.011
11	305330.478 4235645.784	15.190 1	15.170 -0.020
14	314140.747 4244925.908	45.352 4	45.330 -0.022
4	280544.681 4248326.305	94.024 94	4.000 -0.024
15	321062.575 4236820.227	5.402 5	5.370 -0.032
6	291975.763 4251354.944	22.785 22	2.750 -0.035
9	297513.268 4241375.041	60.986 60	0.950 -0.036
8	289579.962 4238735.191	25.728 25	5.690 -0.038
16	320500.848 4228312.198	54.970 5	54.930 -0.040
1	297530.186 4241390.878	61.632 6	1.590 -0.042
12	308579.149 4228006.482	11.941 1	11.890 -0.051
10	303828.363 4244652.996	11.249 1	11.180 -0.069
13	311912.506 4234456.598	54.996 5	54.880 -0.116

Average dz-0.033Minimum dz-0.116Maximum dz+0.018Average magnitude0.036Root mean square0.044Std deviation0.030



GeoDigital #: 239 DEB11-1

I:\11103u_Virginia\2_Operations\5_Ground_Truthing\11103U_Static Tappahannock Area.txt

Number	Easting Northing	Known Z La	aser Z Dz
2	323820.633 4214124.101	22.928 23.	050 +0.122
17	341920.389 4217724.303	44.759 44	.830 +0.071
5	324877.872 4209653.159	45.374 45.	400 +0.026
9	328940.863 4188603.016	52.334 52.	340 +0.006
4	316698.020 4209271.297	55.917 55.	920 +0.003
19	348186.345 4206936.622	43.739 43	.740 +0.001
13	356727.216 4194588.978	32.020 32	.020 +0.000
7	329273.894 4204617.144	41.816 41.	810 -0.005
14	361589.103 4210453.321	2.487 2.4	480 -0.007
6	332891.140 4192229.759	38.719 38.	710 -0.009
3	317374.368 4218817.241	9.917 9.9	-0.017
20	345581.047 4202731.926	41.380 41	.360 -0.020
21	348204.244 4180297.740	36.351 36	.330 -0.021
8	324355.994 4196286.837	45.945 45.	920 -0.025
1	332983.127 4192146.999	38.917 38.	880 -0.037
16	335391.597 4223828.417	48.767 48	.730 -0.037
18	336440.536 4212623.043	45.491 45	.450 -0.041
10	339771.732 4191644.872	36.734 36	.690 -0.044
11	343397.131 4185560.930	38.799 38	.720 -0.079
15	355034.365 4221596.417	3.370 3.2	260 -0.110
12	360908.784 4189943.320	31.275 31	.130 -0.145

Average dz-0.018Minimum dz-0.145Maximum dz+0.122Average magnitude0.039Root mean square0.057Std deviation0.056



Kinematic GPS Validation

Sample Size	9928	Points
average	0.000291	metres
RMSE	0.04285	metres
NSSDA	0.083985	metres

