Check Point Survey Report "Louisa, Virginia LiDAR Quality Assurance" USGS Contract: G10PC00013 Task Order Number: G12PD00264

> **Prepared for:** UNITED STATES GEOLOGICAL SURVEY







Prepared By: **Dewberry & Davis, LLC** 10003 Derekwood Lane, Suite 204

Lanham, Maryland, 20706 Phone (301)364-1855 Fax (301)731-0188

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	Introduction							
	1.1	Project Summary						
	1.2	Points of Contact(s)						
	1.3	Project Area4						
2.	Proje	Project Details						
	2.1	Survey Equipment5						
	2.2	Survey Point Details5						
	2.3	Network Design5						
	2.4	Field Survey Procedures and Analysis6						
	2.5	Adjustment7						
	2.6	Data processing Procedures7						
3.	Final	Coordinates						
4.	GPS	Observation & Re-Observation Schedule10-11						
5.	Point	Comparison Report12						
6.	Deliv	verablesSent via Electronic Transfer						
	Including: a) Point Documentation Report & Photos of Survey Points							
		b) Final Coordinate List in Excel Format						
		c) NGS Data Sheets for Project Controls						

### 1. INTRODUCTION

#### 1.1 Project Summary

Dewberry & Davis, LLC is under contract to the United States Geological Survey to provide 44 QA Check Points IN Louisa County, Virginia. Under the above referenced USGS Task Order, Dewberry is tasked to complete the quality assurance of high resolution LiDARderived elevation products. As part of this work Dewberry staff will complete checkpoint surveys that will be used to evaluate vertical accuracy on the bare-earth terrain derived from the LiDAR.

Existing NGS Control Points were located and surveyed to check the accuracy of the RTK/GPS survey equipment with the results shown in Section 2.4 of this Report.

As an internal QA/QC procedure and to verify that the Check Points meet the 95% confidence level approximately 50% of the points were re-observed and are shown in Section 5 of this report.

Final horizontal coordinates are referenced to UTM, Zone 18, NAD83 (NSRS 2007), in meters. Final Vertical elevations are referenced to NAVD 88 in meters, orthometric heights, using Geoid 09.

#### **1.2** Points of Contact

Questions regarding the technical aspects of this report should be addressed to:

#### **Dewberry & Davis, LLC**

Gary Simpson, L.S. Associate 10003 Derekwood Lane Suite 204 Lanham, Maryland 20706 (301) 364-1855 direct (301) 731-0188 fax

#### 1.3 Project Area



### **PROJECT DETAILS**

#### 2.1 Survey Equipment

In performing the GPS observations, Trimble R-8 GNSS receiver/antenna attached to a two meter fixed height pole with a Trimble TSC2 Data Collector to collect GPS raw data were used to perform the field surveys.

#### 2.2 Survey Point Detail

The 44 Check Points were well distributed throughout the project area so as to cover as many flight lines as possible using the "dispersed method" of placement.

A sketch was made for each location and a nail was set at the point where possible or at an identifiable point. The Check Point locations are detailed on the "Ground Control Point Documentation Report" sheets attached to this report.

#### 2.3 Network Design

The GPS survey performed by Dewberry & Davis, Inc office located in Lanham, MD was tied to a Real Time Network (RTN) managed by KeyNet GPS, Inc. The network is a series of "real-time" continuously operating, high precision GPS reference stations. All of the reference stations have been linked together using Trimble GPSNet software, creating a Virtual Reference Station System (VRS).

The Trimble NetR5 Reference Station is a multi-channel, multi-frequency GNSS (Global Navigation Satellite System) receiver designed for use as a stand-alone reference station or as part of a GNSS infrastructure solution. Trimble R-Track technology in the NetR5 receiver supports the modernized GPS L2C and L5 signals as well as GLONASS L1/L2 signals.

#### 2.4 Field Survey Procedures and Analysis

Dewberry & Davis, Inc used Trimble R-8 GNSS receivers, which is a geodetic quality dual frequency GPS receiver, to collect data at each surveyed location.

All locations were occupied once with approximately 50% of the locations being reobserved. All re-observations matched the initially derived station positions within the allowable tolerance of  $\pm$  5cm or within the 95% confidence level. Each occupation which utilized the VRS network was occupied for approximately three (3) minutes in duration and measured to 180 epochs.

Each occupation which utilized OPUS (if used) was occupied between 18 and 20 minutes.

Field GPS observations are detailed on the "Ground Control Point Documentation Reports" submitted as part of this report.

NINE (9) existing NGS monuments listed in the NSRS database were located as an additional QA/QC method to check the accuracy of the VRS network as well as being the primary project control monuments. The results are as follows:

	UTM ZONE 18 As Surveyed (m)			UTM ZONE 18 Published (m)			Differences (m)		
NGS PT. ID	Northing(m)	Easting(m)	Elev.(m)	Northing(m)	Easting(m)	Elev.(m)	ΔN	ΔE	Δ Elev.
ВМ ТТ7К	4213385.962	268411.102	116.509	4213385.971	268411.111	116.570	0.009	0.009	0.061
D 499	4187356.228	732296.026	153.104	4187356.229	732296.015	153.069	0.001	0.011	0.035
F 94	4212630.621	241908.291	147.902	N/A	N/A	147.895	N/A	N/A	0.007
FERNCLIFF	4200210.228	756479.310	152.775	4200210.303	756479.200	152.765	0.075	0.110	0.010
LKA A	4211162.063	239703.205	148.738	4211162.081	239703.191	148.730	0.018	0.014	0.008
PARTLOW	4213402.161	268439.387	116.155	4213402.182	268439.377	116.200	0.021	0.010	0.045
STUBBS	4223309.295	248365.244	107.096	4223309.294	248365.225	107.100	0.001	0.019	0.004
VA CNTR									
РОР	4178850.968	250669.006	92.392	4178850.967	250668.974	92.400	0.001	0.032	0.008
V21	4211074.887	238950.244	144.784	4211074.895	238950.217	144.79	0.008	0.027	0.006

The above results indicate that the VRS network is providing positional values within the 5cm parameters for this survey.

#### 2.5 Adjustment

The survey data was collected using Virtual Reference Stations (VRS) methodology within a Virtual Reference System (VRS).

The system is designed to provide a true Network RTK performance, the RTKNet software enables high-accuracy positioning in real time across a geographic region. The RTKNet software package uses real-time data streams from the GPSNet system user and generates correction models for high-accuracy RTK GPS corrections throughout the network. Therefore, corrections were applied to the points as they were being collected, thus negating the need for a post process adjustment.

#### 2.6 Data Processing Procedures

After field data is collected the information is downloaded from the data collectors into the office software. The Software program used is called TGO or Trimble Geomatics Office.

Downloaded data is run through the TGO program to obtain the following reports; points report, point comparison report and a point detail report. The reports are reviewed for point accuracy and precision.

After review of the point data an "ASCII" or "txt" file which is the industry standard is created. Point files are loaded into our CADD program (Carlson Survey 2010) to make a visual check of the point data (Pt. #, Coordinates, Elev. and Description). The data can now be imported into the final product.

### 3. <u>FINAL COORDINATES</u>

LOUISA, VIRGINIA LIDAR QA								
UTM ZONE 18 COORDINATE SYSTEM								
	NAVD88 (m)							
POINT ID	NORTHING (m)	EASTING (m)	ORTHO HEIGHT (m)					
OPEN TERRAIN POINTS								
OT-1	4223780.061	246334.167	99.726					
OT-2	4220660.185	248679.867	103.899					
OT-3	4217770.888	250747.009	98.399					
OT-4	4215531.335	254256.194	103.109					
OT-5	4214065.721	256802.691	84.791					
OT-6	4210445.772	257932.492	79.821					
OT-7	4207546.480	257188.888	83.926					
OT-8	4210303.473	254347.241	84.391					
OT-9	4210177.053	248284.651	131.940					
OT-10 4218621.780		247456.675	127.745					
OT-11	4219653.849	245162.049	90.414					
OT-12	4218107.005	242413.234	121.080					
OT-13	4212655.926	241274.385	144.029					
OT-14	4214015.328	246634.629	132.701					
OT-15	4209404.457	250008.772	111.231					
OT-16 4206234.512		253216.736	99.772					
OT-17	4201831.875	250721.408	108.985					
OT-18	4205075.536	248499.542	99.862					
OT-19	4208978.633	246381.997	145.011					
OT-20	4211008.178	244747.636	142.979					
OT-21	4212237.938	237351.251	127.684					
OT-22	4207306.609	236782.495	132.358					
OT-23	4204335.982	237540.178	116.591					
OT-24	4203370.179	241983.361	121.661					
OT-25	4199574.800	247816.766	121.627					
OT-26	4197143.475	249010.598	96.515					
OT-27	4194712.869	245353.418	78.948					
OT-28	4197907.516	245272.386	102.598					
OT-29	4201140.683	237622.671	133.236					
OT-30	4206228.697	231945.961	130.331					

OT-31	4202745.399	231777.230	135.291
OT-32	4192731.704	237743.951	119.420
OT-33	4198474.968	242076.753	90.501
OT-34	4195581.033	238768.986	122.261
OT-35	4191032.375	242823.317	113.339
OT-36	4186669.411	238662.740	91.882
OT-37	4197323.415	233251.810	155.836
OT-38	4191024.595	233863.911	84.293
OT-39	4194841.392	230399.740	150.240
OT-40	4199415.218	229870.041	150.996
OT-41	4197319.757	224775.655	114.062
OT-42	4207631.392	252559.615	101.619
OT-43	4204510.643	245197.517	130.127
OT-44	4196976.214	232730.660	159.520

# 4. <u>GPS OBSERVATIONS</u>

LOUISA, VIRGINIA LIDAR QA							
POINT				RE-OBSERV.	RE-OBSERV.		
ID	<b>OBSERV. DATE</b>	JULIAN DATE	TIME OF DAY	DATE	TIME		
OPEN TERRAIN POINTS							
OT-1	3/26/2012	85	13:30	N/A	N/A		
OT-2	3/26/2012	85	15:15	N/A	N/A		
OT-3	3/26/2012	85	15:56	N/A	N/A		
OT-4	3/26/2012	85	16:24	N/A	N/A		
OT-5	3/26/2012	85	16:20	4/21/2012	8:02		
OT-6	3/26/2012	85	17:12	N/A	N/A		
OT-7	3/26/2012	85	15:30	4/21/2012	18:30		
OT-8	3/26/2012	85	15:49	N/A	N/A		
ОТ-9	3/26/2012	85	12:40	N/A	N/A		
OT-10	3/26/2012	85	14:15	4/21/2012	8:43		
OT-11	3/26/2012	85	13:50	N/A	N/A		
OT-12	3/26/2012	85	14:50	N/A	N/A		
OT-13	3/26/2012	85	12:15	4/21/2012	9:57		
OT-14	3/26/2012	85	13:32	N/A	N/A		
OT-15	3/26/2012	85	12:20	N/A	N/A		
OT-16	3/26/2012	85	11:54	N/A	N/A		
OT-17	3/26/2012	85	8:52	4/21/2012	15:40		
OT-18	3/26/2012	85	10:55	4/21/2012	16:32		
OT-19	3/26/2012	85	12:52	5/2/2012	7:15		
OT-20	3/26/2012	85	13:12	4/21/2012	9:15		
OT-21	3/26/2012	85	12:15	5/2/2012	7:30		
OT-22	3/26/2012	85	11:02	N/A	N/A		
OT-23	3/26/2012	85	10:30	4/21/2012	15:13		
OT-24	3/26/2012	85	9:51	4/21/2012	12:02		
OT-25	3/26/2012	85	9:00	4/21/2012	13:01		
OT-26	3/26/2012	85	8:20	4/21/2012	14:44		
OT-27	3/26/2012	85	12:40	4/21/2012	7:48		
OT-28	3/26/2012	85	11:15	4/21/2012	14:16		
OT-29	3/26/2012	85	13:20	4/21/2012	11:35		
OT-30	3/26/2012	85	14:00	N/A	N/A		

OT-31	3/26/2012	85	14:50	N/A	N/A
OT-32	3/27/2012	86	10:30	5/2/2012	6:15
OT-33	3/26/2012	85	16:20	N/A	N/A
OT-34	3/26/2012	85	17:20	N/A	N/A
OT-35	3/27/2012	86	8:40	N/A	N/A
OT-36	3/27/2012	86	9:40	N/A	N/A
OT-37	3/26/2012	85	15:30	5/2/2012	8:39
OT-38	3/27/2012	86	10:50	N/A	N/A
OT-39	3/27/2012	86	11:43	N/A	N/A
OT-40	3/27/2012	86	12:15	N/A	N/A
OT-41	3/27/2012	86	12:36	N/A	N/A
OT-42	3/26/2012	85	11:40	4/21/2012	17:00
OT-43	3/27/2012	86	13:20	5/2/2012	9:43
OT-44	3/27/2012	86	13:58	5/2/2012	8:21

# 5. <u>POINT COMPARISON</u>

LOUISA, VIRGINIA LIDAR QA							
POINT ID	POINT CK	DELTA NORTH (M)	DELTA EAST (M)	VERT. DIFF (M)			
OT-5	OT-5CK	0.008	0.026	0.040			
OT-7	OT-7CK	0.019	0.018	0.040			
OT-10	ОТ-10СК	0.026	0.023	0.026			
OT-13	ОТ-13СК	0.010	0.028	0.030			
OT-17	ОТ-17СК	0.013	0.032	0.008			
OT-18	OT-18CK	0.009	0.004	0.025			
OT-19	ОТ-19СК	0.009	0.007	0.031			
OT-20	ОТ-20СК	0.041	0.030	0.034			
OT-21	OT-21CK	0.008	0.009	0.044			
OT-23	OT-23CK	0.018	0.010	0.020			
OT-24	OT-24CK	0.007	0.003	0.039			
OT-25	ОТ-25СК	0.022	0.013	0.041			
OT-26	OT-26CK	0.002	0.000	0.034			
OT-27	OT-27CK	0.009	0.013	0.041			
OT-28	ОТ-28СК	0.020	0.042	0.043			
OT-29	ОТ-29СК	0.002	0.007	0.025			
OT-32	ОТ-32СК	0.025	0.013	0.005			
OT-37	OT-37CK	0.016	0.033	0.017			
OT-42	OT-42CK	0.005	0.029	0.005			
OT-43	OT-43CK	0.001	0.008	0.007			
OT-44	OT-44CK	0.004	0.005	0.039			